








REVIEW

Clinical Trials and Investigations

Nutritional considerations with antiobesity medications

Jaime P. Almandoz¹  | Thomas A. Wadden²  | Colleen Tewksbury³ |
 Caroline M. Apovian⁴  | Angela Fitch⁵ | Jamy D. Ard⁶  | Zhaoping Li⁷  |
 Jesse Richards⁸  | W. Scott Butsch⁹  | Irina Jouravskaya¹⁰ |
 Kadie S. Vanderman¹¹  | Lisa M. Neff¹⁰ 

¹Division of Endocrinology, University of Texas, Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA

²Department of Psychiatry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

³Department of Surgery, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

⁴Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Hypertension, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁵Knownwell, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁶Department of Epidemiology and Prevention and Department of Medicine, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, USA

⁷Center for Human Nutrition, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA

⁸Department of Internal Medicine, University of Oklahoma School of Medicine, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA

⁹Bariatric and Metabolic Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio, USA

¹⁰Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA

¹¹Syneos Health, Morrisville, North Carolina, USA

Correspondence

Lisa M. Neff, Global Medical Affairs – Obesity,
 Eli Lilly and Company, Lilly Corporate Center,
 Indianapolis, IN 46225, USA.
 Email: neff_lisa@lilly.com

Funding information

Eli Lilly and Company

Abstract

The improved efficacy and generally favorable safety profile of recently approved and emerging antiobesity medications (AOMs), which result in an average weight reduction of $\geq 15\%$, represent significant advancement in the treatment of obesity. This narrative review aims to provide practical evidence-based recommendations for nutritional assessment, management, and monitoring of patients treated with AOMs. Prior to treatment, clinicians can identify preexisting nutritional risk factors and counsel their patients on recommended intakes of protein, dietary fiber, micronutrients, and fluids. During treatment with AOMs, ongoing monitoring can facilitate early recognition and management of gastrointestinal symptoms or inadequate nutrient or fluid intake. Attention should also be paid to other factors that can impact response to treatment and quality of life, such as physical activity and social and emotional health. In the context of treatment with AOMs, clinicians can play an active role in supporting their patients with obesity to improve their health and well-being and promote optimal nutritional and medical outcomes.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

© 2024 Eli Lilly and Co. *Obesity* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of The Obesity Society.

INTRODUCTION

Newly approved and emerging antiobesity medications (AOMs) can facilitate average body weight reductions of $\geq 15\%$, which were previously seen only with intensive interventions such as bariatric surgery or very low-calorie diets (VLCDs). These intensive interventions are typically provided in specialized obesity treatment centers in the context of structured nutritional assessment, recommendations, and monitoring. However, with the availability of additional effective treatment options and a better understanding of obesity as a chronic disease, more clinicians will be empowered to provide obesity care in addition to those who practice in specialized centers. This narrative review aims to provide practical evidence-based recommendations for nutritional assessment, management, and monitoring of patients treated with AOMs to support patients' efforts to achieve a healthier lifestyle and to promote optimal nutritional and medical outcomes through maintenance of reduced body weight.

BACKGROUND

Obesity is a chronic, relapsing, and progressive disease associated with over 200 complications and an increased risk of premature mortality [1–4]. Complications are improved with weight reduction, and proportionally greater benefit is observed with greater weight reductions (Figure 1) [5–8]. Lifestyle modification is a cornerstone of treatment for obesity and can induce clinically meaningful weight reductions of $\geq 3\%$ to 5%, as well as improvements in obesity-related complications [4]. However, long-term efficacy is often limited [9, 10], and the patient or the clinician may incorrectly interpret this as failure on the part of the patient rather than failure of treatment. Successful obesity treatment involves a fundamental shift in the balance between energy expenditure, energy intake, and energy storage, each of which has multiple contributing factors, including both modifiable and nonmodifiable determinants [11, 12].

The central nervous system plays a major role in regulating food intake, energy expenditure, and body weight in response to signals from peripheral organs and tissues (Figure 2). Hormonal and metabolic changes induced by weight reduction result in elevated appetite and reduced metabolic rate [13–15]. These changes, along with environmental and psychosocial factors that drive food intake, may make maintenance of reduced body weight more difficult when lifestyle modification is employed in isolation.

Recent advances in AOMs may offer improved treatment outcomes for people with obesity. AOMs are indicated as an adjunct to lifestyle modification for individuals with a body mass index (BMI) of 27 kg/m^2 or greater with at least one weight-related complication or those with a BMI of 30 kg/m^2 or greater [16], although only 2% of eligible patients are currently receiving therapy [17]. Since 2021, a new generation of AOMs has emerged, offering improved efficacy, including a mean weight reduction of approximately 15% or more [18], along with a generally favorable safety profile. These new AOMs include semaglutide and tirzepatide; other therapies are in various stages of development.

Study Importance

What is already known?

- No comprehensive evidence-based reviews, to our knowledge, have addressed the topic of nutritional recommendations for patients treated with newly available and emerging antiobesity medications (AOMs), which result in an average weight reduction of $\geq 15\%$.

What does this review add?

- This review provides clinicians with evidence-based nutritional recommendations; guidance on identifying, monitoring, and managing patients at risk of nutritional deficiency; and practical tips to support patients' efforts to achieve a healthier lifestyle and promote optimal nutritional and medical outcomes during treatment with AOMs.

How might these results change the direction of research or the focus of clinical practice?

- The availability of AOMs with greater efficacy and a generally favorable safety profile may enable more clinicians to play an active role in the treatment of their patients with obesity. This review provides practical nutritional recommendations and tips for patient monitoring and management to empower clinicians and promote optimal health outcomes among patients treated with AOMs.

Semaglutide (approved in 2021 for chronic weight management) and tirzepatide (approved in 2023 for chronic weight management) are AOMs that act in the central nervous system to reduce food intake and body weight [19]. In clinical trials, semaglutide and tirzepatide have been demonstrated to improve factors related to the control of food intake, such as hunger, fullness, and food cravings [20, 21]. In the Semaglutide Treatment Effect in People with Obesity (STEP)-1 randomized controlled trial (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT03548935) of semaglutide, a glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonist, mean change in body weight was -14.9% in patients treated with semaglutide 2.4 mg once weekly compared with -2.4% in placebo-treated control individuals at 68 weeks of treatment [22]. Weight reduction of $\geq 15\%$ was achieved by 50.5% of participants at 68 weeks [22]. Improvements in cardiometabolic risk factors, including waist circumference and systolic blood pressure, and patient-reported physical function have also been observed with semaglutide treatment [22, 23]. A large cardiovascular outcomes trial (Semaglutide Effects on Heart Disease and Stroke in People with Overweight or Obesity [SELECT]; NCT03574597) demonstrated a 20% reduction in major adverse cardiovascular events with semaglutide treatment in people with obesity or overweight and established cardiovascular

Improvements in Obesity-Associated Complications and Comorbidities with Weight Reduction




FIGURE 1 Potential benefits of weight reduction in obesity. Garvey et al. [5], Lingvay et al. [6], Ryan and Yockey [7], and Wing et al. [8]. MASH, metabolic dysfunction-associated steatohepatitis (formerly nonalcoholic steatohepatitis [NASH]); T2D, type 2 diabetes.




FIGURE 2 The central nervous system plays a major role in regulating food intake, energy expenditure, and body weight in response to signals from peripheral organs. These signals include leptin, insulin, and gastrointestinal tract hormones such as GLP-1, PYY, CCK, and ghrelin. Morton et al. [12] and Timper and Bruning [101]. www.supportiveobesitycare.org. Image used with permission of the UConn Rudd Center for Food Policy and Health and Eli Lilly and Company. CCK, cholecystokinin; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1; PYY, peptide YY.

disease (hazard ratio, 0.80; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.72–0.90; $p < 0.001$) [24].

Tirzepatide is a long-acting glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) receptor and GLP-1 receptor agonist. In the SURMOUNT-1 randomized controlled trial (NCT04184622) of tirzepatide for obesity, mean change in body weight was -15.0% (5 mg),

-19.5% (10 mg), and -20.9% (15 mg) in tirzepatide-treated patients relative to -3.1% in placebo-treated control individuals at 72 weeks of treatment. With the 15 mg dose, 70.6% of participants achieved $\geq 15\%$ weight reduction, and 56.7% of participants achieved $\geq 20\%$ weight reduction at 72 weeks [25]. Improvements in cardiometabolic risk factors, including waist circumference, systolic blood pressure,

triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein and non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and fasting insulin, and patient-reported physical function were also demonstrated with tirzepatide treatment [25]. An ongoing outcomes trial (SURMOUNT-MMO; NCT05556512) is evaluating the effect of tirzepatide on morbidity (including major adverse cardiovascular events) and mortality in adults with obesity.

The most common adverse events associated with semaglutide and tirzepatide treatment include gastrointestinal events such as nausea, diarrhea, and constipation [22, 25]. In registration trials, most events were mild or moderate and generally transient, infrequently leading to treatment discontinuation [22, 25]. Evidence has suggested that the experience of adverse gastrointestinal events is not significantly related to the amount of weight reduction achieved [26, 27]. Additional therapies such as retatrutide (a single molecule that activates the receptors for GIP, GLP-1, and glucagon) and a combination of semaglutide and cagrilintide (an amylin analog) are currently in clinical development for the treatment of obesity, with mean efficacy predicted to be greater than that of current medications [28, 29].

Owing to the recent emergence of AOMs with a mean weight reduction of $\geq 15\%$, nutritional recommendations for patients receiving these therapies are limited. However, studies of patients with obesity observed before and after treatment with VLCDs or bariatric surgery can provide evidence for anticipated nutritional deficiencies and target ranges of nutrient intake, as discussed later in this manuscript. Energy intake is expected to decrease with AOMs. Studies of ad libitum food intake during a buffet-style lunch have identified up to approximately 345-kcal greater reductions from baseline in mean energy intake in participants receiving AOMs compared with placebo [21, 30, 31]. In addition, Hall reported model-estimated population mean reductions in daily energy intake as large as 1200 kcal/day from baseline intake [32]. With decreased energy intake, the consumption of nutrient-dense foods becomes more important, and patients should receive appropriate counseling and monitoring to reduce the risk of nutritional deficiencies. Herein are recommendations for practitioners to manage clinical interactions, provide nutritional counseling, screen and monitor for nutrient deficiencies, and consider other factors that may influence treatment outcomes with AOMs.

APPROACH TO THE PATIENT WHEN CONSIDERING AOMS

Regardless of the treatment modality, people with obesity should receive evidence-based obesity care that is individualized, patient-centered, and focused on health outcomes rather than on weight loss alone (Figure 3) [4]. The “5 A’s” model (Ask, Assess, Advise, Agree, Assist) can be used to guide clinical interactions with patients with obesity or other chronic diseases [4, 33]. Clinicians should **ask** for permission before starting a conversation about weight and then **assess** the patient. Assessment should include a comprehensive medical history (including psychosocial, weight, dietary, and other lifestyle

history), physical examination, and appropriate laboratory or imaging assessments to evaluate potential root causes of obesity, identify any obesity-related complications, and assess nutritional status, including risk of malnutrition [34]. Laboratory assessment of nutritional status is recommended when there is a clinical suspicion of deficiency (detailed in later sections of this manuscript). Potential barriers to treatment, including barriers to dietary modification, should be identified. Clinicians should **advise** patients about treatment options and discuss expectations for treatment, including benefits relevant to the patient. Clinicians and patients should **agree** on goals related to health, dietary patterns and other lifestyle factors, and weight. An individualized approach to goal setting is important because patients’ responses to treatment are heterogeneous. Clinicians should **assist** patients in addressing challenges and barriers to weight management, taking into consideration social determinants of health, including access to healthy food and opportunities for physical activity. Because obesity is a chronic disease that requires a long-term approach, clinicians should also arrange for follow-up and refer patients for additional support as needed. Referral to a registered dietitian, when available, is recommended for medical nutrition therapy to complement and support other obesity therapies, including treatment with AOMs [4]. Medical nutrition therapy delivered by registered dietitians has been associated with improvements in dietary quality, health outcomes, and weight-loss outcomes [35, 36].

Prior to initiation of AOM therapy, it is important to establish expectations for treatment, including the potential magnitude of weight reduction with different agents and the heterogeneity of response [4]. Prior to AOM initiation, patients should understand the route (oral or subcutaneous) and frequency of administration, as well as the importance of meeting goals for nutrition and hydration, as discussed in the following section, even if appetite is significantly reduced. The patient should also be counseled about the potential impact of weight reduction on the management of existing obesity-related conditions such as type 2 diabetes and hypertension. With significant weight loss, dose reductions are often needed for antidiabetic medications, antihypertensives, and diuretics [37]. In some cases, proactive dose adjustments of these concomitant medications may be considered early in the course of AOM therapy to reduce the risk of hypoglycemia (in patients on insulin or sulfonylureas) or hypotension (e.g., in some patients with well-controlled hypertension or in older individuals). Weight-based therapies such as thyroid hormone replacement may also need monitoring and adjustment with weight reduction. Patients should be counseled about the importance of follow-up visits to monitor treatment response and guide dose escalation. Guidelines suggest regular assessment of treatment response, e.g., monthly during dose escalation and then at least every 3 months [38]. Less frequent assessments may be possible after achievement of goal weight during maintenance of reduced body weight on treatment. In general, if weight loss is $< 5\%$ after 3 months on the highest therapeutic dose of an AOM, discontinuation of therapy should be considered, and an alternate treatment approach should be initiated [38, 39]. It is important to note that short-term use of




FIGURE 3 Approach to patients with obesity, nutritional considerations during AOM therapy, and identification of patients at increased risk of malnutrition prior to initiation of AOMs. AMDR, acceptable macronutrient distribution range; AOM, antiobesity medication; BW, body weight; GI, gastrointestinal; OTC, over-the-counter.

pharmacotherapy for obesity is generally not recommended due to the potential for weight regain [5]. Across clinical trials, weight regain was typically observed when AOM treatment was stopped

[23, 40, 41]. Long-term use of pharmacotherapy is recommended to assist with maintenance of weight loss over time, consistent with the chronic nature of obesity [5, 38, 39].

EVIDENCE-BASED NUTRITIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PATIENTS RECEIVING AOMS

This section provides targeted recommendations for patients receiving AOMs, with a focus on healthy dietary patterns enabling adequate intake of energy, macronutrients (proteins, fats, and carbohydrates), micronutrients, fiber, and fluids (Tables 1 and 2). Limited evidence exists to guide nutritional recommendations for patients receiving newly available AOMs that have an efficacy of $\geq 15\%$ weight reduction on average, and additional research is needed to address this knowledge gap. In the meantime, nutritional recommendations for patients receiving AOMs can be based on evidence from the general population, low-calorie diets, and bariatric surgery, including observations of preoperative patients. Specifically, evidence for this review was identified via a PubMed search using keywords “dietary,” “nutritional,” “nutrition,” “weight loss,” “obesity,” “obese,” “very low-calorie diet,” “malnutrition,” “bariatric,” “guidelines,” and “reference.” Manuscript reference lists were also reviewed. Because this was a narrative review, searches were augmented with relevant research by expert consensus. All authors reviewed the references that were selected for inclusion.

Individuals treated with AOMs such as semaglutide and tirzepatide generally experience reduced appetite, including reduced hunger and increased satiety, leading to decreased food intake [21]. As a result, dietary quality becomes even more important because nutritional needs must be met within the context of reduced food intake. In the United States, most individuals do not currently meet recommendations for a healthy dietary pattern [42]. Emerging evidence has suggested that AOMs such as semaglutide and tirzepatide may impact food preferences in ways that could support improved dietary quality, although additional research is needed [20, 43, 44]. In general, healthy dietary patterns consisting of nutrient-dense foods and beverages [42] are appropriate for any patient, including those treated with AOMs. Nutrient-dense foods are good sources of vitamins, minerals, or other health-promoting components and have little added sugars, saturated fat, and sodium. Examples of nutrient-dense foods include vegetables, fruits, whole grains, seafood, eggs, beans, peas and lentils, unsalted nuts and seeds, fat-free and low-fat dairy products, and lean meats and poultry [42].

No particular dietary pattern has demonstrated superiority in terms of inducing and sustaining weight loss [45–48]. However, a number of healthy dietary patterns such as the Mediterranean diet, a healthy plant-based diet, and other dietary patterns that meet key nutritional recommendations in the *Dietary Guidelines for Americans* [42] have been consistently associated with improved health outcomes, including a lower risk of cardiovascular disease and mortality [49–52]. Consideration of individual food preferences, cultural traditions, and budgetary requirements may aid in the adherence to healthy dietary patterns, a key predictor of success [42, 45]. The US Department of Agriculture (USDA) provides practical resources to help patients eat healthily and achieve nutritional goals on a budget [53].

Energy intake

Energy requirements vary based on an individual's age, sex, body weight, and physical activity level, as well as other factors. As a result, recommended minimum goals for energy intake during weight loss should be personalized. In general, energy intakes of 1200 to 1500 kcal/day for women and 1500 to 1800 kcal/day for men have been recommended as safe during weight reduction [54]. Patients with low energy intakes (< 1200 kcal/day) may have difficulty meeting nutritional needs by diet alone, but it is important to remember that patients with higher energy intakes may also be at risk of deficiencies due to poor dietary quality. VLCDs of < 800 kcal/day typically include meal-replacement products (i.e., formulated foods supplemented with protein, vitamins, and minerals) and should be used only under the supervision of a specially trained clinician owing to an increased risk of nutritional and medical complications. These risks include dehydration, electrolyte imbalance, and cholelithiasis [55]. Energy intake goals may need to be adjusted to achieve weight stability (e.g., when target weight is achieved).

Dietary protein

Protein is a key macronutrient, and insufficient intake of protein can lead to excessive loss of lean body mass, weakness, edema, hair loss, and skin changes. Recommendations for minimum protein intake vary by population. The Recommended Dietary Allowance for protein for healthy adults of normal weight is 0.8 g/kg body weight/day, and USDA guidelines suggest a minimum goal of 46 g/day for healthy women and 56 g/day for healthy men [42, 56]. In patients with obesity, however, protein intakes of at least 60 to 75 g/day and up to 1.5 g/kg body weight/day have been recommended during weight reduction with various treatments, including VLCDs and bariatric surgery [55, 57–61]. Higher protein intake (i.e., > 1.5 g/kg body weight/day) may be considered for select individuals such as those who have undergone a malabsorptive bariatric procedure like the duodenal switch [58]. In the setting of a hypocaloric diet with adequate protein intake, physical activity (either resistance training or combined resistance and aerobic training) can increase muscle strength and physical function and may promote greater loss of fat mass, although the impact of exercise on the loss of lean mass during weight reduction is unclear [62–64]. For individuals treated with AOMs, meal-replacement products such as shakes, bars, or other formulated foods can be used to supplement dietary intake and help meet protein needs, especially early in treatment if appetite is significantly reduced. Use of liquid meal replacements typically containing 15 to 25 g of high-quality protein per serving has been shown to be effective for weight reduction and is commonly recommended after bariatric surgery [61, 65–67]. These considerations are even more important in older individuals seeking to lose weight because muscle mass decreases with age (potentially leading to sarcopenia); therefore, older individuals may be at higher risk of excessive muscle loss and risk of falls and fracture with weight loss [68]. Additional research on

TABLE 1 Nutritional recommendations for patients receiving AOMs (macronutrients and other dietary components)

Dietary component	Recommended intake	Recommended sources	Signs and symptoms of deficiency	Additional considerations
Fluids	>2-3 L/d	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Water Low-calorie beverages (e.g., tea, coffee) Nutrient-dense beverages (e.g., low-fat milk, soy milk) Limit sugar-sweetened beverages Limit alcohol and caffeine 	Hypotension, tachycardia, dizziness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Older individuals may be at greater risk of dehydration and associated complications Very low-carbohydrate, ketogenic diets may increase the risk of dehydration
Energy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Goals for intake during weight loss should be personalized 1200-1500 kcal/d for most women 1500-1800 kcal/d for most men 	A healthy dietary pattern emphasizing vegetables, fruits, whole grains, lean protein foods, low-fat dairy or dairy alternatives, and healthy fats	Reduced fat and lean mass, decreased strength and functional capacity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aging is associated with decreased energy expenditure
Fiber	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 21-25 g/d for women 30-38 g/d for men 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whole grains Vegetables Beans, peas, and lentils Fruits Nuts and seeds Fiber supplementation may be recommended when intake from whole foods is insufficient 	Constipation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dietary component of public health concern for the general US population Plant-based foods contain both soluble and insoluble fiber
Protein	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 10%-35% of energy intake >60-75 g/d and up to 1.5 g/kg body weight/d is typical; >1.5 g/kg body weight/d may be considered on an individual basis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Beans, peas, and lentils Nuts, seeds, and soy products Seafood Lean meat, poultry, low-fat dairy foods, and eggs Meal-replacement products (typically containing 15-25 g protein/serving) may be recommended when intake from whole foods is insufficient 	Loss of lean body mass, weakness, edema, hair loss, skin changes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aging and acute illness are associated with an increase in protein requirements compared with that in healthy adults

Carbohydrates

- 45%-65% of energy intake (~135-245 g/d for a 1200-1500-kcal/d diet)
- RDA is 130 g/d for nonpregnant adults
- Limit added sugars to <10% of energy intake

Although there is no absolute dietary requirement for carbohydrates, very low-carbohydrate (ketogenic) diets may increase the risk of dehydration, fatigue, halitosis, and other adverse events

Very low carbohydrate intake may lead to restricted intake of fruits, vegetables, and whole-grain foods, which are important sources of micronutrients and dietary fiber

(Continues)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Dietary component	Recommended intake	Recommended sources	Signs and symptoms of deficiency	Additional considerations
Fats	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 20%–35% of energy intake (~27–58 g/d for a 1200–1500-kcal/d diet) • Limit saturated fat to <10% of energy intake 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nuts and seeds • Avocado • Vegetable oils (limit palm and coconut oil) • Fatty fish and seafood 	Essential fatty acid deficiency: dry skin, hair loss, impaired wound healing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequate fat intake may promote gallbladder emptying, thereby reducing the risk of cholestasis during weight reduction • Individuals with malabsorptive gastrointestinal tract disorders or a history of malabsorptive bariatric surgery (especially duodenal switch) are at increased risk of deficiency of essential fats and fat-soluble vitamins (vitamins A, D, E, and K) • Consumption of high-fat meals may cause gastric distress

Abbreviations: AOMs, antiobesity medications; RDA, Recommended Dietary Allowance.

changes in body composition and functional outcomes with weight reduction should inform protein intake recommendations in older individuals with obesity [69, 70]. Patients should be instructed to consume high-protein foods first at each meal to ensure adequate protein intake.

Dietary carbohydrate

The acceptable macronutrient distribution range (AMDR) for carbohydrates for healthy adults is 45% to 65% of energy intake [42, 56], which corresponds to approximately 135 to 245 g/day for a 1200- to 1500-kcal/day diet or 170 to 290 g/day for a 1500- to 1800-kcal/day diet. Severe carbohydrate restriction is not necessary because it does not produce greater long-term weight reduction and may promote restricted intake of fruits, vegetables, and whole-grain foods, which are important sources of micronutrients and dietary fiber. Ketone body production with very low-carbohydrate (ketogenic) diets may promote increased urination, dehydration, and electrolyte imbalance [55]. For patients who prefer a low-carbohydrate dietary pattern, attention to hydration (with fluid intake of >2 L/day) is recommended, along with consumption of micronutrient-rich, high-fiber vegetables and fruits.

Dietary fat

Less evidence exists to guide recommended ranges of intake for dietary fats, and health outcomes can be met while taking patient preferences into account. The AMDR for fat for healthy adults is 20% to 35% of energy intake, corresponding to approximately 25 to 60 g/day for a 1200- to 1500-kcal/day diet or 35 to 70 g/day for a 1500- to 1800-kcal/day diet [42]. Dietary fats are important to aid in the absorption of fat-soluble vitamins (A, D, E, and K) and to stimulate gallbladder emptying during weight reduction, which may reduce the risk of gallstone formation [55]. Intake of foods rich in n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (e.g., flaxseed, soybean or canola oil, or fatty fish), n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids (e.g., nuts, seeds, and vegetable oils), or monounsaturated fatty acids (e.g., olive oil) should be prioritized, whereas intake of sources of saturated fat (e.g., animal fats and tropical oils) should be limited. A balanced and judicious intake of healthy fats should be considered. It has been recommended that fried and high-fat foods be avoided to decrease gastrointestinal side effects associated with AOMs [71, 72].

Dietary fiber

Over 90% of American adults fail to meet recommended intakes of dietary fiber [42]. Given this shortfall and the importance of dietary fiber for good health, the *Dietary Guidelines for Americans* identified fiber as a major dietary component of public health concern in the United States [42]. The Adequate Intake level of dietary fiber is 21 to

TABLE 2 Micronutrient deficiencies and considerations for patients receiving AOMs.

Micronutrient	Dietary sources ^a	Symptoms and signs of deficiency	Additional considerations
<p>Fat-soluble vitamins</p> <p>Vitamin A</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vitamin A (preformed retinol): liver, fortified foods (e.g., milk, cereals), egg yolk Provitamin A carotenoids: yellow, orange, and dark green leafy vegetables (e.g., sweet potato, carrot, spinach, kale); deep-orange fruits (cantaloupe, apricots, peaches) 	<p>Eye diseases including night blindness and xerophthalmia, susceptibility to infections, rough skin (follicular hyperkeratosis), impaired growth, impaired fertility</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequate intake in >50% of people with obesity Deficiency in 14%–24% of people with obesity Increased risk with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> malabsorptive disorders history of bariatric surgery
Vitamin D	Fatty fish, fortified foods (e.g., milk, cereals), egg yolk	Impaired bone mineralization (osteomalacia in adults; rickets in children), muscle weakness and pain, hypocalcemia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dietary component of public health concern for the general US population Deficiency or insufficiency in up to 90% of people with obesity Increased risk in/with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> individuals with reduced skin vitamin D synthesis due to limited sun exposure (e.g., use of sunscreen, covered clothing style, residence in latitudes 40° north or south from the equator), increased skin pigmentation, or advanced age malabsorptive disorders history of bariatric surgery Inadequate intake in >90% of people with obesity Deficiency in 2% of people with obesity Increased risk with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> malabsorptive disorders history of bariatric surgery
Vitamin E	Wheat germ, vegetable oils, nuts, avocado, green leafy vegetables, fish	Neurologic symptoms including ataxia, peripheral neuropathy, myopathy, retinopathy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequate intake in >90% of people with obesity Deficiency in 2% of people with obesity Increased risk with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> malabsorptive disorders history of bariatric surgery
Vitamin K	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Green leafy vegetables, canola and other vegetable oils Synthesized by gastrointestinal tract bacteria 	Hemorrhage, bruising, impaired bone mineralization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased risk with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> malabsorptive disorders liver disease chronic antibiotic therapy history of bariatric surgery
Water-soluble vitamins (must be consumed daily)			
Thiamin (vitamin B1)	Fortified cereals, whole-grain and enriched-grain products, pork, fish, beans, peas, lentils, nuts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dry beriberi: peripheral neuropathy, muscle weakness Wet beriberi: tachycardia, congestive heart failure, edema, dyspnea Cerebral beriberi: Wernicke-Korsakoff syndrome (ataxia, abnormal eye movements, cognitive impairment) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deficiency in 15%–29% of people with obesity Increased risk in/with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> older adults history of bariatric surgery alcohol-use disorder chronic diuretic therapy

(Continues)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Micronutrient	Dietary sources ^a	Symptoms and signs of deficiency	Additional considerations
Riboflavin (vitamin B2)	Dairy foods, meat, fish, poultry, eggs, nuts, green vegetables, mushrooms, fortified cereals, whole-grain or enriched breads	Dermatitis, cheilosis and angular stomatitis (cracks or lesions on the lips or at the corners of the mouth), sore throat, inflammation and redness of the tongue ("magenta tongue")	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Typically occurs in combination with other water-soluble vitamin deficiencies Increased risk with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> alcohol-use disorder vegan diet thyroid hormone insufficiency
Niacin (vitamin B3)	Meat, eggs, poultry, fish, milk, whole-grain and enriched breads, fortified cereals and grain products, nuts, beans, peas, and lentils	Pellagra: sun-sensitive dermatitis, diarrhea, and dementia ("the three Ds"), bright red tongue, vomiting, anorexia, depression, behavioral changes, memory loss	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased risk with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> alcohol-use disorder malabsorptive disorders (e.g., Crohn's disease)
Pantothenic acid (vitamin B5)	Found in most plant and animal foods; meat, fish, poultry, dairy products, egg yolk, avocado, mushrooms, nuts, seeds, beans, peas, and lentils are good sources; also synthesized by gastrointestinal tract bacteria	Paresthesia (numbness, burning sensation) in the hands and feet, headache, fatigue, irritability, insomnia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very rare; most commonly seen in combination with other micronutrient deficiencies in people with severe malnutrition
Vitamin B6 (pyridoxine, pyridoxal, pyridoxamine)	Chickpeas, meat, poultry, fish, shellfish, fortified cereals, nuts, soy products, banana, avocado, potatoes, spinach	Neurologic changes, e.g., seizures, irritability, confusion; skin disorders such as cheilosis, glossitis, and stomatitis; microcytic anemia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Usually occurs in combination with other vitamin B deficiencies Increased risk with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> alcohol-use disorder chronic kidney disease use of certain medications, e.g., nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and oral contraceptives
Biotin (vitamin B7)	Egg yolk, meat, fish, seeds, nuts, avocado, sweet potato; also synthesized by gastrointestinal tract bacteria	Alopecia (hair loss); scaly dermatitis around the eyes, nose, mouth, and perineum; neurological changes, e.g., ataxia, paresthesia, depression, seizures	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased risk with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> chronic consumption of raw egg whites use of certain anticonvulsants alcohol-use disorder
Folate (folic acid, vitamin B9)	Green leafy vegetables, beans, peas, lentils, nuts, seeds, avocado, citrus fruit, melon, enriched breads and grain products	Macrocytic anemia, hypersegmented neutrophils, fatigue, weakness, irritability, ulcerations on the tongue and oral mucosa	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deficiency in up to 54% of people with obesity (less common in countries with food fortification, including the United States) Increased risk with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> alcohol-use disorder malabsorptive disorders history of bariatric surgery tobacco use use of certain medications, e.g., methotrexate, triamterene, trimethoprim, sulfasalazine

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Micronutrient	Dietary sources ^a	Symptoms and signs of deficiency	Additional considerations
Vitamin B12 (cobalamin)	Animal products: meat, fish, poultry, shellfish, milk, cheese, eggs, fortified cereals	Macrocytic anemia, glossitis, fatigue, neurologic complications, including memory impairment, paresthesia, and peripheral neuropathy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deficiency in 2%–18% of people with obesity • Increased risk in/with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ history of bariatric surgery ◦ older adults (due to increased prevalence of atrophic gastritis) ◦ vegan diet ◦ use of medications, e.g., proton pump inhibitors, H2 blockers, metformin, colchicine ◦ hereditary intrinsic factor deficiency
Vitamin C (L-ascorbic acid)	Citrus fruits, berries, red pepper, cruciferous vegetables, tomatoes, potatoes	Scurvy: bleeding gums, loose teeth, bruising, perifollicular hemorrhage, poor wound healing, fatigue	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inadequate intake in >40% of people with obesity • Increased risk with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ restrictive, monotonous diets ◦ alcohol-use disorder ◦ tobacco use
Minerals			
Calcium	Milk and milk products, green leafy vegetables, sardines (with bones), tofu, beans	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bone loss • Hypocalcemia is usually associated with impaired parathyroid function, chronic kidney disease, or severe vitamin D deficiency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dietary components of public health concern for the general US population • Inadequate intake in >50% of people with obesity • Increased risk of deficiency in/with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ postmenopausal women ◦ history of bariatric surgery ◦ vegan diet ◦ lactose intolerance ◦ chronic kidney disease ◦ magnesium deficiency
Copper	Organ meats, seafood, nuts, seeds, whole grains, cocoa	Anemia, neutropenia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased risk of deficiency with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ history of bariatric surgery ◦ malabsorptive disorders ◦ severe and persistent diarrhea ◦ chronic consumption of supplemental zinc (≥50 mg/d)
Iron	Red meat, fish, poultry, shellfish, green leafy vegetables, beans, peas, lentils, nuts, dried fruits, fortified cereals	Microcytic and hypochromic anemia, fatigue, weakness, tachycardia, dyspnea, pallor, cold intolerance, koilonychia (brittle spoon-shaped nails), glossitis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deficiency in up to 45% of people with obesity • Vitamin C enhances absorption of nonheme iron, whereas other dietary components (e.g., polyphenols in coffee and tea, soy protein, calcium) can inhibit iron absorption • Increased risk in/with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ women of childbearing age ◦ vegetarians ◦ history of bariatric surgery

(Continues)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Micronutrient	Dietary sources ^a	Symptoms and signs of deficiency	Additional considerations
Magnesium	Nuts, seeds, beans, peas, lentils, dairy products, green leafy vegetables, fruits (e.g., banana, avocado), fish	Hypomagnesemia can cause muscle weakness and cramps, anorexia, nausea, and vomiting; it can also contribute to the development of hypocalcemia and hypokalemia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inadequate intake in >60% of people with obesity • Increased risk of hypomagnesemia in/with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ prolonged diarrhea ◦ malabsorptive disorders ◦ increased renal magnesium loss (e.g., with diuretics or diabetes) ◦ alcohol-use disorder ◦ older adults ◦ recovery from prolonged malnutrition ("refeeding syndrome")
Potassium	Fruits, vegetables, nuts, seeds, beans, peas, lentils, dairy products	Hypokalemia can cause muscle weakness and cramps, fatigue, cardiac arrhythmias	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dietary component of public health concern for the general US population • Increased risk of hypokalemia with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ low-quality monotonous diets ◦ chronic kidney disease, nephropathy ◦ prolonged vomiting or diarrhea ◦ diuretics (e.g., thiazides, loop diuretics) ◦ laxative abuse ◦ magnesium deficiency ◦ recovery from prolonged malnutrition ("refeeding syndrome")
Zinc	Meats, seafood, poultry, whole grains, fortified cereals, beans, peas, lentils, nuts, dairy products, eggs	Dermatitis, impaired wound healing, impaired taste, decreased immune function	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deficiency reported in 24%–28% of people with obesity • Increased risk of deficiency with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ bariatric surgery ◦ severe or chronic diarrhea ◦ malabsorptive disorders ◦ alcohol-use disorder ◦ vegetarian diet (phytates in grains and legumes can inhibit zinc absorption)

Note: Adapted from Wilson et al. [74], Additional information from Parrott et al. [83], Astrup and Bugel [84], and the Linus Pauling Institute [73].

Abbreviations: AOM, antiobesity medication.

^aTreatment of micronutrient deficiencies may require targeted micronutrient supplementation rather than reliance on food sources.

25 g/day for women and 30 to 38 g/day for men, depending on age [42, 56]. Good sources of dietary fiber include fruits, vegetables, and whole grains. Adequate intake of dietary fiber is associated with lower cardiovascular disease risk and is important for gastrointestinal health. Certain soluble, nonfermentable, gel-forming fibers such as psyllium and insoluble fibers such as coarse wheat bran can increase stool water content and bulk, which may aid stool passage [73–75]. For patients receiving AOMs who do not currently meet recommended fiber intakes, gradual increases in fiber intake along with adequate fluid intake may reduce constipation-related adverse events. Use of a fiber supplement may be considered when patients are unable to meet fiber goals with food alone.

Micronutrients

Micronutrients of public health concern for adults in the United States include potassium, calcium, and vitamin D, and guidelines recommend increased intake of food sources of these nutrients (vegetables, fruits, low-fat dairy, and fortified soy alternatives) [42]. Additional nutrients of concern include iron (in women of childbearing age) and vitamin B12 (in older adults) [42, 56]. Individuals with obesity are at increased risk of additional micronutrient deficiencies, as discussed later in this manuscript. Therefore, consideration should be given to recommending supplementation with a complete multivitamin, calcium, and vitamin D as appropriate for patients receiving AOMs [71].

Fluid intake

The Adequate Intake level for fluids is 2.2 L/day for women and 3.0 L/day for men, and fluid requirements can increase further with physical activity or hot climates [56]. Emerging data suggest that GLP-1 receptor agonism may reduce thirst and fluid intake in rodents and in adults with primary polydipsia [76, 77]. Additional research is needed to understand whether this effect is also observed in adults with obesity who are treated with AOMs that activate the GLP-1 receptor. However, patients receiving AOMs should be encouraged to achieve target fluid intakes of >2 to 3 L/day. Ideally, target fluid intakes should be met with water, low-calorie beverages (such as unsweetened coffee or tea), or nutrient-dense beverages (such as low-fat dairy or soy alternatives). Limitation or avoidance of caffeine intake has been recommended during weight loss in light of the potential diuretic effect of high caffeine intake [58, 71, 78]. The *Dietary Guidelines for Americans* recommends that alcohol intake be limited to 1 drink/day for women or 2 drinks/day for men [42]. However, recent guidance suggests that carcinogenic effects and other risks associated with intake of alcohol occur with any amount, which suggests that there is no “safe” level of alcohol consumption [79].

OBESITY AND MALNUTRITION

Malnutrition is a broad term used to describe deficiencies, excesses, or imbalances in the intake of energy or nutrients along with the resulting physiological changes. Obesity increases the risk of certain forms of malnutrition. For example, individuals with obesity are frequently found to have biochemical evidence of deficiencies of micronutrients, including vitamin D, vitamin B12, folate, thiamine, iron, and zinc [80–83]. In addition, inadequate intakes of calcium, magnesium, and vitamins A, E, and C have been reported for patients with obesity [73, 74, 83, 84]. Data from bariatric surgical cohorts suggest that the prevalence of preexisting nutritional deficiencies is increasing in this patient population [83]. Micronutrient deficiencies can affect multiple organ systems, leading to fatigue, reduced physical function, impaired mood and cognition, immune dysfunction, and multiple other complications (Table 2).

Obesity can coexist not only with micronutrient deficiencies but also with sarcopenia, a reduction in skeletal muscle mass and strength most often associated with aging [85]. Sarcopenic obesity has been associated with reduced physical function and quality of life along with an increased risk of disability, as well as cardiometabolic complications [86]. In patients with sarcopenic obesity, weight-loss-associated reductions in muscle mass may exacerbate the condition. Incorporation of strategies to minimize the loss of muscle mass and to improve muscle function (such as adequate protein intake and resistance training) may improve outcomes for these patients during obesity treatment [57].

Micro- and macronutrient deficiencies may also occur with obesity treatments such as low-calorie diets, VLCDs, or bariatric surgery due to inadequate nutrient intake or impaired absorption, although dietary quality may drive nutrient intake more than the level of energy intake. Preventive actions and regular monitoring of patients can reduce the risk of medical and nutritional complications observed with treatment, and we can apply the knowledge gained in these settings to reduce these risks in patients who are treated with AOMs. For example, for patients considering bariatric surgery, nutritional assessment (including evaluation by a registered dietitian and screening laboratory tests) and treatment of preexisting micronutrient deficiencies are recommended [58]. Following bariatric surgery, routine vitamin and mineral supplementation, including a complete multivitamin, calcium, and vitamin D, is recommended along with regular assessment of nutritional status. Micronutrient malabsorption can occur after some types of bariatric surgery but is not expected during treatment with most AOMs. Therefore, the risk of developing a micronutrient deficiency may be lower in individuals treated with AOMs than in surgical patients, and appropriate monitoring, as discussed in the following section, may further reduce the risk. In adults, dietary supplementation with a complete multivitamin has been associated with a reduced risk of micronutrient deficiency, particularly for those with obesity [87, 88].

IDENTIFICATION OF PATIENTS AT INCREASED RISK OF MALNUTRITION PRIOR TO INITIATION OF AOM THERAPY

Given the frequent coexistence of obesity and malnutrition, an assessment of nutritional status should be performed prior to treatment with AOMs. Clinical assessment may include a 24-h or usual dietary recall and weight history. Evaluation of nutritional status may also include medical history and physical examination directed to an assessment of symptoms and signs of nutritional deficiencies (Tables 1 and 2), anthropometric measurements, body composition analysis, biochemical tests, and assessments of physical function such as grip strength. Increased risk of micronutrient deficiencies in obesity may be a result of consumption of nutrient-poor diets, including in the setting of food insecurity, and changes in the absorption, distribution, or metabolism of nutrients, resulting from obesity-associated systemic inflammation and disease [84].

Although obesity is generally associated with an increased risk of nutritional deficiencies, certain patients are at even greater risk of nutritional compromise. Identification of these individuals may help prevent more serious nutritional and medical complications that might occur with decreased food intake associated with AOMs. Patients with monotonous diets of poor quality are likely to be at higher risk, and dietary counseling by a registered dietitian may help improve dietary quality. Older adults are also at greater risk of nutritional complications [42]. Older adults may not meet recommended intakes of key nutrients such as protein and vitamin B12, and aging-associated changes in protein metabolism and vitamin B12 absorption may compound the issue [42]. In addition, older adults may have a greater risk of dehydration than younger individuals due to multiple factors, including aging-associated changes in thirst and decreased urinary concentrating capacity [56, 89]. Furthermore, older adults may be more prone to complications of dehydration, such as acute kidney injury [89]. Patients with comorbid diseases such as chronic kidney disease or heart failure or those on medications that impact nutrient metabolism or absorption (such as metformin or proton pump inhibitors) may also be at increased risk of nutritional complications during AOM therapy. Individuals who have undergone bariatric surgery are also a high-risk group. There is increasing attention on patients who experience poor postsurgical weight loss and/or weight regain, and treatment with AOMs may be considered in these situations [58]. However, given the impact of common bariatric procedures on micronutrient absorption and the high prevalence of postoperative nutritional deficiencies in bariatric surgery patients, regular nutritional monitoring is essential if treatment with AOMs is instituted. Additional research is needed to explore potential benefits and risks of AOMs in patients with binge-eating disorder [90, 91]. Treatment for binge-eating disorder should include behavioral counseling with a trained mental health professional and may also include approved pharmacotherapies such as lisdexamfetamine, which has demonstrated efficacy in this population [92]. AOMs are generally inappropriate for those with other eating disorders, including bulimia or anorexia nervosa [71].

Treatment of preexisting nutritional deficiencies prior to AOM initiation should be individualized based on the specific deficiencies

identified, the severity of deficiencies, and the presence of comorbid diseases that may alter nutrient metabolism. For example, in one study documenting a high prevalence of micronutrient deficiencies among individuals with obesity prior to treatment, the consumption of a low-calorie formula diet containing >100% of the Dietary Reference Intake for micronutrients for 3 months was associated with inconsistent effects, including improvement in the status of some micronutrients but deterioration in others [82]. No studies, to our knowledge, have examined the impact of routine micronutrient supplementation on the risk of nutritional deficiencies with AOM therapy, but the study by Damms-Machado et al. from 2012 [82] suggests that supplementation with a complete multivitamin alone may not be adequate to correct all preexisting deficiencies, particularly under decreased food intake associated with AOMs. Therefore, for patients with micronutrient deficiencies identified before or during AOM therapy, correction of these deficiencies through targeted micronutrient supplementation may be necessary, even when a complete multivitamin is recommended.

IDENTIFICATION AND MANAGEMENT OF PATIENTS AT INCREASED RISK OF NUTRITIONAL COMPLICATIONS DURING AOM THERAPY

Treatment with AOMs typically leads to decreased appetite and food intake, resulting in weight reduction. Patients who experience gastrointestinal adverse events, greater appetite suppression, or excessive weight loss during AOM therapy may be at an increased risk of nutritional complications. This section includes recommendations for the identification and management of these specific issues during AOM therapy, which should be considered along with the nutritional recommendations proposed earlier in this manuscript and in Tables 1 and 2.

Therapy with AOMs that activate the GLP-1 receptor (such as liraglutide, semaglutide, and tirzepatide) is associated with an increased risk of gastrointestinal adverse events, including nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, dyspepsia, and constipation. Most of these events are mild or moderate, dose-dependent, and transient in nature [22, 25]. To limit these symptoms and reduce the risk of downstream nutritional complications, management strategies may include adjustments to medications and/or dietary intake. Slower AOM dose escalation or dose reduction can be employed on an individualized basis to mitigate these symptoms [72]. Attention should be paid to concomitant medications, such as metformin, which can increase the risk of gastrointestinal adverse events with AOMs [93]. Exacerbation of gastroesophageal reflux disease may be managed with short-term use of proton pump inhibitors or H₂ receptor blockers, with the understanding that weight reduction often improves symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Dietary modification may also be helpful. Patients can be advised to consume smaller and more frequent meals, stop eating prior to feeling full, and avoid foods and beverages that may worsen gastrointestinal symptoms (e.g., high-fat foods, spicy foods, alcohol, and carbonated beverages) [72]. For constipation, strategies for management may

include attention to fiber and fluid intake and use of osmotic or stimulant laxatives. Patients should be advised to reach out to their clinicians if gastrointestinal symptoms do not resolve with time, as expected, or if symptoms worsen.

Patients who experience greater appetite suppression or more severe gastrointestinal adverse events with treatment than is typical may be at increased risk of nutritional complications, as well as dehydration, acute kidney injury, and electrolyte imbalance. Individuals with significantly depressed appetite should be encouraged to consume fluids and small nutrient-rich meals more frequently, and dose adjustment should be considered if poor appetite prevents adequate intake of fluids or key nutrients such as protein or micronutrients. Early recognition and management of persistent or more severe gastrointestinal symptoms can enable clinicians to take preventive action to reduce the risk of complications. These actions may include AOM dose adjustment or cessation of AOM therapy, as well as medical management of gastrointestinal symptoms, regular reevaluation of fluid and nutritional status, short-term dietary supplementation (e.g., with a multivitamin, calcium, and vitamin D or micronutrient-enriched protein shakes), and referral to a registered dietitian for evaluation and dietary counseling. Consideration should also be given to adjustment of baseline medications such as antidiabetic medications, antihypertensives, and diuretics.

In rare cases, patients treated with AOMs may experience excessive weight loss [18]. Determination of whether a patient's weight loss is excessive should be individualized, patient-centered, and focused on health status. Clinically, weight loss may be excessive if it results in adverse health outcomes such as micronutrient deficiency; impairment of normal physiologic processes (e.g., amenorrhea), physical function, or psychological well-being; or a BMI < 18.5 kg/m² or total body fat percentage < 10% for men and < 15% for women [18]. In this situation, AOM dose reduction or temporary cessation of therapy may be indicated to allow for further evaluation and an appropriate amount of weight regain. Evaluation for secondary causes of weight loss (e.g., eating disorders, endocrine disease, gastrointestinal tract disorders, cancer, or other chronic disease) should be considered, particularly if cessation of AOM therapy does not result in weight stabilization and/or regain.

OTHER GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR OBESITY MANAGEMENT WITH AOMS

A common misconception is that slower weight loss leads to greater long-term health benefits, including smaller loss of lean mass, better preservation of energy expenditure, and greater maintenance of reduced body weight over time, compared to more rapid weight loss. However, if individuals meet key lifestyle goals (such as sufficient protein intake and physical activity), the rate of weight loss may not significantly impact long-term changes in body composition, energy expenditure, or cardiometabolic factors [94–97]. Weight reduction, regardless of rate, typically leads to a reduction in lean mass as well as fat mass, and these effects are typically proportional to the amount of weight reduction achieved [95, 98]. However, the loss of muscle or

bone mass may be particularly undesirable for some individuals such as adults with sarcopenic obesity or those with risk factors for osteoporosis [97]. In these individuals, body composition assessment and measures to limit muscle or bone loss should be considered during AOM therapy.


People with obesity frequently experience weight bias and stigma, which can negatively impact health behaviors and outcomes. The experience of weight stigma increases the risk of psychological distress (e.g., depression and anxiety), disordered eating patterns, avoidance of physical activity, and physiological stress (evidenced by elevated levels of cortisol and inflammatory markers), all of which can hinder weight-management efforts [99]. Individuals may internalize a message of weight bias, and this can negatively impact weight and health. Clinicians are encouraged to recognize weight bias in health care and work to reduce its impact in order to optimize clinical care for patients with obesity [100].

Patients should be monitored for the emergence or worsening of depression, suicidal ideation, or other psychopathologies. Patients who achieve significant weight reduction may experience unexpected changes in the dynamics of their relationships with others, which can sometimes be distressing [18]. For example, friends or family members may not be supportive of patients' lifestyle changes if they impact shared activities and time together. Patients may be uncomfortable with the attention that they receive after weight reduction, particularly if they have a history of trauma or abuse. Clinicians should be sensitive to patients' social and emotional needs and provide this support or refer patients for help with coping strategies [18]. Opportunities for patient support include support groups, mobile applications (apps), or referral to a qualified mental health professional.

Patients may report other concerns associated with dramatic weight reduction or lifestyle changes, such as excess skin, hair thinning, or perceptions of a healthy amount of weight reduction as excessive. If the patient has had good health outcomes (including improvement in the risk of obesity-associated complications, preserved or improved physical function, and good nutritional status), the clinician can provide reassurance and treatments aimed at addressing concerns. For example, a patient bothered by excess skin may benefit from a referral to a plastic surgeon for consideration of panniculectomy after a period of maintenance at goal weight. A patient concerned about hair loss may be reassured that increased hair shedding is common with sustained negative energy balance and usually resolves after weight stability. Appropriate evaluation should first be conducted to rule out reversible nutritional causes of hair loss, such as inadequate protein intake or iron deficiency. Ultimately, the weight target should be patient-centered, and it should be clear to the patient that the goal of treatment does not need to be achievement of a “normal” BMI (i.e., BMI < 25 kg/m²).

CONCLUSION

Recently approved and emerging AOMs represent a significant advancement in the treatment of obesity, facilitating improvement in

cardiometabolic risk factors and physical function along with average body weight reductions of $\geq 15\%$, which were previously seen only with intensive interventions such as bariatric surgery. Nutritional assessment and counseling of patients treated with AOMs can facilitate the identification and management of preexisting risk factors for malnutrition and can provide patients with goals for the intake of key nutrients including protein, dietary fiber, micronutrients, and fluid. Ongoing monitoring during treatment can enable early recognition and management of any concerns that emerge, including inadequate nutrient intake, gastrointestinal symptoms, or mood disorders. Current data to guide nutritional recommendations for patients treated with AOMs are limited. For this reason, the nutritional recommendations proposed in this review include evidence from general population health, bariatric surgery, and VLCDs. Additional research can help inform best practices to promote optimal nutritional and medical outcomes in patients treated with AOMs. 

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Jaime P. Almandoz, Colleen Tewksbury, Caroline M. Apovian, Angela Fitch, Zhaoping Li, Jesse Richards, Kadie S. Vanderman, and Lisa M. Neff were involved with the design of the work. All authors were involved with data collection or interpretation. Irina Jouravskaya, Kadie S. Vanderman, and Lisa M. Neff drafted the manuscript, and all other authors provided critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors were involved in writing the manuscript and provided final approval of the submitted and published versions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Raena Fernandes, MSC, ELS, for editorial assistance.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This work was supported by Eli Lilly and Company. Writing assistance was provided by Syneos Health, supported by Eli Lilly and Company.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

Jaime P. Almandoz has received consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly and Company, and Novo Nordisk A/S; received payment or honoraria for lectures from Clinical Care Options, the Institute for Medical and Nursing Education, and PeerView; and served in a leadership or fiduciary role with The Obesity Society Governing Board. Thomas A. Wadden has received consulting fees from Novo Nordisk A/S and WW International, Inc. (formerly Weight Watchers). Colleen Tewksbury has received payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers bureaus, manuscript writing, or educational events from the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics and the Commission on Dietetic Registration; received support for attending meetings and/or travel from the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics; served in a leadership or fiduciary role for the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics Weight Management Dietetic Practice Group Executive Committee; and served as a spokesperson for the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. Caroline M. Apovian has received institutional grants from GI Dynamics Inc. (now Morphic Medical), Novo Nordisk

A/S, and the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute; received consulting fees from Cowen and Company, LLC; received payment or honoraria for lectures from Rhythm Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; participated on advisory boards for Altimmune, CinFina Pharma, Currax Pharmaceuticals, EPG Communication Holdings, Form Health, L-Nutra, NeuroBo Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Novo Nordisk A/S, PainScript, Palatin Technologies, Inc., Pursuit By You, ReShape Lifesciences, Inc., Riverview School, and Roman Health Ventures Inc.; served in a leadership or fiduciary role with the World Obesity Federation; and received stock or stock options from Gelesis and Xenobiosciences. Angela Fitch has received consulting fees from Eli Lilly and Company, Jenny Craig, Novo Nordisk A/S, Sidekick Health, and Vivus; received payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers bureaus, manuscript writing, or educational events from Novo Nordisk A/S; received payment for expert testimony from the state of Massachusetts; received support for attending meetings and/or travel from the Obesity Medicine Association and Pfizer Inc.; served in a leadership or fiduciary role with the Obesity Medicine Association; and received stock or stock options from Eli Lilly and Company and Novo Nordisk A/S. Jamy D. Ard has received grants or contracts from Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly and Company, Epitomee, KVK Tech, Nestlé Health Science, UnitedHealth Group R&D, and WW International Inc.; received consulting fees from Brightseed, Eli Lilly and Company, Intuitive, Level2, Nestlé Health Science, Novo Nordisk A/S, OptumLabs R&D, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Spoke Health, and WW International Inc.; served in a leadership or fiduciary role for The Obesity Society and American Society for Nutrition Foundation; and received equipment, materials, drugs, medical writing, gifts, or other services from KVK Tech, Nestlé Health Science, and WW International Inc. Zhaoping Li has served on advisory boards for Abbott Laboratories. Jesse Richards has received grants or contracts from speakers bureaus for Eli Lilly and Company; received payment or honoraria for lectures from speakers bureaus for Novo Nordisk A/S and Rhythm Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; and served on an advisory board for Rhythm Pharmaceuticals, Inc. W. Scott Butsch has received grants from Eli Lilly and Company; consulting fees from Novo Nordisk A/S; payment from Med Learning Group and Potomac Center for Medical Education; and served on advisory boards for Abbott Laboratories, Eli Lilly and Company, Medscape, and Alfie Health. Irina Jouravskaya is an employee of Eli Lilly and Company. Kadie S. Vanderman is an employee of Syneos Health. Lisa M. Neff is an employee and stockholder of Eli Lilly and Company; has received grants or contracts from Aegerion Pharmaceuticals Inc.; and has served in a leadership or fiduciary role with *Current Developments in Nutrition* (journal) and the National Board of Physician Nutrition Specialists.

ORCID

Jaime P. Almandoz  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0462-0843>

Thomas A. Wadden  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0438-4609>

Caroline M. Apovian  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8029-1922>

Jamy D. Ard  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1643-6795>

Zhaoping Li  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8662-4310>

Jesse Richards  <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-7985-8882>

W. Scott Butsch  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7137-9423>

Kadie S. Vanderman  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9481-1984>

Lisa M. Neff  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3006-6057>

REFERENCES

- Bray GA, Kim KK, Wilding JPH; World Obesity Federation. Obesity: a chronic relapsing progressive disease process. A position statement of the World Obesity Federation. *Obes Rev.* 2017;18(7):715-723.
- Jastreboff AM, Kotz CM, Kahan S, Kelly AS, Heymsfield SB. Obesity as a disease: The Obesity Society 2018 position statement. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2019;27(1):7-9.
- O'Rahilly S, Farooqi IS. Human obesity: a heritable neurobehavioral disorder that is highly sensitive to environmental conditions. *Diabetes.* 2008;57(11):2905-2910.
- Wharton S, Lau DCW, Vallis M, et al. Obesity in adults: a clinical practice guideline. *CMAJ.* 2020;192(31):E875-E891.
- Garvey WT, Mechanick JI, Brett EM, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology comprehensive clinical practice guidelines for medical care of patients with obesity. *Endocr Pract.* 2016;22(suppl 3):1-203.
- Lingvay I, Sumithran P, Cohen RV, le Roux CW. Obesity management as a primary treatment goal for type 2 diabetes: time to reframe the conversation. *Lancet.* 2022;399(10322):394-405.
- Ryan DH, Yockey SR. Weight loss and improvement in comorbidity: differences at 5%, 10%, 15%, and over. *Curr Obes Rep.* 2017;6(2):187-194.
- Wing RR, Lang W, Wadden TA, et al. Benefits of modest weight loss in improving cardiovascular risk factors in overweight and obese individuals with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2011;34(7):1481-1486.
- Anderson JW, Konz EC, Frederich RC, Wood CL. Long-term weight-loss maintenance: a meta-analysis of US studies. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2001;74(5):579-584.
- Hall KD, Kahan S. Maintenance of lost weight and long-term management of obesity. *Med Clin North Am.* 2018;102(1):183-197.
- Melby CL, Paris HL, Foright RM, Peth J. Attenuating the biologic drive for weight regain following weight loss: must what goes down always go back up? *Nutrients.* 2017;9(5):468.
- Morton GJ, Cummings DE, Baskin DG, Barsh GS, Schwartz MW. Central nervous system control of food intake and body weight. *Nature.* 2006;443(7109):289-295.
- Sumithran P, Prendergast LA, Delbridge E, et al. Long-term persistence of hormonal adaptations to weight loss. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;365(17):1597-1604.
- Rosenbaum M, Hirsch J, Gallagher DA, Leibel RL. Long-term persistence of adaptive thermogenesis in subjects who have maintained a reduced body weight. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2008;88(4):906-912.
- Fothergill E, Guo J, Howard L, et al. Persistent metabolic adaptation 6 years after "The Biggest Loser" competition. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2016;24(8):1612-1619.
- FDA approves new drug treatment for chronic weight management, first since 2014. News release. US Food and Drug Administration; June 4, 2021. Accessed May 29, 2023 <https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-new-drug-treatment-chronic-weight-management-first-2014>
- Kabiri M, Sexton Ward A, Ramasamy A, et al. The societal value of broader access to antiobesity medications. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2020;28(2):429-436.
- Bays HE, Burridge K, Richards J, Fitch A. Obesity Pillars roundtable: excessive weight reduction with highly effective anti-obesity medications (heAOMs). *Obes Pillars.* 2022;4:100039.
- Muller TD, Bluher M, Tschop MH, DiMarchi RD. Anti-obesity drug discovery: advances and challenges. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2022;21(3):201-223.
- Wharton S, Batterham RL, Bhatta M, et al. Two-year effect of semaglutide 2.4 mg on control of eating in adults with overweight/obesity: STEP 5. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2023;31(3):703-715.
- Heise T, DeVries JH, Urva S, et al. Tirzepatide reduces appetite, energy intake, and fat mass in people with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2023;46(5):998-1004.
- Wilding JPH, Batterham RL, Calanna S, et al. Once-weekly semaglutide in adults with overweight or obesity. *N Engl J Med.* 2021;384(11):989-1002.
- Rubino D, Abrahamsson N, Davies M, et al. Effect of continued weekly subcutaneous semaglutide vs placebo on weight loss maintenance in adults with overweight or obesity: the STEP 4 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2021;325(14):1414-1425.
- Lincoff AM, Brown-Frandsen K, Colhoun HM, et al. Semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in obesity without diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2023;389(24):2221-2232.
- Jastreboff AM, Aronne LJ, Ahmad NN, et al. Tirzepatide once weekly for the treatment of obesity. *N Engl J Med.* 2022;387(3):205-216.
- Wharton S, Calanna S, Davies M, et al. Gastrointestinal tolerability of once-weekly semaglutide 2.4 mg in adults with overweight or obesity, and the relationship between gastrointestinal adverse events and weight loss. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2022;24(1):94-105.
- Patel H, Khunti K, Rodbard HW, et al. Tirzepatide-induced weight loss in type 2 diabetes is independent of nausea, vomiting, or diarrhoea. *Diabetologia.* 2022;65(suppl 1):S290. European Association for the Study of Diabetes abstract 568.
- Jastreboff AM, Kaplan LM, Frias JP, et al. Triple-hormone-receptor agonist retatrutide for obesity - a phase 2 trial. *N Engl J Med.* 2023;389(6):514-526.
- Becerril S, Fruhbeck G. Cagrilintide plus semaglutide for obesity management. *Lancet.* 2021;397(10286):1687-1689.
- Friedrichsen M, Breitschaft A, Tadayon S, Wizert A, Skovgaard D. The effect of semaglutide 2.4 mg once weekly on energy intake, appetite, control of eating, and gastric emptying in adults with obesity. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2021;23(3):754-762.
- Martin CK, Ravussin E, Sanchez-Delgado G, et al. 128-OR: the effect of tirzepatide during weight loss on food intake, appetite, food preference, and food craving in people with obesity. *Diabetes.* 2023;72(suppl 1):128-OR. American Diabetes Association abstract.
- Hall KD. Physiology of the weight loss plateau in response to diet restriction, GLP-1 receptor agonism, and bariatric surgery. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2024;32:1163-1168. doi:10.1002/oby.24027.
- Kris-Etherton PM, Petersen KS, Despres JP, et al. Special considerations for healthy lifestyle promotion across the life span in clinical settings: a science advisory from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2021;144(24):e515-e532.
- Kushner RF, Batsis JA, Butsch WS, et al. Weight history in clinical practice: the state of the science and future directions. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2020;28(1):9-17.
- Brown J, Clarke C, Johnson Stoklossa C, Sievenpiper J. Canadian Adult Obesity Clinical Practice Guidelines: medical nutrition therapy in obesity management. Version 2. Published October 21, 2022. Accessed December 10, 2023. <https://obesitycanada.ca/guidelines/nutrition>.
- Mitchell LJ, Ball LE, Ross LJ, Barnes KA, Williams LT. Effectiveness of dietetic consultations in primary health care: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *J Acad Nutr Diet.* 2017;117(12):1941-1962.
- Haywood CJ, Prendergast LA, Lim R, Lappas M, Lim WK, Proietto J. Obesity in older adults: effect of degree of weight loss on cardiovascular markers and medications. *Clin Obes.* 2019;9(4):e12316.

38. Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, Bessesen DH, et al. Pharmacological management of obesity: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2015;100(2):342-362.
39. Pedersen SD, Manjoo P, Wharton S. Canadian Adult Obesity Clinical Practice Guidelines: pharmacotherapy for obesity management. Version 2. Published October 21, 2022. Accessed August 7, 2023. <https://obesitycanada.ca/guidelines/pharmacotherapy>
40. Wilding JPH, Batterham RL, Davies M, et al. Weight regain and cardiometabolic effects after withdrawal of semaglutide: the STEP 1 trial extension. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2022;24(8):1553-1564.
41. Aronne LJ, Sattar N, Horn DB, et al. Continued treatment with tirzepatide for maintenance of weight reduction in adults with obesity: the SURMOUNT-4 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2024;331(1):38-48.
42. U.S. Department of Agriculture and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2020-2025. 9th ed. Published December. 2020. <https://www.dietaryguidelines.gov/resources/2020-2025-dietary-guidelines-online-materials>
43. Gabery S, Salinas CG, Paulsen SJ, et al. Semaglutide lowers body weight in rodents via distributed neural pathways. *JCI Insight.* 2020;5(6):e133429.
44. Geisler CE, Antonellis MP, Trumbauer W, et al. Tirzepatide suppresses palatable food intake by selectively reducing preference for fat in rodents. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2023;25(1):56-67.
45. Ryan DH, Kahan S. Guideline recommendations for obesity management. *Med Clin North Am.* 2018;102(1):49-63.
46. Gardner CD, Trepanowski JF, Del Gobbo LC, et al. Effect of low-fat vs low-carbohydrate diet on 12-month weight loss in overweight adults and the association with genotype pattern or insulin secretion: the DIETFITS randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2018;319(7):667-679.
47. Andromalos L, Crowley N, Brown J, et al. Nutrition care in bariatric surgery: an academy evidence analysis center systematic review. *J Acad Nutr Diet.* 2019;119(4):678-686.
48. Johnston BC, Kanters S, Bandayrel K, et al. Comparison of weight loss among named diet programs in overweight and obese adults: a meta-analysis. *JAMA.* 2014;312(9):923-933.
49. Shan Z, Wang F, Li Y, et al. Healthy eating patterns and risk of total and cause-specific mortality. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2023;183(2):142-153.
50. Harmon BE, Boushey CJ, Shvetsov YB, et al. Associations of key diet-quality indexes with mortality in the multiethnic cohort: the Dietary Patterns Methods Project. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2015;101(3):587-597.
51. Shan Z, Li Y, Baden MY, et al. Association between healthy eating patterns and risk of cardiovascular disease. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2020;180(8):1090-1100.
52. English LK, Ard JD, Bailey RL, et al. Evaluation of dietary patterns and all-cause mortality: a systematic review. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2021;4(8):e2122277.
53. U.S. Department of Agriculture. My plate: Healthy eating on a budget. MyPlate website. Accessed October 25, 2023. <https://www.myplate.gov/eat-healthy/healthy-eating-budget>
54. Jensen MD, Ryan DH, Apovian CM, et al. 2013 AHA/ACC/TOS guideline for the management of overweight and obesity in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and The Obesity Society. *Circulation.* 2014;129(25 Suppl 2):S102-S138.
55. Muscogiuri G, El Ghoch M, Colao A, et al. European guidelines for obesity management in adults with a very low-calorie ketogenic diet: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Facts.* 2021;14(2):222-245.
56. Institute of Medicine. *Dietary Reference Intakes: the Essential Guide to Nutrient Requirements.* The National Academies Press; 2006.
57. Trouwborst I, Verreijen A, Memelink R, et al. Exercise and nutrition strategies to counteract sarcopenic obesity. *Nutrients.* 2018;10(5):605.
58. Mechanick JI, Apovian C, Brethauer S, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the perioperative nutrition, metabolic, and nonsurgical support of patients undergoing bariatric procedures - 2019 update: cosponsored by American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists/American College of Endocrinology, the Obesity Society, American Society for Metabolic & Bariatric Surgery, Obesity Medicine Association, and American Society of Anesthesiologists - executive summary. *Endocr Pract.* 2019;25(12):1346-1359.
59. Weijs PJM, Wolfe RR. Exploration of the protein requirement during weight loss in obese older adults. *Clin Nutr.* 2016;35(2):394-398.
60. EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies. Scientific opinion on the essential composition of total diet replacements for weight control. *EFSA J.* 2015;13(1):3957. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2015.3957
61. Busetto L, Dicker D, Azran C, et al. Practical recommendations of the obesity management task force of the European Association for the Study of Obesity for the post-bariatric surgery medical management. *Obes Facts.* 2017;10(6):597-632.
62. Lopez P, Taaffe DR, Galvao DA, et al. Resistance training effectiveness on body composition and body weight outcomes in individuals with overweight and obesity across the lifespan: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev.* 2022;23(5):e13428.
63. Miller CT, Fraser SF, Levinger I, et al. The effects of exercise training in addition to energy restriction on functional capacities and body composition in obese adults during weight loss: a systematic review. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(11):e81692.
64. Yin YH, Liu JYW, Valimaki M. Effectiveness of non-pharmacological interventions on the management of sarcopenic obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Exp Gerontol.* 2020;135:110937.
65. Look AHEAD Research Group, Wadden TA, West DS, Delahanty L, et al. Eight-year weight losses with an intensive lifestyle intervention: the Look AHEAD study. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2014;22(1):5-13.
66. Ard JD, Lewis KH, Rothberg A, et al. Effectiveness of a total meal replacement program (OPTIFAST program) on weight loss: results from the OPTIWIN study. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2019;27(1):22-29.
67. Wirunsawanya K, Upala S, Jaruvongvanich V, Sanguankeo A. Whey protein supplementation improves body composition and cardiovascular risk factors in overweight and obese patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Nutr.* 2018;37(1):60-70.
68. Villareal DT, Apovian CM, Kushner RF, Klein S; American Society for Nutrition; The Obesity Society. Obesity in older adults: technical review and position statement of the American Society for Nutrition and NAASO, The Obesity Society. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2005;82(5):923-934.
69. Eglseer D, Traxler M, Schoufour JD, et al. Nutritional and exercise interventions in individuals with sarcopenic obesity around retirement age: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr Rev.* 2023;81(9):1077-1090.
70. Gortan Cappellari G, Guillet C, Poggiogalle E, et al. Sarcopenic obesity research perspectives outlined by the Sarcopenic Obesity Global Leadership Initiative (SOGLI) - proceedings from the SOGLI consortium meeting in Rome November 2022. *Clin Nutr.* 2023;42(5):687-699.
71. Wadden TA, Chao AM, Moore M, et al. The role of lifestyle modification with second-generation anti-obesity medications: comparisons, questions, and clinical opportunities. *Curr Obes Rep.* 2023;12(4):453-473.
72. Wharton S, Davies M, Dicker D, et al. Managing the gastrointestinal side effects of GLP-1 receptor agonists in obesity: recommendations for clinical practice. *Postgrad Med.* 2022;134(1):14-19.
73. Linus Pauling Institute. Micronutrient Information Center. Accessed September 27, 2023. <https://lpi.oregonstate.edu/mic>
74. Wilson T, Temple NJ, Bray GA. *Nutrition Guide for Physicians and Related Healthcare Professions.* 3rd ed. Springer Nature; 2022.
75. McRorie JW Jr, McKeown NM. Understanding the physics of functional fibers in the gastrointestinal tract: an evidence-based approach

- to resolving enduring misconceptions about insoluble and soluble fiber. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2017;117(2):251-264.
76. McKay NJ, Kanoski SE, Hayes MR, Daniels D. Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists suppress water intake independent of effects on food intake. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2011; 301(6):R1755-R1764.
 77. Winzeler B, Sailer CO, Coynel D, et al. A randomized controlled trial of the GLP-1 receptor agonist dulaglutide in primary polydipsia. *J Clin Invest*. 2021;131(20):e151800.
 78. Seal AD, Bardis CN, Gavrieli A, et al. Coffee with high but not low caffeine content augments fluid and electrolyte excretion at rest. *Front Nutr*. 2017;4:40.
 79. Anderson BO, Berdzuli N, Ilbawi A, et al. Health and cancer risks associated with low levels of alcohol consumption. *Lancet Public Health*. 2023;8(1):e6-e7.
 80. Pellegrini M, Rahimi F, Boschetti S, et al. Pre-operative micronutrient deficiencies in patients with severe obesity candidates for bariatric surgery. *J Endocrinol Invest*. 2021;44(7):1413-1423.
 81. Aasheim ET, Hofso D, Hjelmestaeth J, Birkeland KI, Bohmer T. Vitamin status in morbidly obese patients: a cross-sectional study. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2008;87(2):362-369.
 82. Damms-Machado A, Weser G, Bischoff SC. Micronutrient deficiency in obese subjects undergoing low calorie diet. *Nutr J*. 2012;11:34.
 83. Parrott J, Frank L, Rabena R, Craggs-Dino L, Isom KA, Greiman L. American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery integrated health nutritional guidelines for the surgical weight loss patient 2016 update: micronutrients. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2017;13(5):727-741.
 84. Astrup A, Bugel S. Overfed but undernourished: recognizing nutritional inadequacies/deficiencies in patients with overweight or obesity. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2019;43(2):219-232.
 85. Johnson Stoklossa CA, Sharma AM, Forhan M, Siervo M, Padwal RS, Prado CM. Prevalence of sarcopenic obesity in adults with class II/III obesity using different diagnostic criteria. *J Nutr Metab*. 2017;2017: 7307618.
 86. Prado CM, Wells JC, Smith SR, Stephan BC, Siervo M. Sarcopenic obesity: a critical appraisal of the current evidence. *Clin Nutr*. 2012; 31(5):583-601.
 87. Frankenfeld CL, Wallace TC. Multivitamins and nutritional adequacy in middle-aged to older Americans by obesity status. *J Diet Suppl*. 2020;17(6):684-697.
 88. Blumberg JB, Frei BB, Fulgoni VL, Weaver CM, Zeisel SH. Impact of frequency of multi-vitamin/multi-mineral supplement intake on nutritional adequacy and nutrient deficiencies in U.S. adults. *Nutrients*. 2017;9(8):849.
 89. Docherty NG, Delles C, D'Haese P, et al. Haemodynamic frailty - a risk factor for acute kidney injury in the elderly. *Ageing Res Rev*. 2021;70:101408.
 90. Grilo CM, Lydecker JA, Fineberg SK, Moreno JO, Ivezaj V, Gueorguieva R. Naltrexone-bupropion and behavior therapy, alone and combined, for binge-eating disorder: randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2022;179(12):927-937.
 91. Reas DL, Grilo CM. Psychotherapy and medications for eating disorders: better together? *Clin Ther*. 2021;43(1):17-39.
 92. Hudson JI, McElroy SL, Ferreira-Cornwell MC, Radewonuk J, Gasior M. Efficacy of lisdexamfetamine in adults with moderate to severe binge-eating disorder: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2017;74(9):903-910.
 93. Bettge K, Kahle M, Abd El Aziz MS, Meier JJ, Nauck MA. Occurrence of nausea, vomiting and diarrhoea reported as adverse events in clinical trials studying glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists: a systematic analysis of published clinical trials. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2017;19(3):336-347.
 94. Fogarasi A, Gonzalez K, Dalamaga M, Magkos F. The impact of the rate of weight loss on body composition and metabolism. *Curr Obes Rep*. 2022;11(2):33-44.
 95. Purcell K, Sumithran P, Prendergast LA, Bouniu CJ, Delbridge E, Proietto J. The effect of rate of weight loss on long-term weight management: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2014;2(12):954-962.
 96. Tsai AG, Wadden TA. The evolution of very-low-calorie diets: an update and meta-analysis. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2006;14(8):1283-1293.
 97. Seimon RV, Wild-Taylor AL, McClintock S, et al. 3-year effect of weight loss via severe versus moderate energy restriction on body composition among postmenopausal women with obesity - the TEMPO Diet trial. *Heliyon*. 2020;6(6):e04007.
 98. Seimon RV, Wild-Taylor AL, Keating SE, et al. Effect of weight loss via severe vs moderate energy restriction on lean mass and body composition among postmenopausal women with obesity: the TEMPO Diet randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2019;2(10): e1913733.
 99. Rubino F, Puhl RM, Cummings DE, et al. Joint international consensus statement for ending stigma of obesity. *Nat Med*. 2020;26(4): 485-497.
 100. Nadolsky K, Addison B, Agarwal M, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinology Consensus Statement: addressing stigma and bias in the diagnosis and management of patients with obesity/adiposity-based chronic disease and assessing bias and stigmatization as determinants of disease severity. *Endocr Pract*. 2023; 29(6):417-427.
 101. Timper K, Bruning JC. Hypothalamic circuits regulating appetite and energy homeostasis: pathways to obesity. *Dis Model Mech*. 2017; 10(6):679-689.

How to cite this article: Almandoz JP, Wadden TA, Tewksbury C, et al. Nutritional considerations with antiobesity medications. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2024;1-19. doi:[10.1002/oby.24067](https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.24067)