## JAMA Internal Medicine | Original Investigation

# Semaglutide vs Tirzepatide for Weight Loss in Adults With Overweight or Obesity

Patricia J. Rodriguez, PhD; Brianna M. Goodwin Cartwright, MS; Samuel Gratzl, PhD; Rajdeep Brar, MD; Charlotte Baker, DrPH; Ty J. Gluckman, MD; Nicholas L. Stucky, MD

**IMPORTANCE** Although tirzepatide and semaglutide were shown to reduce weight in randomized clinical trials, data from head-to-head comparisons in populations with overweight or obesity are not yet available.

**OBJECTIVE** To compare on-treatment weight loss and rates of gastrointestinal adverse events (AEs) among adults with overweight or obesity receiving tirzepatide or semaglutide labeled for type 2 diabetes (T2D) in a clinical setting.

**DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS** In this cohort study, adults with overweight or obesity receiving semaglutide or tirzepatide between May 2022 and September 2023 were identified using electronic health record (EHR) data linked to dispensing information from a collective of US health care systems. On-treatment weight outcomes through November 3, 2023, were assessed. Adults with overweight or obesity and regular care in the year before initiation, no prior glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonist receptor agonist use, a prescription within 60 days prior to initiation, and an available baseline weight were identified. The analysis was completed on April 3, 2024.

**EXPOSURES** Tirzepatide or semaglutide in formulations labeled for T2D, on or off label.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES On-treatment weight change in a propensity score-matched population, assessed as hazard of achieving 5% or greater, 10% or greater, and 15% or greater weight loss, and percentage change in weight at 3, 6, and 12 months. Hazards of gastrointestinal AEs were compared.

**RESULTS** Among 41 222 adults meeting the study criteria (semaglutide, 32 029; tirzepatide, 9193), 18 386 remained after propensity score matching. The mean (SD) age was 52.0 (12.9) years, 12 970 were female (70.5%), 14 182 were white (77.1%), 2171 Black (11.8%), 354 Asian (1.9%), 1679 were of other or unknown race, and 9563 (52.0%) had T2D. The mean (SD) baseline weight was 110 (25.8) kg. Follow-up was ended by discontinuation for 5140 patients (55.9%) receiving tirzepatide and 4823 (52.5%) receiving semaglutide. Patients receiving tirzepatide were significantly more likely to achieve weight loss ( $\geq$ 5%; hazard ratio [HR], 1.76, 95% CI, 1.68, 1.84;  $\geq$ 10%; HR, 2.54; 95% CI, 2.37, 2.73; and  $\geq$ 15%; HR, 3.24; 95% CI, 2.91, 3.61). On-treatment changes in weight were larger for patients receiving tirzepatide at 3 months (difference, -2.4%; 95% CI -2.5% to -2.2%), 6 months (difference, -4.3%; 95% CI, -4.7% to -4.0%), and 12 months (difference, -6.9%; 95% CI, -7.9% to -5.8%). Rates of gastrointestinal AEs were similar between groups.

**CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE** In this population of adults with overweight or obesity, use of tirzepatide was associated with significantly greater weight loss than semaglutide. Future study is needed to understand differences in other important outcomes.

JAMA Intern Med. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2024.2525 Published online July 8, 2024.

#### Supplemental content

Author Affiliations: Truveta Inc, Bellevue, Washington (Rodriguez, Goodwin Cartwright, Gratzl, Brar, Baker, Stucky); Center for Cardiovascular Analytics, Research and Data Science (CARDS), Providence Heart Institute, Providence Health System, Portland, Oregon (Gluckman).

Corresponding Author: Nicholas L. Stucky, MD, Truveta Inc, 1745 114th Ave SE, Bellevue, WA 98004 (nicholass@truveta.com). verweight and obesity are highly prevalent conditions associated with increased morbidity and mortality.<sup>1-3</sup> Historically, pharmacologic treatments for weight reduction (antiobesity medications [AOMs]) have been limited in number, not particularly well-tolerated, and modest in impacts on weight.<sup>4,5</sup> However, newer therapies, including the glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonist (GLP-1 RA) semaglutide and the dual GLP-1 RA/gastric inhibitory polypeptide (GIP) agonist tirzepatide, have demonstrated substantial weight reduction in patients with obesity, with and without type 2 diabetes (T2D), in randomized clinical trials (RCTs).<sup>6-10</sup>

While tirzepatide produces greater weight loss than semaglutide in patients with T2D,<sup>11</sup> data from head-to-head trials comparing these therapies in patients with overweight or obesity are not yet available. Further, it remains unclear whether the magnitude of weight loss in clinical settings mirrors that in RCTs, given well-described differences between these populations.<sup>12-14</sup> Finally, because these medications are costly and insurance coverage is limited for patients without T2D, actual adherence may differ from clinical trials, potentially attenuating the treatment effect.

Accordingly, we aimed to compare on-treatment weight change between tirzepatide and semaglutide (injectable) labeled for T2D in a large clinical population. We quantified differences in (1) likelihood of achieving 5% or greater, 10% or greater, and 15% or greater weight loss, and (2) percentage change in body weight at 3, 6, and 12 months with treatment.

## Methods

## Study Design

New users of tirzepatide or semaglutide with overweight or obesity (regardless of T2D) were included in the study. The first dispensation of tirzepatide or semaglutide was considered the treatment initiation date and served as the study index date. New users were defined as those having no previous dispensation of any GLP-1 RA or GLP-1 RA/GIP agonist (henceforth referred to as GLP-1 RA for brevity). Only adult patients with regular interactions with the health care system and an available baseline weight were included (see Study Population below). Patients were followed up for weight loss and gastrointestinal adverse events (AEs) until the first of discontinuation of therapy, GLP-1 RA switching, administrative censoring, or study end (November 3, 2023).

#### Data

E2

This study used a subset of Truveta data. Truveta provides access to continuously updated and linked electronic health record (EHR) from a collective of US health care systems, including structured information on demographics (age, sex, health system-reported race and ethnicity), encounters, diagnoses, vital signs (eg, weight, body mass index [BMI, calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared], blood pressure), medication requests (prescriptions), laboratory and diagnostic tests and results (eg, hemoglobin A1c [HbA<sub>1c</sub>] tests and values), and procedures. In addition to EHR data for care delivered within Truveta constituent health care systems,

#### **Key Points**

**Question** How does weight loss differ between patients receiving tirzepatide compared with semaglutide among a clinical population of adults with overweight or obesity?

**Findings** In this cohort study of 18 386 propensity-score matched patients initiating tirzepatide or semaglutide labeled for type 2 diabetes, discontinuation was common; most achieved weight loss of 5% or greater within 1 year of treatment.

Meaning Although most adults with overweight or obesity experienced 5% or greater weight loss with treatment, the benefit was greater with tirzepatide.

medication dispensing and social drivers of health (SDOH) information are made available through linked third-party data. Medication dispense (via e-prescribing data) includes fills for prescriptions written both within and outside constituent health care systems, providing greater observability into patients' medication history. Medication dispense histories are updated at encounters, and include fill dates, NDC or RxNorm codes, quantity dispensed, and days of medication supplied. SDOH data include individual income and education.

Data are normalized into a common data model through syntactic and semantic normalization. Truveta data are then deidentified by expert determination under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act Privacy Rule and therefore exempt from institutional review board approval. Data for this study were accessed on November 3, 2023, using Truveta Studio.

This retrospective observational cohort study followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guidelines.<sup>15</sup> The analysis was completed on April 3, 2024.

#### Study Population, Setting, and Exposure

We identified adults first dispensed tirzepatide or semaglutide labeled for T2D (as brand names Mounjaro [Eli Lilly] or Ozempic [Novo Nordisk], respectively) between May 1, 2022 (the month of tirzepatide approval) and September 30, 2023, and who had overweight (BMI ≥27 or a diagnosis code indicating BMI ≥27) or obesity (BMI ≥30 or a diagnosis code for obesity) in the year before their index date. An overweight threshold BMI of 27 or greater was used to mirror clinical trials in patients with overweight or obesity.6,7,9,10 We required a complete negative history of GLP-1 RA use. To improve outcome observability, we limited our analysis to patients with regular interactions with the health care system during the year prior to their index date, defined as at least 1 encounter, observation, or medication request in each consecutive 6-month period preceding the index date. We required a GLP-1 RA prescription and a baseline weight measurement in the 60 days before the index date. A 60-day window was selected because insurance denials and appeal processes for these medications may result in unusually long times between medication prescribing and filling. Of note, the GLP-1 RA prescribed was not required to match the medication first dispensed, given that drug shortages during the study period<sup>16,17</sup> may have resulted in substitutions. Patients were categorized according to the medication dispensed. Additional exclusions were made for patients with missing sex and those with no follow-up time. The number of patients meeting the inclusion criteria determined the sample size. Codes for all definitions used in this study are provided in Supplement 1 (eDefinitions).

We relied on brand as a proxy for target dose. The standard full dose is 0.5 mg for semaglutide labeled for T2D and 5.0 mg for tirzepatide (labeled exclusively for T2D at the time of this analysis). The standard dose escalation schedule for both drugs is 4 weeks.

## **Patient Comorbidities and Covariates**

Patients were classified as having T2D if they had a T2D diagnosis, were prescribed, administered, or dispensed insulin or a dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP-4) inhibitor, or had an HbA<sub>1c</sub> level of 7.5% or greater in the 2 years before their index date. Baseline patient demographics, clinical comorbidities, use of other antidiabetic medication (ADM) and AOM, and history of bariatric surgery in the 2 years before the index date were assessed. Several steps were taken to standardize weight data, including the removal of apparent data entry or unit conversion errors (detailed in eMethods 1.1 in Supplement 2). The most recent weight within the 60 days before the index date was considered the baseline value.

## Weight Outcomes

Our primary estimand of interest was on-treatment weight loss. Therefore, patients were censored at the first of either treatment discontinuation (≥30 days without medication on hand), GLP-1 RA switching (change to a different medication; brand changes were allowed), last encounter, or study end (November 3, 2023). Analyses assumed unobserved weights for atrisk patients were missing at random, and therefore conditional on observed information only. Although relationships with unobserved variables cannot be tested, we assessed characteristics of patients with vs without any follow-up weight.

Propensity scores were used to balance treatment groups on measured variables. Propensity scores estimated the probability of initiating tirzepatide, compared to semaglutide, as a function of demographic, clinical, and utilization characteristics (eMethods 1.2 in Supplement 2). Patients were then matched using 1:1 nearest neighbor propensity score (PS) matching. Balance was assessed by standardized mean differences, with an acceptable threshold of 0.1. To provide further control for residual confounding, age, presence of T2D (eg, on-label use), and baseline weight were included as covariates in all parametric and semiparametric models.

Percentage change in body weight was calculated as (follow-up weight – baseline weight)/baseline weight. Probabilities of achieving 5% or greater, 10% or greater, and 15% or greater weight loss within 1 year, accounting for censoring, were extracted from Kaplan-Meier models. Relative differences in the hazard of achieving 5% or greater, 10% or greater, and 15% or greater weight loss for those receiving tirzepatide compared with semaglutide were estimated using Cox proportional hazards models with a robust variance estimator.<sup>18</sup> Survival methods were used to accommodate censoring rates in this clinical dataset. For weight change at 3, 6, and 12 months, only the subpopulation still at risk (not yet censored) at the time point of interest was evaluated. The weight value nearest to the time point, within 45 days, was considered the outcome value. For at-risk patients without a weight value in this window, multiple imputation was used to impute weight change using information on all measured covariates and outcomes from the full at-risk population. Within each (m = 10) imputed dataset of at-risk patients at the time point of interest, propensity score matching was reapplied, and differences in percentages of weight loss were estimated using linear models. Estimates were then pooled across imputations using Rubin rules.<sup>19</sup> Details on missingness and imputation are provided in eMethods 1.3 to 1.5 in Supplement 2 (eTable 1, eTable 2, eTable 3 in Supplement 2).

#### Sensitivity Analyses

Several sensitivity analyses were performed to test the robustness of findings. First, we replicated all analyses using inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW), rather than propensity score matching. Second, we conducted stratified analyses for patients with and without T2D (eg, on-label vs offlabel use), replicating the full process described for each stratum. Third, we conducted a modified intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis, where censoring time ignored discontinuation and switching. This analysis included all available follow-up weights regardless of whether the patient was receiving treatment. Finally, analyses were replicated excluding patients with missing weight values (complete case analysis). We also conducted a sensitivity analysis comparing liraglutide to semaglutide as validation.

## Safety Outcomes

Moderate to severe gastrointestinal AE (bowel obstruction, cholecystitis, cholelithiasis, gastroenteritis, gastroparesis, and pancreatitis) were identified from EHR data. Mild AEs, such as nausea and vomiting, were not included given the expectation of inconsistent capture in EHR data. The incidence rate of each gastrointestinal AE per 1000 person-years at risk was calculated, using the previously described censoring approach. Patients with a history of the specific AE in the year before index were excluded from analyses of the specific AE. Differences in the hazard of each AE between tirzepatide and semaglutide were estimated using Cox proportional hazards models.

#### **Stats Program and Packages Used**

Analyses were conducted in R statistical software (version 4.2.3; R Foundation) using the following packages: rlang,<sup>20</sup> arrow,<sup>21</sup> dplyr,<sup>22</sup> tidyr,<sup>23</sup> lubridate,<sup>24</sup> forcats,<sup>25</sup> table1,<sup>26</sup> cobalt,<sup>27</sup> MatchIt,<sup>28</sup> WeightIt,<sup>29</sup> mice,<sup>30</sup> MatchThem,<sup>31</sup> survey,<sup>32</sup> survival,<sup>33</sup> ggsurvfit,<sup>34</sup> broom,<sup>35</sup> ggplot2,<sup>36</sup> and xtable.<sup>37</sup>

## Results

## **Patient Characteristics**

In total, 41222 patients met our inclusion criteria (tirzepatide: 9193; semaglutide: 32 029) (**Figure 1**). Prior to propensity score matching, patients who initiated tirzepatide, compared

#### Figure 1. Study Flow Diagram



A complete negative history of glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonist (GLP-1 RA) dispensing was required before the index date. Other exclusions include missing sex and no follow-up time. GLP-1 RA refers to both GLP-1 RA and GLP-1 RA/gastric inhibitory polypeptide agonist medications. <sup>a</sup>Index event: first GLP-1 dispensed between May 2022 to September 2023.

with semaglutide, were younger and a higher proportion were female, White, and had evidence of college education (**Table**). Patients who initiated tirzepatide had a lower prevalence of T2D and most other comorbidities. Despite demographic and clinical differences, mean (SD) baseline weight was similar between groups (tirzepatide: 110 [25.7] kg; semaglutide: 109 [25.2] kg), with measurement occurring an average (median) of 9.3 (4.0; interquartile range [IQR], 14-1; difference, 13) days before treatment initiation. The 1:1 propensity score matched cohort included 18 386 patients, with standardized mean differences for all variables lower than 0.1.

The mean (median) duration of on-treatment follow-up was 165 (129; IQR, 75-231; difference, 156) days. Follow-up was ended by discontinuation for 9963 (54.2%) (tirzepatide: 5140 [55.9%]; semaglutide: 4823 [52.5%]), medication switching for 153 (0.8%) (tirzepatide: 124 [1.3%]; semaglutide: 29 [0.3%]), and administrative censoring for 8270 (45.0%) (tirzepatide: 3929 [42.7%]; semaglutide: 4341 [47.2%]). The mean (median) duration of follow-up with administrative censoring alone (modified ITT analysis) was 257 (256) days. Distributions of initiation and followup times are provided in eFigure 1 and eFigure 2 in Supplement 2.

Overall, 31 419 (76%) patients had at least 1 on-treatment follow-up weight and 35 097 (85%) had at least 1 follow-up weight during observation (eTable 3 in Supplement 2). The mean (median) days between weight observations on-treatment was 37.6 (27) (during observation, 62.5 [50]) for tirzepatide and 37.6 (27) (during observation, 59.1 [46]) for semaglutide.

#### Hazard of 5%, 10%, and 15% Weight Loss

Among the matched population at risk (undergoing treatment), 81.8% (95% CI, 79.8%-83.7%) receiving tirzepatide vs 66.5% (95% CI, 64.3%-68.7%) receiving semaglutide achieved 5% or greater weight loss, 62.1% (95% CI, 59.7%-64.3%) vs 37.1% (95% CI, 34.6%-39.4%) achieved 10% or greater weight loss, and 42.3% (95% CI, 39.8%-44.6%) vs 18.1% (95% CI, 16.1%-20.0%) achieved 15% or greater weight loss within 365 days (**Figure 2**). HRs comparing tirzepatide with semaglutide were 1.76 (95% CI, 1.68-1.84) for 5% or greater weight loss, 2.54 (95% CI, 2.37-2.73) for 10% weighor greatert loss and 3.24 (95% CI, 2.91-3.61) for 15% or greater weight loss (**Figure 3**).

## Percentage Change in Body Weight

The mean on-treatment change in body weight was -5.9% (95% CI, -6.0% to -5.8%) for tirzepatide vs -3.6% (95% CI, -3.7% to -3.4%) for semaglutide at 3 months, -10.1% (95% CI, -10.4% to -9.9%) vs -5.8% (95% CI, -6.0% to -5.5%) at 6 months, and -15.3% (95% CI, -16.0% to -14.5%) vs -8.3% (95% CI, -9% to -7.6%) at 12 months (**Figure 4**). After adjusting for residual confounding, the absolute difference in weight loss between tirzepatide and semaglutide was -2.4% (95% CI, -2.5% to -2.2), -4.3% (95% CI, -4.7% to -4.0%), and -6.9% (95% CI, -7.9% to -5.8%) at 3, 6, and 12 months receiving treatment, respectively (Figure 3).

#### **Sensitivity Analyses**

Modified ITT analyses resulted in fewer patients achieving weight loss thresholds, smaller weight reductions, and slightly attenuated comparative effect estimates, though tirzepatide remained associated with significantly greater weight loss in all analyses (eResults 2.1, eFigure 3, eFigure 4, eFigure 5, and eFigure 6 in Supplement 2). A smaller proportion achieved 5% or greater weight loss within 1 year, 71.1% (95% CI, 69.9%-72.3%) with tirzepatide and 56.4% (95% CI, 55%-57.8%) with semaglutide, resulting in an HR of 1.63 (95% CI, 1.56-1.70). Similarly, mean changes in body weight were smaller: -5.3% (95% CI, -5.4% to -5.2%) for tirzepatide vs -3.3% (95% CI, -3.4% to -3.2%) for semaglutide at 3 months, -8.2% (95% CI, -8.4% to -8.0%) for tirzepatide vs -5.0% (95% CI, -5.1% to -4.8%) for semaglutide at 6 months, and -11.4% (95% CI, -12.0% to -10.8%) for tirzepatide vs -6.2% (95% CI, -6.7% to -5.8%) for semaglutide at 12 months. After adjusting for residual confounding, the difference in weight loss between those receiving tirzepatide vs semaglutide was -2.0% (95% CI, -2.1% to -1.8%) at 3 months, -3.2% (95% CI, -3.5% to -3.0%) at 6 months, and -5.1% (95% CI, -5.8% to -4.3%) at 12 months.

Sensitivity analyses using inverse probability of treatment weighting produced very similar results (eFigure 4 and eFigure 6 in Supplement 2). Results of the liraglutide validation analysis are given in eFigure 7, eFigure 8, eFigure 9, and eFigure 10 in Supplement 2.

# Table. Characteristics of Study Population Before and After Propensity Score Matching<sup>a</sup>

	No. (%)									
	Before matching	ng		After matching						
Variable	Tirzepatide (n = 9193)	Semaglutide (n = 32 029)	Overall (n = 41 222)	Tirzepatide (n = 9193)	Semaglutide (n = 9192)	Overall (n = 18 386)	Absolute SMD			
Age	51.9 (12.7)	56.4 (13.0)	55.4 (13.1)	51.9 (12.7)	52.0 (13.2)	52.0 (12.9)	.01			
Sex										
Female	6484 (70.5)	21 060 (65.8)	27 544 (66.8)	6484 (70.5)	6486 (70.6)	12 970 (70.5)	<.001			
Male	2709 (29.5)	10 969 (34.2)	13 678 (33.2%)	2709 (29.5)	2707 (29.4)	5416 (29.5)	<.001			
Race										
Asian	156 (1.7)	880 (2.7)	1036 (2.5)	156 (1.7)	198 (2.2)	354 (1.9)	.005			
Black	1050 (11.4)	4481 (14.0)	5531 (13.4)	1050 (11.4)	1121 (12.2)	2171 (11.8)	.008			
White	7097 (77.2)	23 559 (73.6)	30 656 (74.4)	7097 (77.2)	7085 (77.1)	14 182 (77.1)	.001			
Other or unknown <sup>b</sup>	890 (9.7)	3109 (9.7)	3999 (9.7)	890 (9.7)	789 (8.6)	1679 (9.1)	.01			
Ethnicity										
Hispanic or Latino	1414 (15.4)	4252 (13.3)	5666 (13.7)	1414 (15.4)	1374 (14.9)	2788 (15.2)	.01			
Not Hispanic or Latino	7355 (80.0)	26 093 (81.5)	33 448 (81.1)	7355 (80.0)	7416 (80.7)	14771 (80.3)	.007			
Other	424 (4.6)	1684 (5.3)	2108 (5.1)	424 (4.6)	403 (4.4)	827 (4.5)	.002			
Education: any college on record	5467 (59.5)	14 275 (44.6)	19742 (47.9)	5467 (59.5)	5463 (59.4)	10 930 (59.4)	<.001			
Income range, \$										
0-25 000	220 (2.4)	853 (2.7)	1073 (2.6)	220 (2.4)	290 (3.2)	510 (2.8)	.008			
25 001-50 000	3763 (40.9)	14 602 (45.6)	18 365 (44.6)	3763 (40.9)	3851 (41.9)	7614 (41.4)	.01			
50 001-80 000	3521 (38.3)	11 376 (35.5)	14897 (36.1)	3521 (38.3)	3423 (37.2)	6944 (37.8)	.01			
>80 000	1490 (16.2)	4354 (13.6)	5844 (14.2)	1490 (16.2)	1428 (15.5)	2918 (15.9)	.007			
Unknown	199 (2.2)	844 (2.6)	1043 (2.5)	199 (2.2)	201 (2.2)	400 (2.2)	<.001			
State										
Texas	3997 (43.5)	9397 (29.3)	13 394 (32.5)	3997 (43.5)	3969 (43.2)	7966 (43.3)	.006			
Wisconsin	591 (6.4)	2850 (8.9)	3441 (8.3)	591 (6.4)	582 (6.3)	1173 (6.4)	.004			
Illinois	583 (6.3)	3239 (10.1)	3822 (9.3)	583 (6.3)	586 (6.4)	1169 (6.4)	.001			
Ohio	906 (9.9)	2425 (7.6)	3331 (8.1)	906 (9.9)	917 (10.0)	1823 (9.9)	.004			
Washington	458 (5.0)	3538 (11.0)	3996 (9.7)	458 (5.0)	457 (5.0)	915 (5.0)	<.001			
California	754 (8.2)	3021 (9.4)	3775 (9.2)	754 (8.2)	750 (8.2)	1504 (8.2)	.00			
Other	1904 (20.7)	7559 (23.6)	9463 (23.0)	1904 (20.7)	1932 (21.0)	3836 (20.9)	.008			
Weight, kg	110 (25.7)	109 (25.2)	109 (25.3)	110 (25.7)	110 (25.8)	110 (25.8)	0.01 (25.8)			
BMI <sup>c</sup>	39.0 (8.08)	38.6 (7.92)	38.7 (7.96)	39.0 (8.08)	39.1 (8.09)	39.1 (8.09)	.008			
Unknown	1202 (13.1)	2661 (8.3)	3863 (9.4)	1202 (13.1)	1211 (13.2)	2413 (13.1)	<.001			
Years since first overweight/obesity	4.48 (3.11)	5.08 (3.28)	4.95 (3.25)	4.48 (3.11)	4.50 (3.11)	4.49 (3.11)	.004			
T2D	4773 (51.9)	22 890 (71.5)	27 663 (67.1)	4773 (51.9)	4790 (52.1)	9563 (52.0)	.004			
Years since first T2D (among patients with T2D)	3.54 (3.21)	4.24 (4.09)	4.12 (3.96)	3.54 (3.21)	3.42 (3.36)	3.48 (3.29)	.04			
Months since May 2022	8.48 (3.93)	8.44 (4.59)	8.45 (4.45)	8.48 (3.93)	8.69 (4.50)	8.59 (4.23)	.05			
No. of ${\rm HBA}_{\rm 1c}$ tests in previous 2 y	2.19 (1.89)	2.85 (2.10)	2.71 (2.07)	2.19 (1.89)	2.21 (1.87)	2.20 (1.88)	.008			
Bariatric surgery history	385 (4.2)	1140 (3.6)	1525 (3.7)	385 (4.2)	367 (4.0)	752 (4.1)	.002			

(continued)

## **Subgroup Analyses**

In stratified analyses, those without T2D had larger reductions in body weight than those with T2D for tirzepatide and semaglutide alike (Figure 3; eFigures 11 and 12 in Supplement 2). Tirzepatide was still associated with significantly greater weight loss in all analyses (eFigure 13 and eFigure 14 in Supplement 2).

## **Gastrointestinal Adverse Events**

We observed no significant differences in the risk of any gastrointestinal AEs between those receiving tirzepatide vs semaglutide (eTable 4 in Supplement 2).

## Discussion

In this large clinical analysis of US adults with overweight or obesity who initiated tirzepatide or semaglutide treatment, those receiving tirzepatide were more likely to achieve 5% or greater, 10% or greater, and 15% or greater weight loss and experienced larger reductions in body weight at 3, 6, and 12 months. To our knowledge, this study represents the first clinical comparative effectiveness study of tirzepatide and semaglutide in adults with overweight or obesity. Comparative effect estimates were consistent in direction and

## Table. Characteristics of Study Population Before and After Propensity Score Matching<sup>a</sup> (continued)

	No. (%)										
	Before matching			After matching							
Variable	Tirzepatide (n = 9193)	Semaglutide (n = 32 029)	Overall (n = 41 222)	Tirzepatide (n = 9193)	Semaglutide (n = 9192)	Overall (n = 18 386)	Absolute SMD				
Comorbidities											
Atrial fibrillation	404 (4.4)	2332 (7.3)	2736 (6.6)	404 (4.4)	405 (4.4)	809 (4.4)	<.001				
Asthma	1658 (18.0)	6253 (19.5)	7911 (19.2)	1658 (18.0)	1689 (18.4)	3347 (18.2)	.009				
CKD	833 (9.1)	4909 (15.3)	5742 (13.9)	833 (9.1)	852 (9.3)	1685 (9.2)	.007				
COPD	412 (4.5)	2471 (7.7)	2883 (7.0)	412 (4.5)	416 (4.5)	828 (4.5)	.002				
Glaucoma	133 (1.4)	786 (2.5)	919 (2.2)	133 (1.4)	135 (1.5)	268 (1.5)	<.001				
Heart failure	442 (4.8)	2852 (8.9)	3294 (8.0)	442 (4.8)	452 (4.9)	894 (4.9)	.005				
Hyperlipidemia	5736 (62.4)	23 839 (74.4)	29 575 (71.7)	5736 (62.4)	5802 (63.1)	11 538 (62.8)	.015				
Hypertension	5741 (62.4)	23 393 (73.0)	29134 (70.7)	5741 (62.4)	5747 (62.5)	11 488 (62.5)	.001				
Ischemic heart disease	449 (4.9)	2600 (8.1)	3049 (7.4)	449 (4.9)	446 (4.9)	895 (4.9)	.002				
Osteoporosis	269 (2.9)	1318 (4.1)	1587 (3.8)	269 (2.9)	281 (3.1)	550 (3.0)	.001				
Acute MI	161 (1.8)	942 (2.9)	1103 (2.7)	161 (1.8)	145 (1.6)	306 (1.7)	.002				
Ischemic stroke	12 (0.1)	73 (0.2)	85 (0.2)	12 (0.1)	7 (0.1)	19 (0.1)	<.001				
Major depressive disorder	2026 (22.0)	7603 (23.7)	9629 (23.4)	2026 (22.0)	2018 (22.0)	4044 (22.0)	.002				
ADM											
DPP4	659 (7.2)	3175 (9.9)	3834 (9.3)	659 (7.2)	666 (7.2)	1325 (7.2)	.003				
Insulin	485 (5.3)	2634 (8.2)	3119 (7.6)	485 (5.3)	488 (5.3)	973 (5.3)	.001				
Metformin	4179 (45.5)	19557 (61.1)	23736 (57.6)	4179 (45.5)	4217 (45.9)	8396 (45.7)	.008				
SGLT2i	1162 (12.6)	5828 (18.2)	6990 (17.0)	1162 (12.6)	1176 (12.8)	2338 (12.7)	.005				
Sulfonylurea	1102 (12.0)	6274 (19.6)	7376 (17.9)	1102 (12.0)	1073 (11.7)	2175 (11.8)	.01				
AOM											
Orlistat	17 (0.2)	53 (0.2)	70 (0.2)	17 (0.2)	21 (0.2)	38 (0.2)	<.001				
Phentermine topiramate	88 (1.0)	155 (0.5)	243 (0.6)	88 (1.0)	76 (0.8)	164 (0.9)	.001				

Abbreviations: ADM, antidiabetic medication; AOM, anti-obesity medication; Black, Black or African American; BMI, body mass index; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; DPP4, dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor; MI, myocardial infarction; SGLT2i, sodium/glucose cotransporter-2 i nhibitor; SMD, standardized mean difference; T2D, type 2 diabetes. Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Utah, Virginia, and unknown.

<sup>b</sup> Other race includes American Indian or Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, other race, unknown, declined to answer. Absolute SMDs are reported.

<sup>a</sup> Categorical variables expressed as No. (%). Durations (overweight/obesity and T2D) refer to time (years) since first evidence in electronic health record. Other state includes unknown and states with less than 3% of the prematch sample: Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Indiana,

<sup>c</sup> Calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared.

Figure 2. Event Probabilities for 5% or Greater, 10% or Greater, and 15% or Greater Weight Reduction Among Propensity-Score Matched Patients on Treatment



The y-axis represents the event probability (1-survival probability [eg, probability of being event-free]).

significance between methodological approaches (propensity score matching, IPTW, modified ITT) and within subgroups of patients with and without T2D. No significant differences in the incidence of gastrointestinal AEs were observed. Figure 3. Mean Percentage Change in Body Weight at 3, 6, and 12 Months Receiving Treatment for the Overall Population, Those With Type 2 Diabetes (T2D), and Those Without T2D



Bars represent mean changes in body weight from baseline to the time point among the propensity score matched population of patients still receiving treatment. The whiskers represent 95% CIs.





Points represent point estimates; the whiskers represent 95% Cls. Panels A-C contain hazard ratios for achieving 5% or greater, 10% or greater, and 15% or greater weight loss for patients receiving tirzepatide vs semaglutide among propensity score matched populations. Hazard ratios greater than 1 indicate higher likelihood of reaching weight loss threshold with tirzepatide. Panels D-F

contain absolute differences in body weight change at 3 months, 6 months, and 12 months for patients receiving tirzepatide vs semaglutide among propensity score matched populations still receiving treatment at the time point. Negative differences indicate greater weight loss with tirzepatide.

Findings in this study are broadly consistent with existing evidence from RCTs. Among placebo-controlled trials of patients with overweight or obesity, treatment with tirzepatide at 10 mg per week resulted in 82% and 96% of individuals with and without T2D achieving 5% or more weight loss by 72 weeks, respectively (efficacy estimands). $^{9,10}$  Among similarly designed

placebo-controlled trials, treatment with semaglutide at 2.4 mg per week resulted in 73% and 92% of individuals with and without T2D achieving 5% or greater body weight by 68 weeks, respectively (efficacy estimands).<sup>6,7</sup> While data from head-tohead trials are more limited, a single study that evaluated the glucose-lowering effect of tirzepatide (5 mg per week) compared with semaglutide (1 mg per week) in patients with T2D found that 5% weight loss was achieved by 69% and 58%, respectively.<sup>11</sup> Importantly, a trial comparing tirzepatide to semaglutide in patients with overweight or obesity, but without T2D is underway (SURMOUNT-5, NCTO5822830)<sup>38</sup>; the results, however, are not expected until late 2024.

#### **Strengths and Limitations**

This study has several strengths. First, the analysis included a large and recent cohort of patients with overweight and obesity evaluated in May 2022 (the month of tirzepatide approval) or later. It is likely that the weight reduction observed in our study was greater than that found in previous clinical studies of GLP-1 RA because such studies ended before semaglutide and/or tirzepatide were available.39,40 Second, estimates were consistent in direction and significance between estimands (on treatment vs modified ITT), subgroups (with vs without T2D), and methodological approaches (propensity score matching, IPTW, complete case analysis). Third, this study included individuals likely ineligible for participation in related RCTs, including those with major depressive disorder. Major depressive disorder was common in our population (4044 patients [22%] had a history in the preceding 2 years) suggesting clinical trials may have excluded many patients using these medications in clinical settings. Finally, use of prescribing and dispensing data allowed us to include populations without T2D, which may not be captured in pharmacy claims data alone given limited insurance coverage for offlabel use.

Our study is also subject to several limitations. Unlike many clinical end points, weight loss is directly observable to patients, which may result in informative censoring, with those observing no weight loss being more likely to discontinue or switch drugs.<sup>40,41</sup> Whereas a modified ITT analysis inclusive of postdiscontinuation weights showed smaller reductions in weight, differences between tirzepatide and semaglutide were similar. In addition, unmeasured confounding, especially the degree of motivation for weight loss, may exist. A substantial amount of unmeasured confounding, though, would be required to negate the treatment effect estimates observed in this study. This study used clinical EHR data, which has some inherent limitations. Information is collected during routine clinical care, and AEs are likely underreported relative to protocolized, prospective AE ascertainment in clinical trials. Similarly, weight changes are ascertained only when patients return for visits, and therefore observed event times are likely delayed relative to true times. However, we expect misclassification of AE and weight loss occurrence and timing to be nondifferential between groups, given the similarity of follow-up cadence between groups. Our imputation model assumed missingness was conditional on observed information only (eg, missing at random), which may be biased if unmeasured variables contributed to missingness. In addition, we relied on brand as a proxy for target dose because this approach most closely approximates randomization to a treatment arm, where the individual dose received may deviate from the target dose. Patients in both groups may receive doses that are higher or lower than standard full doses. Health system and payer information were unavailable for this analysis. Although the analytic sample included patients in 35 states, the geographic distribution was not representative of the US, which limited generalizability. Finally, this study included medications labeled for T2D only. Future studies are needed to compare versions labeled for weight loss.

Consistent with clinical trials, we found larger weight reductions among those without T2D, compared with those with T2D.<sup>6,7,9,10</sup> The underlying reasons are unclear. Although differential impacts on weight are possible, patients with and without T2D may have differing motivation levels for weight loss and may engage in other weight loss activities differentially. Additional research is needed to understand the complex relationships between motivations and outcomes for patients with and without T2D. Further, most patients in our study discontinued. Additional research on discontinuation is needed, including the role of shortages, adverse events, and costs.

## Conclusions

In this large, propensity-matched, cohort study, individuals with overweight or obesity treated with tirzepatide were significantly more likely to achieve clinically meaningful weight loss and larger reductions in body weight compared with those treated with semaglutide. Consistent treatment effect estimates were observed in subgroups with and without T2D. Future work is needed to compare the effect of tirzepatide and semaglutide on other key end points (eg, reduction in major adverse cardiovascular events).<sup>42,43</sup>

#### **ARTICLE INFORMATION**

Accepted for Publication: April 14, 2024.

**Published Online:** July 8, 2024. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2024.2525

**Open Access:** This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND License. © 2024 Rodriguez PJ et al. JAMA Internal Medicine.

Author Contributions: Drs Rodriguez and Stucky had full access to all of the data in the study and

take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. *Concept and design:* Rodriguez, Baker, Gluckman,

Stucky.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Rodriguez, Cartwright, Gratzl, Brar, Gluckman, Stucky.

*Drafting of the manuscript:* Rodriguez, Gluckman, Stucky.

Critical review of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Rodriguez, Cartwright, Gratzl, Brar, Baker, Stucky. Statistical analysis: Rodriguez, Cartwright. Obtained funding: Stucky. Administrative, technical, or material support: Rodriguez, Gratzl, Baker, Gluckman, Stucky. Supervision: Gluckman, Stucky.

**Conflict of Interest Disclosures:** Dr Gluckman reported consulting fees from Premier outside the submitted work. No other disclosures were reported.

Data Sharing Statement: See Supplement 3.

Additional Contributions: The authors thank Elisabetta Patorno, MD, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, for her substantial contributions to the study design. Dr Patorno was not compensated.

#### REFERENCES

1. Ogden CL, Fakhouri TH, Carroll MD, et al. Prevalence of obesity among adults, by household income and education - United States, 2011-2014. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2017;66(50):1369-1373. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6650a1

 Raisi-Estabragh Z, Kobo O, Mieres JH, et al. Racial disparities in obesity-related cardiovascular mortality in the United States: temporal trends from 1999 to 2020. J Am Heart Assoc. 2023;12(18): e028409. doi:10.1161/JAHA.122.028409

3. Wing RR, Lang W, Wadden TA, et al; Look AHEAD Research Group. Benefits of modest weight loss in improving cardiovascular risk factors in overweight and obese individuals with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2011;34(7):1481-1486. doi:10.2337/dc10-2415

4. Fujioka K. Safety and tolerability of medications approved for chronic weight management. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2015;23(S1)(suppl 1):S7-S11. doi:10.1002/oby.21094

 Samaranayake NR, Ong KL, Leung RYH, Cheung BMY. Management of obesity in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), 2007-2008. Ann Epidemiol. 2012;22(5):349-353. doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2012.01.001

6. Wilding JPH, Batterham RL, Calanna S, et al; STEP 1 Study Group. Once-weekly semaglutide in adults with overweight or obesity. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384(11):989-1002. doi:10.1056/ NEJMoa2032183

7. Davies M, Færch L, Jeppesen OK, et al; STEP 2 Study Group. Semaglutide 2-4 mg once a week in adults with overweight or obesity, and type 2 diabetes (STEP 2): a randomised, double-blind, double-dummy, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* 2021;397(10278):971-984. doi:10.1016/ S0140-6736(21)00213-0

8. Garvey WT, Batterham RL, Bhatta M, et al; STEP 5 Study Group. Two-year effects of semaglutide in adults with overweight or obesity: the STEP 5 trial. *Nat Med.* 2022;28(10):2083-2091. doi:10.1038/ s41591-022-02026-4

9. Jastreboff AM, Aronne LJ, Ahmad NN, et al; SURMOUNT-1 Investigators. Tirzepatide once weekly for the treatment of obesity. *N Engl J Med*. 2022;387(3):205-216. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2206038

**10**. Garvey WT, Frias JP, Jastreboff AM, et al; SURMOUNT-2 investigators. Tirzepatide once weekly for the treatment of obesity in people with type 2 diabetes (SURMOUNT-2): a double-blind, randomised, multicentre, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2023;402(10402):613-626. Published online 2023. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(23) 01200-X

**11.** Frías JP, Davies MJ, Rosenstock J, et al; SURPASS-2 Investigators. Tirzepatide versus semaglutide once weekly in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385(6):503-515. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2107519

12. Johnson-Mann CN, Cupka JS, Ro A, et al. A systematic review on participant diversity in clinical trials-have we made progress for the management of obesity and its metabolic sequelae in diet, drug, and surgical trials. *J Racial Ethn Health*  Disparities. 2023;10(6):3140-3149. doi:10.1007/ s40615-022-01487-0

**13.** Clark LT, Watkins L, Piña IL, et al. Increasing diversity in clinical trials: overcoming critical barriers. *Curr Probl Cardiol*. 2019;44(5):148-172. doi:10.1016/j.cpcardiol.2018.11.002

14. Averitt AJ, Weng C, Ryan P, Perotte A. Translating evidence into practice: eligibility criteria fail to eliminate clinically significant differences between real-world and study populations. *NPJ Digit Med*. 2020;3(1):67. doi:10.1038/s41746-020-0277-8

**15.** von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP; STROBE Initiative. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet*. 2007;370(9596):1453-1457. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736 (07)61602-X

**16.** Beba H, Ranns C, Hambling C, Diggle J, Brown P. PCDS consensus statement: a strategy for managing the supply shortage of the GLP-1 RAs ozempic and trulicity. diabetes & primary care. *Diabetes and Primary Care*. 2022;24(5).

**17**. Whitley HP, Trujillo JM, Neumiller JJ. Special report: potential strategies for addressing GLP-1 and dual GLP-1/GIP receptor agonist shortages. *Clin Diabetes*. 2023;41(3):467-473. doi:10.2337/ cd23-0023

**18**. Austin PC. The use of propensity score methods with survival or time-to-event outcomes: reporting measures of effect similar to those used in randomized experiments. *Stat Med.* 2014;33(7): 1242-1258. doi:10.1002/sim.5984

**19**. Rubin DB. *Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys*. Wiley; 1987. doi:10.1002/9780470316696

20. Henry L, Wickham H. rlang: Functions for Base Types and Core R and Tidyverse Features. Published online 2023. Accessed December 10, 2023. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rlang

21. Richardson N, Cook I, Crane N, et al. arrow: Integration to Apache "Arrow." Published online 2022. Accessed December 10, 2023. https://arrow. apache.org/release/4.0.0.html

22. Wickham H, François R, Henry L, Müller K, Vaughan D. A Grammar of Data Manipulation. Published online 2023. Accessed December 10, 2023. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr

**23**. Wickham H, Girlich M. tidyr: Tidy Messy Data. Published online 2022. Accessed December 10, 2023. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=tidyr

24. Spinu V, Grolemund G, Wickham H. lubridate: Make Dealing with Dates a Little Easier. Published online 2023. Accessed December 10, 2023. https:// CRAN.R-project.org/package=lubridate

25. Wickham H. forcats: Tools for Working with Categorical Variables (Factors). Published online 2021Accessed December 10, 2023. https://CRAN.Rproject.org/package=forcats

**26**. Rich B. table1: Tables of Descriptive Statistics in HTML. Published online 2021. Accessed December 10, 2023. https://github.com/benjaminrich/table1

27. Greifer N. cobalt: Covariate Balance Tables and Plots. Published online 2023. Accessed December 10, 2023. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package= cobalt

28. Ho DE, Imai K, King G, Stuart EA. Matchlt: nonparametric preprocessing for parametric causal inference. *J Stat Softw*. 2011;42(8):1-28. doi:10.18637/jss.v042.i08 **29**. Greifer N. Weightlt: Weighting for Covariate Balance in Observational Studies. Published online 2023. Accessed December 10, 2023. https://CRAN. R-project.org/package=Weightlt

**30**. van Buuren S, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. Mice: multivariate imputation by chained equations in R. *J Stat Softw*. 2011;45(3):1-67. doi:10.18637/jss.v045. i03

**31**. Pishgar F, Greifer N, Leyrat C, Stuart E. MatchThem: matching and weighting after multiple imputation. *R J*. Published online 2021. doi:10.32614/RJ-2021-073

**32**. Lumley T. survey: analysis of complex survey samples. Published online 2023. Accessed December 10, 2023. http://r-survey.r-forge.r-project.org/survey/

**33**. Therneau TM. Survival: survival analysis. Published online 2023. Accessed December 10, 2023. https://github.com/therneau/survival

**34.** Sjoberg DD, Baillie M, Fruechtenicht C, Haesendonckx S, Treis T. ggsurvfit: Flexible Time-to-Event Figures. Published online 2023. Accessed December 10, 2023. https://CRAN.Rproject.org/package=ggsurvfit

**35**. Robinson D, Hayes A, Couch S. broom: Convert Statistical Objects into Tidy Tibbles. Published online 2022. Accessed December 10, 2023. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=broom

**36**. Wickham H, Chang W, Henry L, et al. ggplot2: Create Elegant Data Visualisations Using the Grammar of Graphics. Published online 2022. Accessed December 10, 2023. https://CRAN.Rproject.org/package=ggplot2

**37**. Dahl DB, Scott D, Roosen C, Magnusson A, Swinton J. xtable: Export Tables to LaTeX or HTML. Published online 2019. Accessed December 10, 2023. http://xtable.r-forge.r-project.org/

38. A Study of Tirzepatide (LY3298176) in Participants With Obesity or Overweight With Weight Related Comorbidities (SURMOUNT-5). Accessed December 10, 2023. https://classic. clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT05822830

**39**. Weiss T, Yang L, Carr RD, et al. Real-world weight change, adherence, and discontinuation among patients with type 2 diabetes initiating glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists in the UK. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care*. 2022;10(1):e002517. doi:10.1136/bmjdrc-2021-002517

**40**. Durden E, Liang M, Fowler R, Panton UH, Mocevic E. The Effect of early response to GLP-1 RA therapy on long-term adherence and persistence among type 2 diabetes patients in the United States. *J Manag Care Spec Pharm*. 2019;25(6):669-680. doi:10.18553/jmcp.2019.18429

**41**. Wharton S, Astrup A, Endahl L, et al. Estimating and reporting treatment effects in clinical trials for weight management: using estimands to interpret effects of intercurrent events and missing data. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2021;45(5):923-933. doi:10.1038/s41366-020-00733-x

**42**. Usman MS, Davies M, Hall ME, et al. The cardiovascular effects of novel weight loss therapies. *Eur Heart J.* 2023;44(48):5036-5048. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehad664

43. Lincoff AM, Brown-Frandsen K, Colhoun HM, et al. Semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in obesity without diabetes. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2023;389(24):2221-2232. doi:10.1056/ NEJMoa2307563