



# *Article* **Omega-3 Fatty Acid and Vitamin D Supplementations Partially Reversed Metabolic Disorders and Restored Gut Microbiota in Obese Wistar Rats**

**Dylan Le Jan 1,\* [,](https://orcid.org/0009-0001-1022-7888) Mohamed Siliman Misha <sup>1</sup> [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4036-4709) Sandrine Destrumelle <sup>1</sup> , Olivia Terceve <sup>1</sup> , Chantal Thorin <sup>2</sup> [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5688-1834) Thibaut Larcher <sup>2</sup> [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0396-3190) Mireille Ledevin <sup>2</sup> , Jean-Claude Desfontis [1](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1124-7979) , Eric Betti [1](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1899-7622) and Yassine Mallem 1,[\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5867-580X)**

- <sup>1</sup> Nutrition, PathoPhysiology and Pharmacology (NP3) Unit, Oniris, 101 Rte de Gachet, 44300 Nantes, France; m.silimanmisha@gmail.com (M.S.M.); sandrine.destrumelle@oniris-nantes.fr (S.D.); olivia.terceve@gmail.com (O.T.); jean-claude.desfontis@oniris-nantes.fr (J.-C.D.); eric.betti@oniris-nantes.fr (E.B.)
- 2 Institut National de Recherche pour l'Agriculture, l'Alimentation et l'Environnement, Oniris, UMR 703, PanTher, APEX, 44307 Nantes, France; chantal.thorin@oniris-nantes.fr (C.T.); thibaut.larcher@oniris-nantes.fr (T.L.); mireille.ledevin@oniris-nantes.fr (M.L.)
- **\*** Correspondence: dylan.lejan@gmail.com (D.L.J.); yassine.mallem@oniris-nantes.fr (Y.M.)

**Simple Summary:** Obesity is a major health issue affecting both humans and animals, leading to various related health problems. This study explored whether vitamin D and omega-3 fatty acids, alone or together, could help manage obesity and its related disorders in rats. Over the course of 26 weeks, rats were first fed a diet high in fat and sugar to induce obesity. They were then given either vitamin D, omega-3 fatty acids, both together, or no supplement at all. The results showed that vitamin D helped improve their blood sugar levels and reduced liver damage, while omega-3 fatty acids slowed weight gain, reduced fat, and improved gut health. When used together, these supplements were even more effective in preventing weight gain and improving overall health. These findings suggest that vitamin D and omega-3 fatty acids could be a promising approach to managing obesity and its complications, not only in animals but potentially in humans as well. This research could lead to better strategies for preventing and treating obesity, benefiting public health.

**Abstract:** Obesity is a global public health issue linked to various comorbidities in both humans and animals. This study investigated the effects of vitamin D (VD) and omega-3 fatty acids ( $\omega$ 3FA) on obesity, gut dysbiosis, and metabolic alterations in Wistar rats. After 13 weeks on a standard (S) or High-Fat, High-Sugar (HFHS) diet, the rats received VD, ω3FA, a combination (VD/ω3), or a control (C) for another 13 weeks. The HFHS diet led to increased weight gain, abdominal circumference, glucose intolerance, insulin resistance, and gut dysbiosis. VD supplementation improved their fasting blood glucose and reduced liver damage, while ω3FA slowed BMI progression, reduced abdominal fat, liver damage, and intestinal permeability, and modulated the gut microbiota. The combination of  $VD/\omega$ 3 prevented weight gain, decreased abdominal circumference, improved glucose tolerance, and reduced triglycerides. This study demonstrates that VD and ω3FA, alone or combined, offer significant benefits in preventing obesity, gut dysbiosis, and metabolic alterations, with the VD/ω3 combination showing the most promise. Further research is needed to explore the mechanisms behind these effects and their long-term potential in both animal and human obesity management.

**Keywords:** obesity; vitamin D; omega-3 fatty acids; metabolic disorders; intestinal microbiota

## **1. Introduction**

Obesity, a global public health crisis, affected approximately 18.5% and 14.0% of women and men in 2022, respectively [\[1\]](#page-18-0). This issue also impacts pets such as dogs and



**Citation:** Le Jan, D.; Siliman Misha, M.; Destrumelle, S.; Terceve, O.; Thorin, C.; Larcher, T.; Ledevin, M.; Desfontis, J.-C.; Betti, E.; Mallem, Y. Omega-3 Fatty Acid and Vitamin D Supplementations Partially Reversed Metabolic Disorders and Restored Gut Microbiota in Obese Wistar Rats. *Biology* **2024**, *13*, 1070. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/biology13121070) [biology13121070](https://doi.org/10.3390/biology13121070)

Academic Editor: Yifei Kang

Received: 12 November 2024 Revised: 11 December 2024 Accepted: 18 December 2024 Published: 20 December 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

cats, with their overweight and obesity rates ranging between 19.7 and 59.3% and 11.5 and 52.0%, respectively [\[2\]](#page-18-1). Linked to health issues like type II diabetes, osteoarthritis, and cardiovascular diseases, obesity drastically diminishes the quality of life of both humans and pets  $[3-7]$  $[3-7]$ .

The high morbidity associated with obesity requires effective prevention measures. Traditional approaches like lifestyle modifications are often unstainable, resulting in only 5–10% weight loss [\[8\]](#page-18-4). Pharmacological treatments carry risks, and many drugs have been removed due to their severe side effects [\[9\]](#page-18-5). This underlines the need to explore natural products as potential alternatives [\[10\]](#page-18-6), requiring thorough evaluations to assess the balance between their benefits and risks.

At the macronutritional level, diets limiting sugar intake are viable. For instance, transitioning from a high-fat diet (HFD) to a low-carbohydrate diet in obese mice promoted weight loss and improved glucose metabolism, especially with omega-3 fatty acid ( $\omega$ 3FA) supplementation [\[11\]](#page-18-7). A similar diet in obese rats also caused weight reductions [\[12\]](#page-18-8). However, there is limited evidence of the long-term sustainability of such diets [\[13\]](#page-18-9).

Micronutrient interventions could address deficiencies exacerbated by obesity, including vitamin A, B, D, and carotenoid deficiencies [\[14\]](#page-18-10). VD benefits obesity management through genomic and non-genomic VD receptor (VDR) activation [\[15\]](#page-18-11). Despite contradictory results on weight gain [\[16–](#page-18-12)[19\]](#page-18-13), VD positively impacts inflammation [\[20\]](#page-18-14) and insulin sensitivity [\[21\]](#page-18-15). The VD/VDR complex may inhibit  $C/EBP\beta$ , repressing adipogenesis [\[22\]](#page-18-16), and regulate fatty acid oxidation and mitochondrial metabolism [\[23\]](#page-18-17). VD supplementation in obese rats under tertiary prevention increased antioxidant markers like glutathione peroxidase and superoxide dismutase in adipose tissue [\[24\]](#page-18-18) and alleviated adipose-tissueinduced inflammation by inhibiting the TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, and MCP-1 gene expression in obese mice [\[25\]](#page-18-19). VD supplementation has also been shown to improve insulin resistance (IR) in obese, VD-deficient premenopausal women [\[26\]](#page-18-20). Emerging evidence suggests that adequate VD levels have a positive impact on the gut microbiota, preventing the progression of metabolic diseases [\[27\]](#page-18-21). Indeed, VD administration can interact with the intestinal microbiota, increasing beneficial bacteria such as *Akkermansia* and *Coprococcus* and decreasing the *Firmicutes* phylum and the *Blautia* genus [\[28\]](#page-18-22).

Another limited nutrient in obesity is omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids. These ω3FAs reduced visceral adiposity in HFD rat models [\[29\]](#page-19-0), partly by activating peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs)  $β/δ$ , which are involved in fatty acid oxidation [\[30\]](#page-19-1). Eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) regulate triglyceride levels [\[31\]](#page-19-2), reduce inflammation [\[32\]](#page-19-3), and improve insulin sensitivity [\[33\]](#page-19-4), primarily through GPR120 and/or PPAR activation [\[32](#page-19-3)[,34\]](#page-19-5). A ω3FA intervention alleviated dyslipidemia in HFD rat models [\[35\]](#page-19-6). EPA inhibits IκB phosphorylation, suppressing the NF-κB signaling pathway and consequently downregulating the expression of inflammation-related genes like TNF-α [\[36\]](#page-19-7). ω3FAs increase mitochondrial biogenesis and fatty acid oxidation in rodents, possibly through the activation of PPAR $\alpha$  [\[37\]](#page-19-8).  $\omega$ 3FA supplementation may promote butyrate-producing bacteria in the intestinal microbiota, contributing to inflammation regulation [\[38\]](#page-19-9). Moreover, supplementation in type II diabetic patients improves their insulin sensitivity by reducing non-esterified free fatty acids [\[39\]](#page-19-10). Intake of omega-3 is broadly associated with a reduction in the prevalence of obesity and related metabolic diseases in humans [\[40\]](#page-19-11).

VD and ω3FA co-supplementation could offer a synergistic approach to preventing obesity and its complications. Their complementary mechanisms of action suggest substantial potential benefits. VD, through VDR activation, modulates inflammation, improves insulin sensitivity, and regulates lipid metabolism, while ω3FAs, via PPARβ/δ and GPR120 activation, promote fatty acid oxidation, reduce inflammation, and improve triglyceride regulation. However, the combined effects of these nutrients have seldom been explored in the context of the tertiary prevention of obesity induced by a high-fat, high-sugar (HFHS) diet. Comprehensive research is essential to understand the potential

interactions between VD and ω3FAs and to evaluate their combined efficacy in managing obesity and its complications.

Given the roles of VD and  $\omega$ 3FAs in metabolic health, we hypothesize that their use will significantly reduce HFHS-diet-induced obesity and improve the intestinal dysbiosis and metabolic parameters in Wistar rats, with greater effects of the combined intervention. Therefore, this study examines VD and  $\omega$ 3FA supplementation, both individually and in combination, in the tertiary prevention of obesity and its complications in an HFHS-induced obese rat model.

## **2. Materials and Methods**

# *2.1. Animal Housing*

Sixty-four 8-week-old male Wistar rats (Janvier Labs®, Le Genest-Saint-Isle, France) were used after a one-week acclimation. They were healthy, with no genetic modifications, and were not previously involved in any studies. Their environment adhered to the European standard ETS 123, with a 12 h light/dark cycle, a temperature of 22 °C  $\pm$  2 °C, and 50% hygrometry.

The procedures followed good practices and the 3R strategy (Replacement, Reduction, Refinement) and were approved by the ethics committee (APAFIS#33784-2021112413036973v3, 13 December 2021) of Pays de la Loire Nantes, France. *Biology* **2024**, *13*, 1070 4 of 24

# *2.2. Study Design*

<span id="page-2-0"></span>The rats were divided into two groups  $(n = 32)$  and subjected to either a standard diet (S; 3.84 Kcal/g) (3430 Kliba Nafag, Kaiseraugst, Switzerland) or an HFHS diet composed of (S; 3.84 Kcal/g) (3430 Kliba Nafag, Kaiseraugst, Switzerland) or an HFHS diet composed of<br>pellets (4.73 Kcal/g) (D12451 Research Diets®, Lynge, Denmark) and sweetened condensed milk (3.22 Kcal/g) (Nestlé<sup>®</sup>, Nantes, France) ad libitum (Scheme [1\)](#page-2-0).



**Scheme 1.** Experimental design and groupings. Rats were initially divided into two dietary groups **Scheme 1.** Experimental design and groupings. Rats were initially divided into two dietary groups (standard (S) and high-fat, high-sugar (HFHS)) for the first 13 weeks. Following this period, they (standard (S) and high-fat, high-sugar (HFHS)) for the first 13 weeks. Following this period, they were further divided based on supplementation into six distinct groups: control (C), vitamin D (VD), were further divided based on supplementation into six distinct groups: control (C), vitamin D (VD), omega-3 ( $\omega$ 3), and vitamin D + omega-3 (VD/ $\omega$ 3). In total, eight experimental groups were formed, each with n = 8 animals. The study spanned 26 weeks, with key procedures (oral glucose tolerance each with n = 8 animals. The study spanned 26 weeks, with key procedures (oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), blood sampling (BS), abdominal circumference (AC) measurements, organ sampling test (OGTT), blood sampling (BS), abdominal circumference (AC) measurements, organ sampling  $(CS)$ , and the use of Ussing chambers  $(UC)$ ) conducted at weeks 0  $(W0)$ , 13  $(W13)$ , and 26  $(W26)$ .

*2.3. Weight and Morphometric Measurements*  they had received daily oral supplementations for 13 weeks: the control groups (C) received mineral oil, the VD groups received 600 IU/kg/day of cholecalciferol (NeoBiotech, Xi'an, Both of these groups were subdivided into four experimental groups each  $(n = 8)$  after

China), the  $\omega$ 3 groups received 300 mg/kg/day of fish oil (18% EPA/12% DHA) (Phospho-Tech Laboratoires<sup>®</sup>, Saint-Herblain, France), and the VD/ $\omega$ 3 groups received both.

At week 0 (W0), week 13 (W13), and week 26 (W26), each rat underwent an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), blood sampling, feces collection, and morphometric parameter measurements.

At the end of the supplementation period, the animals were euthanized through an overdose of intraperitoneal anesthetic (pentobarbital, Euthasol®, Fort Worth, TX, USA), followed by the ex vivo procedures and organ collection.

These procedures were chosen for their capacity to reliably and reproducibly evaluate the effects of nutritional supplements. The experimental procedures were standardized to minimize potential biases, and the environmental conditions were kept constant to avoid any external influences. All animals were included in the analysis, except those that did not survive to the end of the experiment due to spontaneous death. No additional exclusion criteria were applied.

#### *2.3. Weight and Morphometric Measurements*

Body weight (BW) and food/water consumption were monitored weekly. Abdominal circumference (AC) and naso-anal length were measured at W0, W13, and W26. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as follows:

$$
BMI (g/cm2) = BW (g)/Naso – anal length2 (cm2)
$$

Feed efficiency (FE) was evaluated as follows [\[41\]](#page-19-12):

FE (%) = [BW Gain (g)/Caloric Intake (Kcal)] 
$$
\times
$$
 100

# *2.4. The Oral Glucose Tolerance Test*

At W0, W13, and W26, the OGTT was performed. After a 4 h fast, fasting blood glucose (FBG) was measured using a rodent glucometer (StatStrip Xpress®, Nova Biomedical, Waltham, MA, USA) at the end of the tail, and each animal received an oral glucose dose  $(2 g/kg)$ . Their blood glucose levels were monitored at 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, and 120 min. Given that prolonged fasting in nocturnal animals like rats is increasingly considered suboptimal for metabolic studies, a 4 h fasting period was selected to minimize metabolic and behavioral stress. Extended fasting in rodents can provoke a catabolic state, mobilizing glucose reserves in a way that may interfere with glucose homeostasis assessments and lead to confounding results. A shorter fasting period not only prevents weight loss but also aligns better with the physiological state of the animals, providing stable baseline glycemia for more reliable data [\[42–](#page-19-13)[44\]](#page-19-14).

The area under the curve (AUC) of their blood glucose levels over time was evaluated using GraphPad Prism software (v.9.0.0). The homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) scores were calculated as follows [\[45\]](#page-19-15):

HOMA-IR = [Fasting blood insulin (FBI; 
$$
\mu
$$
IU/mL)  $\times$  FBG (mmol/L)]/22.5

#### *2.5. Blood Sampling*

At W0, W13, and W26, after a 4 h fast, the rats were anesthetized with isoflurane (2%), and their blood was collected from their tail tips. EMLA® cream (Lidocaine, Vidal, Paris, France) was applied to prevent pain. The blood was centrifuged (3000 $\times g$ , 4 °C, 10 min) into heparin-containing tubes, followed by plasma aliquoting and storage at −80 ◦C. The plasma was used for FBI (Laboniris, Nantes, France), leptin (RAB0335, Sigma Aldrich®, St. Louis, MO, USA), adiponectin (DY3100-05, Bio-Techne®, Minneapolis, MN, USA), and total 25(OH)D (VID21-K01, Eagle Biosciences Inc., Amherst, NH, USA) determination using ELISA kits. Triglycerides (TGs), total cholesterol (TC), and high-density lipoprotein (HDL)

were measured by the Nantes hospital center. The triglyceride–glucose (TyG) Index was calculated as follows [\[46\]](#page-19-16):

$$
TyG Index = ln[TG (mg/dL) \times FBG (mg/dL)/2]
$$

#### *2.6. Microbiota*

Fecal samples were collected at W0, W13, and W26 for the analysis of the intestinal microbiota performed by Biofortis® (Saint-Herblain, France).

DNA extraction:

DNA was extracted using the ZymoBIOMICS™ 96 MagBead DNA Kit (Zymo Research Corp., Tustin, CA, USA), with mechanical and chemical cell lysis. DNA isolation was performed on a KingFisher Flex automated station (ThermoFisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). The DNA was quantified through fluorimetry using a Qubit 3.0 (ThermoFisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA).

16S metabarcoding analysis—library preparation and sequencing:

The V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified through PCR using primers 341F and 785R [\[47\]](#page-19-17). The amplicons were cleaned using magnetic AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, Villepinte, France) before adding dual indices and sequencing adapters using the Illumina Nextera XT Index kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Each library was cleaned, quantified through fluorimetry (using a Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer, ThermoFisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), normalized, and pooled. The pooled library was denatured before sequencing  $(2 \times 250$  paired-end, v2 chemistry) using an Illumina MiSeq (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA).

• 16S metabarcoding analysis—data processing:

The sequences were analyzed using a pipeline developed by Biofortis based on Dadaist2 software [\[48\]](#page-19-18). After demultiplexing the barcoded Illumina paired reads, singleread sequences were paired, cleaned, and quality-filtered. Amplicon Sequence Variants (ASVs) were obtained and taxonomically assigned to determine the bacterial community profiles.

#### *2.7. Paracellular and Transcellular Intestinal Permeability Assessments*

The paracellular and transcellular intestinal permeability was assessed using Ussing chambers. Segments of the proximal duodenum, the distal ileum, the proximal colon, and the distal colon were embedded into sliders (area =  $0.4 \text{ cm}^2$ ) and mounted onto the Ussing chamber system (Physiologic Instruments, San Diego, CA, USA). The chambers were filled with Krebs solution at 37 °C and supplied with carbogen (95%  $O_2$ ; 5%  $CO_2$ ). HRP (5.10<sup>-6</sup> M, P8250-25KU, Sigma Aldrich<sup>®</sup>, St. Louis, MO, USA) and FD4 (10<sup>-4</sup> M, FD4-1G, Sigma Aldrich®) were added to the mucosal side.

Paracellular permeability: Serosal samples were collected every 30 min over 270 min, and the FD4 fluorescence (538 nm) was measured using a TriStar<sup>2</sup> plate reader (Berthold Technologies®, Bad Wildbad, Germany).

Transcellular permeability: The HRP concentration was determined through a colorimetric reaction and read at 450 nm.

For both, the slope of the absorption (ng/mL/min) was calculated as the average absorption over time.

#### *2.8. Liver Histology*

Following euthanasia, liver samples were immediately fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and embedded into paraffin, and 3.5  $\mu$ m thick sections were stained with hematoxylin– eosin–safran (HES). Samples were analyzed by a board-certified veterinary pathologist. Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) lesions were scored using a previously described system [\[49](#page-19-19)[,50\]](#page-19-20), and briefly, the grade of steatosis (from 0 to 3), lobular inflammation (from

0 to 3), hepatocellular ballooning (from 0 to 3), and the grade of fibrosis (from 0 to 4) were evaluated, and a mean score per animal was calculated.

#### *2.9. Caecum and Adipose Tissue Analysis*

Following euthanasia, the caecum and visceral adipose tissue (VAT)—perirenal, epididymal, and mesenteric fat depots—were collected, blotted dry, and weighed. The adiposity index (AI) was calculated as follows:

$$
AI\left(\% \right) = \sum VAT\left(g\right)/BW\left(g\right) \times 100
$$

The caecum/BW (%) ratio was calculated as follows:

Caecum/BW (%) =  $\sum$  [Caecum weight (g)/BW (g)]  $\times$  100

## *2.10. Statistical Analyses*

The sample size was calculated using BiostaTGV, based on the estimated variation in leptin levels with or without treatment (delta of 35 with a standard deviation of 24 at 5% type I errors and 0.93 power), resulting in 8 animals per experimental group.

Due to the daily interactions between the principal experimenter and the animals, blinding of the experimental in vivo procedures was not feasible. Nevertheless, to mitigate potential bias, histological analyses and blood assays were conducted in a blinded manner.

Data on diet, supplementation, and their interactions were analyzed using Linear Mixed-Effect (LME) models, with a random effect attributed to each rat. The normality and independence of the residuals and random effects were validated according to the recommendations for mixed-effect models [\[51\]](#page-19-21). Multiple post hoc comparisons were made using Tukey's test with adjustment for type I errors [\[52\]](#page-19-22). The data were analyzed with R (v.4.3.1) using the nlme, multcomp, plyr, readxl, and tidyverse packages.

Parameters that did not conform to the LME criteria were analyzed using GraphPad Prism (v.9.0.0). The data were assessed for normality using the Shapiro–Wilk test. The analyses were adjusted accordingly for non-parametric data. Comparisons between two groups used Student's *t*-test or the Mann–Whitney test and an ANOVA or the Kruskal–Wallis test for multiple groups in case of a parametric or non-parametric analysis, respectively.

The significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ , and results are presented as the mean  $\pm$  Standard Error of the Mean (SEM), with "n" representing the number of individuals in each group.

#### **3. Results**

#### *3.1. Effects on Morphometric Parameters*

Although the BW, BMI, and AC of the HFHS group were lower at W0, these parameters were significantly higher at W13 in the HFHS group, as was the FE, compared to the S group (*p* < 0.001) (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Moreover, the 13-week HFHS diet induced a significant weight gain, with a 14.85% increase in the HFHS group at W13.

At W26, the HFHS+C, HFHS+VD, and HFHS+VD/ $\omega$ 3 groups had a higher BW, BMI, and AC compared to their respective standard groups, but the HFHS+ $\omega$ 3 group did not. The HFHS+VD/ $\omega$ 3 group showed reduced BW gain compared to its control counterpart (HFHS+C). The BMI values increased more in the HFHS+C group than in the S+C ( $p < 0.01$ ), HFHS+VD  $(p < 0.05)$ , HFHS+ $\omega$ 3  $(p < 0.001)$ , and HFHS+VD/ $\omega$ 3 (not significant) groups. The HFHS+C group had a marked 12.91% increase in its AC values at W26, which was higher than that in the S+C ( $p < 0.001$ ), HFHS+VD/ $\omega$ 3 ( $p < 0.05$ ), HFHS+ $\omega$ 3 ( $p < 0.001$ ), and HFHS+VD  $(p = 0.063)$  groups. Although no significant differences in the FE were reported, a trend was noted between the S+C and HFHS+C groups ( $p = 0.059$ ), with a 44.15% higher efficiency with the HFHS diet. All of the supplemented groups normalized their FE. The AI differed significantly among the HFHS groups compared to their standard counterparts. The HFHS+VD group showed a trend towards lower values compared to HFHS+C (*p* = 0.095) (Table [2\)](#page-6-1).



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 1.** Effects of diets on morphometric parameters at W0 and W13.

Body weight (BW), body mass index (BMI), abdominal circumference (AC), and feed efficiency (FE) were measured at the beginning (W0) and in the middle (W13) of this study. Values are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM for each group. Statistical significance was determined using LME models with post hoc Tukey's tests. \* *p* < 0.05, \*\* *p* < 0.01, and \*\*\* *p* < 0.001.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Table 2.** Effects of supplementations on morphometric parameters at W13 and W26.

	$S + C$ $(n = 7)$	$S+VD$ $(n = 8)$	$S+\omega$ 3 $(n = 8)$	$S+VD/\omega$ 3 $(n = 8)$	$HFHS+C$ $(n = 8)$	HFHS+VD $(n = 7)$	$HFHS + \omega$ 3 $(n = 7)$	$HFHS+VD/\omega$ 3 $(n = 6)$
BW W13 $(g)$ BW W26 $(g)$	$547.00 \pm 10.33$ $637.03 \pm 11.72$ <sup>a</sup>	535.55 $\pm$ 22.02 <sup>b</sup> $610.41 \pm 28.39$ <sup>b</sup>	$568.78 \pm 13.62$ $633.76 \pm 18.85$	538.44 $\pm$ 11.91 <sup>d</sup> $627.49 \pm 17.42$ <sup>d</sup>	$605.85 \pm 15.81$ 699.24 $\pm$ 25.22 <sup>a</sup>	$655.49 \pm 27.25$ <sup>b</sup> $722.03 \pm 30.76$ b	$621.14 \pm 16.93$ $698.44 \pm 25.03$	632.61 $\pm$ 24.22 <sup>d</sup> $693.63 \pm 24.47$ <sup>d</sup>
<b>BW</b> Gain $W13-W26$ (%)	$12.28 \pm 1.04$	$11.94 \pm 1.47$	$13.09 \pm 0.89$	$15.28 \pm 1.76$ <sup>d</sup>	$14.14 \pm 1.90$	$10.76 \pm 0.57$	$11.95 \pm 2.23$	$8.90 \pm 1.13$ <sup>d</sup>
BMI W13 (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	$0.733 \pm 0.021$	$0.697 \pm 0.016$	$0.742 \pm 0.017$	$0.725 \pm 0.016$	$0.726 \pm 0.017$	$0.762 \pm 0.020$	$0.758 \pm 0.012$	$0.760 \pm 0.016$
BMI W26 (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	$0.754 \pm 0.012$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.729 \pm 0.021$ b	$0.757 \pm 0.017$	$0.748 \pm 0.021$ <sup>d</sup>	$0.837 \pm 0.014$ <sup>a</sup>	$0.812 \pm 0.027$ <sup>b</sup>	$0.781 \pm 0.021$	$0.824 \pm 0.020$ <sup>d</sup>
<b>BMI</b> Evolution $W13-W26$ (%)	$5.71 \pm 2.26$ <sup>a</sup>	$4.56 \pm 1.95$	$3.27 \pm 2.63$	$3.40 \pm 2.92$	$15.58 \pm 2.00$ a,e,f	$7.23 \pm 1.18$ <sup>e</sup>	$1.85 \pm 3.11$ f	$9.57 \pm 1.14$
$AC W13$ (cm)	$17.56 \pm 0.19$	$17.05 \pm 0.26$	$17.76 \pm 0.16$	$17.25 \pm 0.22$ <sup>d</sup>	$17.75 \pm 0.42$	$18.56 \pm 0.51$	$18.85 \pm 0.45$	$18.79 \pm 0.35$ <sup>d</sup>
$AC W26$ (cm)	$17.99 \pm 0.24$ <sup>a</sup>	$17.43 \pm 0.46^{\mathrm{b}}$	$17.99 \pm 0.27$	$17.91 \pm 0.31$ <sup>d</sup>	$20.00 \pm 0.37$ <sup>a</sup>	$19.17 \pm 0.48^{\mathrm{b}}$	$18.46 \pm 0.25$	$19.10 \pm 0.28$ <sup>d</sup>
<b>AC</b> Evolution $W13-W26$ (%)	$0.82 \pm 1.43$ <sup>a</sup>	$1.63 \pm 1.77$	$2.02 \pm 2.07$	$3.89 \pm 1.76$	$12.91 \pm 2.23$ a,f,g	$4.77 \pm 1.94$	$-2.37 \pm 2.36$ <sup>f</sup>	$2.74 \pm 1.73$ g
FE $(\% )$ W13-W26	$0.77 \pm 0.06$	$0.78 \pm 0.10$	$0.87 \pm 0.06$	$0.97 \pm 0.09$	$1.11 \pm 0.16$	$0.79 \pm 0.04$	$0.88 \pm 0.18$	$0.84 \pm 0.08$
AI $(\% )$	$4.16 \pm 0.18$ <sup>a</sup>	$3.96 \pm 0.19^{\mathrm{b}}$	$4.18 \pm 0.24$ c	$4.40 \pm 0.30$ <sup>d</sup>	$6.07 \pm 0.25$ <sup>a</sup>	$5.01 \pm 0.20^{\mathrm{b}}$	5.51 $\pm$ 0.30 °	$5.64 \pm 0.36$ <sup>d</sup>

Body weight (BW), body mass index (BMI), abdominal circumference (AC), feed efficiency (FE), and adiposity index (%) were measured in the middle (W13) and at the end (W26) of this study, as were their changes between these two time points. Values are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM for each group. Statistical significance was determined using LME models with post hoc Tukey's tests. Letters indicate significant differences (*p* < 0.05) for data within the same row. a: S+C vs. HFHS+C; b: S+VD vs. HFHS+VD; c: S+ω3 vs. HFHS+ω3; d: S+VD/ω3 vs. HFHS+VD/ω3; e: HFHS+C vs. HFHS+VD; f: HFHS+C vs. HFHS+ω3; g: HFHS+C vs. HFHS+VD/ω3.

#### *3.2. Effects on Glucose Homeostasis*

The OGTT showed a 22.42% higher AUC and elevated FBG and FBI levels and HOMA-IR scores in the HFHS group at W13 compared to the S group (*p* < 0.05; *p* < 0.001; and  $p < 0.01$ , respectively) (Table [3\)](#page-7-0).

At W13, all of the HFHS groups had higher AUCs in the OGTT than their standard matched groups ( $p < 0.001$ ). After the 13-week supplementation, the HFHS+VD,  $HFHS+<sub>w3</sub>$ , and  $HFHS+VD/<sub>w3</sub>$  groups significantly reduced AUCs compared to those of the HFHS+C group  $(p < 0.001)$  (Table [4\)](#page-7-1).

At W26, only the HFHS+C group maintained higher FBG levels compared to its standard counterpart; it also had higher levels than the HFHS+VD (*p* < 0.05) and HFHS+VD/ω3  $(p = 0.093)$  groups. The FBI levels and HOMA-IR scores increased in the HFHS+VD/ $\omega$ 3 group compared to those in its standard counterpart  $(p < 0.01)$ . The HOMA-IR scores tended to be higher in HFHS+C group compared to those in its standard counterpart  $(p = 0.059)$  (Table [4\)](#page-7-1).



Area under the curve in the oral glucose tolerance test (AUC in the OGTT), fasting blood glucose (FBG), fasting blood insulin (FBI), and homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) scores were measured or calculated at the beginning (W0) and in the middle (W13) of this study. Values are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM for each group. Statistical significance was determined using LME models with post hoc Tukey's tests.  $* p < 0.05$ , \*\* *p* < 0.01, and \*\*\* *p* < 0.001.

<span id="page-7-1"></span>



Area under the curve in the oral glucose tolerance test (AUC in the OGTT), fasting blood glucose (FBG), fasting blood insulin (FBI), and homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) scores were measured or calculated in the middle (W13) and at the end (W26) of this study. Values are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM for each group. Statistical significance was determined using LME models with post hoc Tukey's tests. Letters indicate significant differences (*p* < 0.05) for data within the same row. a: S+C vs. HFHS+C; b: S+VD vs. HFHS+VD; c: S+ω3 vs. HFHS+ω3; d: S+VD/ω3 vs. HFHS+VD/ω3; e: HFHS+C vs. HFHS+VD; f: HFHS+C vs. HFHS+ω3; g: HFHS+C vs. HFHS+VD/ω3.

#### *3.3. Effects on Lipid Profiles*

The 13-week HFHS diet increased TGs by 30.12% (*p* < 0.05), decreased HDL by 15.58% (*p* < 0.05), and increased the TyG Index (*p* < 0.01) (Table [5\)](#page-7-2).

<span id="page-7-2"></span>**Table 5.** Effects of diets on lipid profile at W0 and W13.



Total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) levels were measured and the triglyceride–glucose (TyG) Index was calculated at the beginning (W0) and in the middle (W13) of this study. Values are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM for each group. Statistical significance was determined using LME models with post hoc Tukey's tests.  $* p < 0.05$ ,  $* p < 0.01$ .

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

At W26, the HFHS+VD/ $\omega$ 3 group exhibited a significant decrease in its TG levels compared to those in the HFHS+C group  $(p < 0.05)$ . The TyG Index was significantly different between the HFHS+ $\omega$ 3 and HFHS+VD/ $\omega$ 3 groups (Table [6\)](#page-8-0).

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 6.** Effects of supplementations on lipid profile at W13 and W26.



Total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) levels were measured and the triglyceride-glucose (TyG) Index was calculated in the middle (W13) and at the end (W26) of this study. Values are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM for each group. Statistical significance was determined using LME models with<br>need has Tukey's tests. Letters indicate significant differences (*p*  $\epsilon$  0.05) for data within the same row, post hoc Tukey's tests. Letters indicate significant differences (*p* < 0.05) for data within the same row. f: HFHS+C vs. HFHS+ $\omega$ 3; g: HFHS+C vs. HFHS+VD/ $\omega$ 3.

# *3.4. Effects on Inflammation 3.4. Effects on Inflammation*

At W0, the leptin or adiponectin levels showed no significant differences between At W0, the leptin or adiponectin levels showed no significant differences between the the S and HFHS groups. After 13 weeks, the leptin levels increased significantly in the HFHS groups. HFHS group (*p* < 0.001), showing a marked 563% difference in comparison with those in the S group ( $p \le 0.001$ ), showing a marked 563% difference in comparison with those in the S group. The adiponectin levels were higher in the HFHS group ( $p < 0.01$ ), although the advertise of the adiponection of the adiponection in the HFHS group (*p*  $\alpha$ ), although their evolution remained unchanged (Figure [1\)](#page-8-1).

<span id="page-8-1"></span>

**Figure 1.** Effects of diets on adipokine secretion at W0 and W13. (**A**) Leptin (pg/mL) and (**B**) and of this study. Values are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM for each group, and n = 31–32. Statistical significance was determined using LME models with post hoc Tukey's tests. \*\*  $p < 0.01$  and \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ . determined using LME models with post hoc Tukey's tests. \*\* *p* < 0.01 and \*\*\* *p* < 0.001. (**B**) adiponectin (ng/mL) levels were measured at the beginning (W0) and in the middle (W13)

time showed a lesser increase in leptin levels between the HFHS+C group and both the S+C and HFHS+VD groups. The evolution of leptin was also reduced, but not to the level of significance, in the HFHS+ $\omega$ 3 and HFHS+VD/ $\omega$ 3 groups compared to the HFHS+C group. The adiponectin levels at W26 were higher in the HFHS+VD/ $\omega$ 3 group than its standard  $\frac{1}{2}$  counterpart (Table  $\frac{1}{2}$ ). The observed leptin pattern persisted at W26. The evolution of the leptin levels over counterpart (Table [7\)](#page-9-0).

	$S + C$ $(n = 7)$	$S+VD$ $(n = 7)$	$S+\omega$ 3 $(n = 8)$	$S+VD/\omega$ 3 $(n = 8)$	$HFHS+C$ $(n = 8)$	$HFHS+VD$ $(n = 7)$	$HFHS + \omega$ 3 $(n = 7)$	$HFHS+VD/\omega$ 3 $(n = 6)$
Leptin W13 (pg/mL)	$19.48 \pm 8.67$ <sup>a</sup>	$42.31 \pm 16.68^{\mathrm{b}}$	$19.21 \pm 7.45$ c	$45.46 \pm 21.03$ <sup>d</sup>	$118.62 \pm 28.83$ <sup>a</sup>	$217.57 \pm 52.08^{\mathrm{b}}$	$169.53 \pm 32.53$ c	$215.15 \pm 55.11$ <sup>d</sup>
Leptin W26 (pg/mL)	$85.37 \pm 17.10^{\text{ a}}$	99.41 $\pm$ 9.88 <sup>b</sup>	$115.86 \pm 15.25$ <sup>c</sup>	$130.28 \pm 37.67$ <sup>d</sup>	$377.71 \pm 32.24$ <sup>a</sup>	$297.00 \pm 48.32$ <sup>b</sup>	$297.72 \pm 29.24$ <sup>c</sup>	$357.49 \pm 52.96$ <sup>d</sup>
Leptin Evolu- tion W13-W26 (pg/mL)	$62.20 \pm 13.45$ <sup>a</sup>	$51.05 \pm 15.05$	$89.82 \pm 13.45$	$84.82 \pm 25.16$	$259.08 \pm 44.06$ <sup>a,e</sup>	$86.86 \pm 25.64$ <sup>e</sup>	$127.73 \pm 27.20$	$134.34 \pm 67.19$
Adiponectin $W13$ (ng/mL)	$7383 \pm 331$	$6861 \pm 270$	$7140 \pm 287$	$7352 \pm 239$	$7217 \pm 190$	$7454 \pm 204$	$7970 \pm 197$	$8088 \pm 187$
Adiponectin $W26$ (ng/mL)	$6522 \pm 257$	$6588 \pm 287$	$6970 \pm 267$	$7178 \pm 172$ <sup>d</sup>	$7353 \pm 319$	$6827 \pm 589$	$7497 \pm 352$	$8062 \pm 298$ <sup>d</sup>
Adiponectin Evolution W13-W26 (ng/mL)	$-427 \pm 290$	$-391 \pm 203$	$-113 \pm 390$	$-175 \pm 206$	$136 \pm 198$	$-574 \pm 579$	$-373 \pm 340$	$-95 \pm 137$

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Table 7.** Effects of supplementations on adipokine secretion at W13 and W26.

Leptin, adiponectin, and their evolution were measured in the middle (W13) and at the end (W26) of this study. Values are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM for each group. Statistical significance was determined using LME models with post hoc Tukey's tests. Letters indicate significant differences  $(p < 0.05)$  for data within the same row. a: S+C vs. HFHS+C; b: S+VD vs. HFHS+VD; c: S+ω3 vs. HFHS+ω3; d: S+VD/ω3 vs. HFHS+VD/ω3; e: HFHS+C vs. HFHS+VD.

#### *3.5. Plasma Calcidiol Levels*

Evaluation of their plasma calcidiol levels showed no significant difference between *3.5. Plasma Calcidiol Levels*  the S and HFHS groups at W0 or W13 (Figure [2\)](#page-9-1). However, by W26, the groups treated with VD and VD/ $\omega$ 3 demonstrated significant increases in their calcidiol levels. Specifically,  $t_{\text{max}}$ ,  $t_{\text{max}}$ ,  $t_{\text{max}}$ ,  $t_{\text{max}}$  are alternated significant increased in the calcidiol levels. Specifically, the calcidiol levels in the HFHS+VD group increased from W13 to W26, which were stathe calcidiol levels in the HTHS+VD group increased from W13 to W26, which were sur-<br>tistically significantly different compared to those in the HFHS+C group. In contrast, the  $HFHS+VD/w3$  group showed a lesser and non-significant increase of 35.13% (Table [8\)](#page-9-2).  $t_{\text{H}}$  H<sub> $\sigma$ </sub>)  $\omega$ <sub>2</sub> group showed a lesser and non-significant increase of 35.13% (Table 8).

<span id="page-9-1"></span>

**Figure 2.** Effects of diets on plasma calcidiol levels at W0 and W13. Calcidiol (ng/mL) was measured **Figure 2.** Effects of diets on plasma calcidiol levels at W0 and W13. Calcidiol (ng/mL) was measured at the beginning (W0) and in the middle (W13) of this study. Values are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM for each group. Statistical significance was determined using LME models with post hoc Tukey's tests.

<span id="page-9-2"></span>**Table 8.** Effects of supplementations on plasma calcidiol levels at W13 and W26.

	$S + C$ $(n = 7)$	$S+VD$ $(n = 7)$	$S+\omega$ 3 $(n=8)$	$S+VD/\omega$ 3 $(n = 8)$	$HFHS+C$ $(n = 8)$	$HFHS+VD$ $(n = 7)$	$HFHS+\omega3$ $(n = 7)$	$HFHS+VD/\omega$ 3 $(n = 6)$	
Calcidiol W13 (ng/mL)	$92.93 + 3.01$	$85.22 + 8.26$	$64.92 + 8.50$	$80.58 + 7.33$	$86.33 + 6.53$	$75.62 + 8.90$	$71.21 + 5.38$	$88.83 + 4.17$	
Calcidiol W26 (ng/mL)	$75.45 + 6.62 h$	$117.09 + 1.81$ <sup>h</sup>	$80.02 \pm 7.64$	$117.88 + 2.79$ <sup>1</sup>	$77.63 + 5.45$ e.g	$120.02 + 2.60$ <sup>e</sup>	$70.84 + 6.94$	$118.75 + 4.26$ s	
Calcidiol Evolution $W13-W26 (%)$	$-19.00 + 6.40$	$55.47 + 19.87$	$43.72 + 15.18$	$57.84 + 20.25$	$-5.00 + 11.14$ <sup>e</sup>	$90.10 + 34.96$ <sup>e</sup>	$7.18 + 19.57$	$35.13 \pm 12.69$	

Calcidiol and its evolution were measured in the middle (W13) and at the end (W26) of this study. Values are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM for each group. Statistical significance was determined using LME models with post HFHS+VD; g: HFHS+C vs. HFHS+VD/ $\omega$ 3; h: S+C vs. S+VD); i: S+C vs. S+VD/ $\omega$ 3. hoc Tukey's tests. Letters indicate significant differences (*p* < 0.05) for data within the same row. e: HFHS+C vs.

#### *3.6. Hepatic Steatosis Assessment*

The histopathology analysis showed a NASH score of  $1.79 \pm 0.24$  in the HFHS+C group, which was significantly higher compared to the score of 0.00 in its standard counterpart ( $p < 0.001$ ). This score was reduced to  $0.36 \pm 0.17$  by VD supplementation ( $p < 0.001$ ) and to  $0.64 \pm 0.25$  by  $\omega$ 3FA supplementation ( $p < 0.01$ ). The HFHS+VD/ $\omega$ 3 group showed a decrease to 0.92  $\pm$  0.29, although not a significant one, compared to that in the HFHS+C group and remained different from its standard counterpart, which had a score of 0.00 (*p* < 0.05) (Figure [3\)](#page-10-0).

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

**Figure 3.** Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis severity assessment. Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) lesions were histologically scored, and values are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM for each group.  $n = 6-8$  $\frac{1}{2}$  for each group. Statistical significance was determined using the Kruskal–Wallis test with post hoc Dunn's tests. \* *p* < 0.05, \*\* *p* < 0.01, and \*\*\* *p* < 0.001. for each group. Statistical significance was determined using the Kruskal-Wallis test with post hoc

#### *3.7. Caecum Morphology 3.7. Caecum Morphology*

*3.7. Caecum Morphology*  After 26 weeks of the HFHS diet without supplementation, caecum atrophy was ob-After 26 weeks of the HFHS diet without supplementation, caecum atrophy was oband  $HFHS+VD/\omega3$  groups, caecum atrophy was partially reversed, but it was not in the HFHS+VD group (Figu[re](#page-10-1) 4). served compared to the condition in the standard counterparts ( $p < 0.01$ ). In the HFHS+ $\omega$ 3

<span id="page-10-1"></span>

 $\mu_{\text{F}}$  and  $\mu_{\text{F}}$  is calculated, which ratio in the case of  $\mu \times 0.01$ . **Figure 4.** Caecum/body weight ratio. The caecum/body weight ratio was calculated, and values **and values** determined using LME models with post hoc Tukey's tests.  $* p < 0.01$ . are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM for each group. n = 6–8 for each group. Statistical significance was

mined using LME models with post hoc Tukey's tests. \*\* *p* < 0.01.

# *3.8. Intestinal Permeability Assessments*

The FD4 assessment revealed reduced paracellular permeability in the duodenum and both colonic segments in the HFHS+ $\omega$ 3 group compared to the HFHS+C group ( $p < 0.05$ ). The HFHS+VD group exhibited reduced the permeability in the duodenum and distal colon sections, although not significantly (Figure [5\)](#page-11-0).

<span id="page-11-0"></span>



No significant differences in transcellular permeability were observed (Figure 6).



**Figure 6.** *Cont.*

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

Figure 6. Assessment of transcellular intestinal permeability using HRP. Transcellular intestinal permeability assessed using HRP in duodenal  $(A)$ , ileal  $(B)$ , proximal colon  $(C)$  and distal colon  $(D)$ sections. Values are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM for each group. n = 6–8 for each group. Statistical significance was determined using LME models with post hoc Tukey's tests.

# *3.9. Intestinal Microbiota 3.9. Intestinal Microbiota*

At W0, the HFHS group showed higher diversity, but by W13, it had decreased significantly (Figure [7A](#page-12-1)). At W26, the HFHS+C, HFHS+VD, and HFHS+VD/ $\omega$ 3 groups remained more diverse than their standard groups, and the HFHS+ω3 group showed a mained more diverse than their standard groups, and the HFHS+ω3 group showed a slight, but not significant, increase in diversity compared to HFHS+C (Figure 7B). slight, but not significant, increase in diversity compared to HFHS+C (Figur[e 7](#page-12-1)B).

<span id="page-12-1"></span>

Figure 7. Fisher's alpha diversity. Fisher's alpha diversity at W0 and W13 (**A**) and at W26 (**B**). Values are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM for each group, and n = 31–32 at W0 and W13, while n = 6–8 at W26. Statistical significance was determined using LME models with post hoc Tukey's tests. \*\* *p* < 0.01, Statistical significance was determined using LME models with post hoc Tukey's tests. \*\* *p* < 0.01, \*\*\* *p* < 0.001. \*\*\* *p* < 0.001.

HFHS group, although no significant increase was observed for the S group (Figure [8A](#page-13-0)). By W26, only the HFHS+VD group exhibited a significant difference from its standard counterpart (Figure 8B). The Firmicutes-to-Bacteroidetes (F/B) ratio increased significantly by W13 in the

The heatmaps revealed shifts in the microbial populations. In the standard group, there was a reduction in *Prevotella* between W0 and W13 (Figure [9A](#page-13-1)). Conversely, the HFHS group showed stimulation of the *Akkermansia* population, a significant rise in the *Blautia* genus (exhibiting a 17-fold increase), a decrease in *Alistipes*, the disappearance of *Butyricicoccus*, and the near-complete disappearance of *Prevotella* (reduced by 99.7%), with the emergence of *Lactococcus*. At W26, the HFHS+VD group displayed a 65.8% reduction in *Blautia* compared to the HFHS+C group (Figure [9B](#page-13-1)). The HFHS+ω3 group showed increases in *Alistipes*, *Duncaniella*, and, notably, *Prevotella*, as well as decreases in *Clostridium sensu stricto* and *Lactococcus* (decreased by 55.1%) compared to the HFHS+C group. The HFHS+VD/ω3 group demonstrated an increase in *Blautia* and a marked 71.9% decrease in <span id="page-13-0"></span>*Clostridium sensu stricto* compared to the HFHS+C group. Notably, this latter group was the only group to completely lose its Sporobacter population.

The Firmicutes-to-Bacteroidetes (F/B) ratio increased significantly by W13 in the



Figure 8. Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio. Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio at W0 and W13 (A) and at W26 (B). Values are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM for each group, and n = 31–32 at W0 and W13, while  $n = 6-8$  at W26. Statistical significance was determined using LME models with post hoc Tukey's tests.  $* p < 0.05$ , and  $** p < 0.001$ .

<span id="page-13-1"></span>

**Figure 9.** Heatmaps of bacterial genera. Heatmaps representing proportions of certain bacterial **Figure 9.** Heatmaps of bacterial genera. Heatmaps representing proportions of certain bacterial genera at W0 and W13 (**A**) and at W26 (**B**). Values are presented as mean of bacterial proportion genera at W0 and W13 (**A**) and at W26 (**B**). Values are presented as mean of bacterial proportion for for each group. n = 31–32 at W0 and W13, and n = 6–8 at W26. each group. n = 31–32 at W0 and W13, and n = 6–8 at W26.

# **4. Discussion**

**4. Discussion**  This study explored the tertiary prevention of obesity and its complications through the combination of omega-3 fatty acid and vitamin D supplementation in HFHS-dietinduced obesity in Wistar rats. Following a 13-week HFHS diet, which successfully induced obesity, supplementation was administered for another 13 weeks. Our findings support the hypothesis, demonstrating that combined omega-3 and vitamin D supplementation significantly reduced obesity-related complications, including improvements in metabolic  $\mathbf s$ gut health.  $\mathbf r$ parameters and gut health.

Our findings demonstrate that the HFHS diet led to a weight gain of about 15% at W13, classifying the rats as moderately obese [\[53\]](#page-19-23). This may have involved a dysregulated energy metabolism, as the obese rats showed an increased FE despite no relative hyperphagia.<br>Internative hyperphagia. metabolism, as the observative metabolism, as the observative may be one possibility that could account for the increased FE in diet-induced obesity magine one possibility and solid descent for the increased  $12$  in the tightated speak;<br>models [\[54\]](#page-19-24). In agreement with this suggestion, previous studies have reported that an increased FE may occur in obese rats without a change in their caloric intake [\[41,](#page-19-12)[55\]](#page-20-0). The  $s$ <sub>ty</sub> models  $\frac{1}{2}$ . In agreement with this suggestion, previous studies have reported that  $\frac{1}{2}$ Intestinal hyperpermeability associated with impairment of the tight junction proteins

HFHS diet's excess calories result in weight gain and fat mass accumulation [\[56\]](#page-20-1), which is consistent with the observed alterations in morphometric parameters like AC and BMI.

Our study clearly demonstrated an elevated TyG Index in the animals on the 13-week HFHS diet, suggesting IR associated with dyslipidemia. Additionally, glucose metabolism disruption was evident, with increased FBG levels, hyperinsulinemia, and elevated HOMA-IR scores, confirming IR, consistent with similar metabolic alterations previously reported in rats on HFHS diets of various durations, ranging from 6 to 12 weeks [\[57](#page-20-2)[–60\]](#page-20-3).

The HFHS diet's excess caloric absorption led to increased lipogenesis, TG storage, and circulating TG levels, correlating with an increased FE and adipocyte hypertrophy, as previously described [\[61](#page-20-4)[,62\]](#page-20-5). Our results demonstrate the coexistence of dyslipidemia and IR, which have frequently been reported to be associated [\[63\]](#page-20-6). Although we did not address the mechanism behind these alterations, the overexpression of SREBP-1c, known to activate fat cell differentiation and lipid accumulation [\[64\]](#page-20-7), may be considered one potential mechanism that could account for the increased TG levels and IR observed in the current study.

Intestinal dysbiosis is a common obesity disorder [\[65\]](#page-20-8), with high-fat diets significantly altering gut microbiota composition and reducing bacterial richness and diversity. High fat ingestion has been reported to induce strong changes in microbiota composition, affecting the richness and diversity of bacterial species [\[66\]](#page-20-9). We observed that the HFHS diet increased the F/B ratio, which is generally ascribed to reduced *Bacteroidetes* and elevated *Firmicutes* [\[64\]](#page-20-7), a pattern linked to obesity [\[67](#page-20-10)[,68\]](#page-20-11). This shift may enhance plasma LPS levels, contributing to endotoxemia [\[69\]](#page-20-12), as dysbiosis disrupts the intestinal barrier, increasing permeability and LPS translocation via LPS-producing bacteria [\[70\]](#page-20-13). These changes in the microbiota likely contribute to HFHS-diet-induced dyslipidemia and IR, as seen in prior diet-induced obesity (DIO) studies [\[71](#page-20-14)[,72\]](#page-20-15). *Akkermansia* and *Blautia*, recognized for their roles in reducing inflammation and obesity [\[73\]](#page-20-16), were found to be more abundant in our DIO model, which matches the findings of other studies [\[74–](#page-20-17)[76\]](#page-20-18) and may reflect an adaptive response to metabolic disturbances. Their beneficial effects, supported by previous studies [\[77,](#page-20-19)[78\]](#page-20-20), include producing butyrate and deoxycholic acid, which combat obesity-related inflammation. *Lactococcus*, another key genus, possesses probiotic and anti-inflammatory properties [\[79\]](#page-20-21), though its elevated abundance in high-fat diets has been associated with increased leptin levels [\[76\]](#page-20-18). Conversely, several genera, including *Alistipes* and *Butyricicoccus*, are negatively correlated with obesity markers like BMI, triglycerides, and fasting blood glucose, with the latter also producing beneficial butyrate [\[80–](#page-20-22)[82\]](#page-20-23). *Prevotella*, linked to low-fat, high-fiber diets, presents a complex relationship with obesity, with studies reporting conflicting changes in its abundance [\[75](#page-20-24)[,83](#page-21-0)[,84\]](#page-21-1), possibly due to the genetic diversity within the genus [\[85\]](#page-21-2). These findings underscore the intricate interplay between the gut microbiota and metabolic health in obesity.

In our study, the obesity and obesity-related disorders observed at W13 persisted until W26. This included elevated BW, BMI, AC, inflammation, and intestinal dysbiosis, highlighting the long-term impact of the HFHS diet on metabolic health. We revealed a significant increase in VAT in the HFHS+C group, substantiated by a high AI. This is in line with the statement that VAT is more biologically and metabolically active than subcutaneous adipose tissue [\[86\]](#page-21-3).

Our study demonstrates that VD supplementation significantly improves several metabolic and physiological parameters under an HFHS diet, which seems to be correlated with calcidiol levels. Although the HFHS diet did not induce VD deficiency in the supplemented rats, consistent with previous research showing no change in serum 25(OH)D levels but higher  $1,25(OH)_2D$  levels in obese mice [\[87\]](#page-21-4), the VD supplementation still provided notable benefits. This aligns with the literature indicating that obesity alters VD metabolism, with elevated PTH and disrupted regulation of  $1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D$  due to changes in VD-metabolizing enzymes [\[88\]](#page-21-5). Thus, VD supplementation enhances calcidiol status and mitigates some metabolic disturbances associated with obesity, despite the absence of vitamin D deficiency. The VD levels observed in our study, approximately 120 ng/mL

in the vitamin-D-supplemented rats, slightly exceed the reference range of 20–100 ng/mL but remain well below the intoxication threshold of >150 ng/mL [\[89\]](#page-21-6). In our study, VD supplementation did not lead to a significant reduction in weight gain compared to that in the HFHS+C group but did result in a slight reduction in abdominal circumference and fat accumulation. VD was found to normalize FE, suggesting improved metabolic efficiency or reduced lipid absorption. The improvement in FE and the reduction in abdominal circumference indicate that VD may help limit fat accumulation and indirectly enhance glucose homeostasis. These findings are consistent with the existing literature linking VD deficiency to increased adiposity and impaired glucose metabolism [\[90,](#page-21-7)[91\]](#page-21-8). The improvement in glucose homeostasis and the reduction in abdominal circumference observed in our work further support VD's role in mitigating obesity-related metabolic disturbances.

VD positively influences gut and liver health in DIO models, prompting our investigation into whether its anti-obesity effects involve gut- and liver-dependent mechanisms. VD supplementation slightly reduced intestinal permeability, suggesting enhanced gut barrier integrity, which may have contributed to a reduced abdominal circumference by limiting lipid absorption. This aligns with findings in VDR<sup>−</sup> mice showing VD's role in maintaining intestinal barrier integrity [\[92\]](#page-21-9). Additionally, the HFHS+VD group exhibited a blunted leptin increase, indicating potential improvements in leptin sensitivity, likely mediated by VD's anti-inflammatory effects, which include downregulating pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6) and inhibiting NADPH oxidase [\[93–](#page-21-10)[96\]](#page-21-11). However, VD did not significantly alter the F/B ratio, suggesting its benefits are not linked to alleviating gut dysbiosis. Beyond its intestinal effects, VD supplementation reduced hepatic steatosis, pointing to a potential protective mechanism via hepatic VDR activation, which may alleviate NASH. The observed reduction in triglyceride levels supports this hypothesis, as VD likely enhances lipid degradation by promoting lipolysis and fatty acid β-oxidation through PPAR-α signaling, consistent with prior research [\[97,](#page-21-12)[98\]](#page-21-13).

Our study also aimed to investigate whether ω3FA supplementation could prevent obesity-related metabolic disturbances, focusing on its effects on BMI, AC, and FE. ω3FA supplementation did not lead to a significant reduction in overall weight gain compared to that in the HFHS+C group. However, it significantly limited increases in BMI and abdominal circumference and tended to normalize the FE. These results suggest that ω3FA can reduce central obesity and improve metabolic efficiency, consistent with findings that  $\omega$ 3FA can impact adiposity and energy expenditure [\[99](#page-21-14)[,100\]](#page-21-15). Furthermore, the activation of GPR120 by  $\omega$ 3FA induces thermogenesis, enhancing energy expenditure [\[99\]](#page-21-14). Additionally, we observed improved glucose tolerance and a slight normalization of FBG levels, indicating enhanced glucose homeostasis and insulin sensitivity. This aligns with the literature showing  $\omega$ 3FA's role in improving insulin sensitivity and metabolic function [\[101\]](#page-21-16).

ω3FA supplementation also led to reduced hepatic steatosis, suggesting that ω3FA improves liver health by reducing fat accumulation and enhancing lipid metabolism. This finding is supported by evidence that ω3FA can enhance hepatic lipid oxidation and inhibit lipogenesis [\[99,](#page-21-14)[100\]](#page-21-15) and aligns with findings that an 8-week fish oil treatment in HFD mice could reduce hepatic steatosis [\[102\]](#page-21-17).

Similarly to VD, the ω3FA supplementation improved the metabolic parameters in the rats fed the HFHS diet. ω3FA reduced intestinal permeability, supporting gut barrier integrity and potentially reducing systemic inflammation. While it did not significantly alter the Firmicutes-to-Bacteroidetes (F/B) ratio, ω3FA positively affected the gut microbiota by increasing beneficial genera such as *Alistipes* and *Prevotella* and decreasing *Clostridium sensu stricto*. These changes suggest that ω3FA helps manage obesity-related disturbances by modulating the gut microbiota. Additionally, flaxseed oil, rich in alpha-linolenic acid, has been shown to reduce colonic damage in DSS-induced colitis by improving oxidative status and modulating inflammatory factors while partially restoring the integrity of the intestinal epithelial barrier [\[103\]](#page-21-18). This further underscores the potential of  $\omega$ 3FA to influence gut health and reduce inflammation through multiple mechanisms.

 $VD/\omega$ 3 co-supplementation showed greater improvements in certain parameters compared to individual supplementation. It significantly reduced BW gain, decreased the TG levels at week 26, and notably lowered the abundance of *Clostridium sensu stricto* compared to the HFHS+C group. The reduction in *Clostridium sensu stricto*, linked to obesity and elevated plasma leptin levels [\[76](#page-20-18)[,80\]](#page-20-22), suggests a gut-flora-mediated mechanism in limiting BW gain. The additive effects of co-supplementation on BW gain and TG reductions may have resulted from the complementary actions of VD and ω3FA, both known to enhance lipolysis and fatty acid β-oxidation [\[97](#page-21-12)[–99\]](#page-21-14). However, co-supplementation did not outperform individual supplementation in improving the other metabolic parameters, such as FE or glucose tolerance. The mild to moderate benefits observed in the weight and TG reductions may not have been sufficient to counteract broader obesity-related disturbances. Potential nutrient–nutrient interactions at the kinetic level between VD and  $\omega$ 3 may have limited their combined effectiveness to only specific parameters. Further studies are needed to clarify these interactions and their impact on metabolic health.

To understand the effects of  $VD/\omega^2$  co-supplementation better, we measured the plasma 25(OH)D levels at week 26 in the HFHS+VD and HFHS+VD/ $\omega$ 3 groups. The plasma 25(OH)D levels increased more significantly in the HFHS+VD group, while the HFHS+VD/ $\omega$ 3 group showed only a smaller, non-significant rise. This suggests that ω3FA, at the dose studied, negatively modulates plasma VD levels, potentially limiting the combined supplementation's effectiveness compared to that of the individual treatments. The underlying mechanisms behind the reduced plasma VD levels in the HFHS+VD/ $\omega$ 3 group were not directly examined but may involve impaired metabolism or defects in VD's absorption. Supporting this, studies have shown that  $\omega$ 3FA co-supplementation reduces the ratio of 25(OH)D to its catabolite 24,25(OH)D, indicating altered VD metabolism [\[104\]](#page-21-19). Additionally, ω3FA may limit VD's absorption by forming mixed micelles in the intestinal lumen, further affecting VD's bioavailability [\[105,](#page-21-20)[106\]](#page-21-21).

This study has several limitations. The dose and duration of VD and ω3FA supplementation may not have been sufficient to detect subtle or long-term effects. A pilot study would have been relevant to optimizing these parameters. Additionally, we did not perform a detailed analysis of the physicochemical properties of the VD/fish oil mixture (i.e., chemical composition, stability, and interactions), which may have affected the outcomes by potentially altering the bioavailability or efficacy of the supplements. Future research should explore higher doses and longer supplementation periods to determine whether these adjustments would result in greater benefits. Moreover, a comprehensive analysis of the chemical composition, stability, and interactions within the  $VD/\omega$ 3 mixture is essential to understand their potential additive or antagonistic effects and to ensure the reliability and reproducibility of our experimental outcomes.

#### **5. Conclusions**

In conclusion, this study highlights the significant benefits of long-term supplementation with VD and ω3FA, alone and in combination, in managing obesity and its comorbidities in HFHS-diet-induced obesity in Wistar rats. Our results confirmed that 13- and 26-week HFHS diets induced obesity in rats, characterized by dyslipidemia, impaired glucose regulation, intestinal dysbiosis, and altered leptin levels. While both individual supplementations of VD and ω3FA had beneficial effects, co-supplementation was particularly effective in preventing weight gain, reducing TGs, and decreasing *Clostridium sensu stricto*, which is associated with obesity and leptin production (Scheme [2\)](#page-17-0).

Thus, we believe that long-term  $VD/\omega^3$  co-supplementation may have implications for the tertiary prevention of obesity and related disorders. Further studies are needed to explore the cellular mechanisms and kinetic interactions between VD and  $\omega$ 3FA to optimize their use in the framework of nutritional interventions targeting experimental DIO.

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

**Scheme 2.** Supplementation with vitamin D (VD) or omega-3 (ω3) provides improvements in combating obesity and its comorbidities. Combined supplementation of both nutrients demonstrates additive effects. AC = abdominal circumference; BMI = body mass index; BW = body weight; FBG = fasting blood glucose; GI = glucose intolerance; NASH = non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; TyG = triglyceride–glucose index.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization: D.L.J., M.S.M., J.-C.D. and Y.M.; methodology: D.L.J., **Author Contributions:** Conceptualization: D.L.J., M.S.M., J.-C.D. and Y.M.; methodology: D.L.J., M.S.M., S.D., O.T., T.L. and M.L.; software: D.L.J.; validation: J.-C.D., E.B. and Y.M.; formal analysis: M.S.M., S.D., O.T., T.L. and M.L.; software: D.L.J.; validation: J.-C.D., E.B. and Y.M.; formal analysis: D.L.J. and C.T.; investigation: D.L.J., M.S.M., S.D., O.T., T.L. and M.L.; resources: D.L.J. and M.L.; D.L.J. and C.T.; investigation: D.L.J., M.S.M., S.D., O.T., T.L. and M.L.; resources: D.L.J. and M.L.; writing—original draft preparation: D.L.J.; writing—review and editing: D.L.J., S.D., T.L., J.-C.D., writing—original draft preparation: D.L.J.; writing—review and editing: D.L.J., S.D., T.L., J.-C.D., E.B. and Y.M.; visualization: D.L.J.; supervision: Y.M.; project administration: Y.M.; funding acquisition: Y.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding. **Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The animal study protocol was approved by the ethics com-**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The animal study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Pays de la Loire Nantes, France (protocol code APAFIS#33784-2021112413036973v3), on December 2021. 17 December 2021.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable. **Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are freely available at **Data Availability Statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are freely available at the following link: https://filesender.renater.fr/?s = download&token = a0061fdd-b607-4bb1-bc02- the following link: <https://filesender.renater.fr/?s> = download&token = a0061fdd-b607-4bb1-bc02 cfa281a4224a (accessed on 12 November 2024). cfa281a4224a (accessed on 12 November 2024).

**Acknowledgments:** We would like to thank Biofortis for their expertise and assistance in the anal-**Acknowledgments:** We would like to thank Biofortis for their expertise and assistance in the analysis of the intestinal microbiota. We also extend our gratitude to the animal care team for their diligent support and dedication in managing the welfare and maintenance of the animal subjects throughout this study. We thank the APEX platform (INRAE/Oniris (IBISA labelled)) at the 0703 PAnTher joint research unit (INRAE/Oniris, Nantes, France) for the use of its facilities. We thank Biogenouest (the western French network of technology core facilities in life sciences and the environment, supported by the Conseil Régional des Pays de la Loire) and the NeurAtris Infrastructure for their support of APEX.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### **Abbreviations**

AC (Abdominal Circumference), AI (Adiposity Index), AUC (Area Under the Curve), BMI (Body Mass Index), BW (Body Weight), C (Control), DIO (Diet-Induced Obesity), EPA (Eicosapentaenoic Acid), F/B (Firmicutes-to-Bacteroidetes ratio), FBG (Fasting Blood Glucose), FBI (Fasting Blood Insulin), FE (Feed Efficiency), HDL (High-Density Lipoprotein), HFD (High-Fat Diet), HFHS (High-Fat, High-Sugar), HOMA (Homeostasis Model Assessment), IR (Insulin Resistance), NASH (Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis), OGTT (Oral Glucose Tolerance Test), PPAR (Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor), S (Standard), TG (Triglyceride), TyG Index (Triglyceride-Glucose Index), VAT (Visceral Adipose Tissue), VD (Vitamin D), VDR (Vitamin D Receptor), ω3FA (Omega-3 Fatty Acid), W (Week).

#### **References**

- <span id="page-18-0"></span>1. Phelps, N.H.; Singleton, R.K.; Zhou, B.; Heap, R.A.; Mishra, A.; Bennett, J.E.; Paciorek, C.J.; Lhoste, V.P.; Carrillo-Larco, R.M.; Stevens, G.A.; et al. Worldwide trends in underweight and obesity from 1990 to 2022: A pooled analysis of 3663 populationrepresentative studies with 222 million children, adolescents, and adults. *Lancet* **2024**, *403*, 1027–1050. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(23)02750-2) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/38432237)
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>2. Chandler, M.; Cunningham, S.; Lund, E.M.; Khanna, C.; Naramore, R.; Patel, A.; Day, M.J. Obesity and Associated Comorbidities in People and Companion Animals: A One Health Perspective. *J. Comp. Pathol.* **2017**, *156*, 296–309. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcpa.2017.03.006) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28460795)
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>3. Longo, M.; Zatterale, F.; Naderi, J.; Parrillo, L.; Formisano, P.; Raciti, G.A.; Beguinot, F.; Miele, C. Adipose Tissue Dysfunction as Determinant of Obesity-Associated Metabolic Complications. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2019**, *20*, 2358. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20092358) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31085992)
- 4. Rand, J.S. Diabetes Mellitus in Dogs and Cats. In *Clinical Small Animal Internal Medicine*; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2020; Volume 1, pp. 93–102.
- 5. Zheng, H.; Chen, C. Body Mass Index and Risk of Knee Osteoarthritis: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Prospective Studies. *BMJ Open* **2015**, *5*, e007568. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-007568) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26656979)
- 6. Johnson, K.A.; Lee, A.H.; Swanson, K.S. Nutrition and Nutraceuticals in the Changing Management of Osteoarthritis for Dogs and Cats. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* **2020**, *256*, 1335–1341. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2460/javma.256.12.1335)
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>7. Bastien, M.; Poirier, P.; Lemieux, I.; Després, J.P. Overview of Epidemiology and Contribution of Obesity to Cardiovascular Disease. *Prog. Cardiovasc. Dis.* **2014**, *56*, 369–381. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2013.10.016)
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>8. Wadden, T.A.; Tronieri, J.S.; Butryn, M.L. Lifestyle Modification Approaches for the Treatment of Obesity in Adults. *Am. Psychol.* **2020**, *75*, 235–251. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000517)
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>9. Krentz, A.J.; Fujioka, K.; Hompesch, M. Evolution of Pharmacological Obesity Treatments: Focus on Adverse Side-Effect Profiles. *Diabetes Obes. Metab.* **2016**, *18*, 558–570. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.12657)
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>10. Yun, J.W. Possible Anti-Obesity Therapeutics from Nature—A Review. *Phytochemistry* **2010**, *71*, 1625–1641. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2010.07.011) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20732701)
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>11. Santamarina, A.B.; Mennitti, L.V.; de Souza, E.A.; de Souza Mesquita, L.M.; Noronha, I.H.; Vasconcelos, J.R.C.; Prado, C.M.; Pisani, L.P. A Low-Carbohydrate Diet with Different Fatty Acids' Sources in the Treatment of Obesity: Impact on Insulin Resistance and Adipogenesis. *Clin. Nutr.* **2023**, *42*, 2381–2394. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2023.09.024)
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>12. Peng, R. Effects of Low-Carbohydrate Diet on Body Weight and Glycolipid Metabolism in Normal Rats and Obese Rats. *J. Shanghai Jiaotong Univ. Sci.* **2020**, *40*, 44–50. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1674-8115.2020.01.007)
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>13. Kelly, T.; Unwin, D.; Finucane, F. Low-Carbohydrate Diets in the Management of Obesity and Type 2 Diabetes: A Review from Clinicians Using the Approach in Practice. *Int. J. Env. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 2557. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17072557)
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>14. Lapik, I.A.; Galchenko, A.V.; Gapparova, K.M. Micronutrient Status in Obese Patients: A Narrative Review. *Obes. Med.* **2020**, *18*, 100224. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obmed.2020.100224)
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>15. Haussler, M.R.; Whitfield, G.K.; Kaneko, I.; Haussler, C.A.; Hsieh, D.; Hsieh, J.C.; Jurutka, P.W. Molecular Mechanisms of Vitamin D Action. *Calcif. Tissue Int.* **2013**, *92*, 77–98. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00223-012-9619-0)
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>16. Rad, E.Y.; Saboori, S.; Falahi, E.; Djalali, M. Vitamin D Supplementation Decreased Body Weight and Body Mass Index of Iranian Type-2 Diabetic Patients: A Randomised Clinical Trial Study. *Mal. J. Nutr.* **2018**, *24*, 1–9.
- 17. Perna, S. Is Vitamin D Supplementation Useful for Weight Loss Programs? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Medicina* **2019**, *55*, 368. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina55070368)
- 18. Chou, S.H.; Murata, E.M.; Yu, C.; Danik, J.; Kotler, G.; Cook, N.R.; Bubes, V.; Mora, S.; Chandler, P.D.; Tobias, D.K.; et al. Effects of Vitamin D3 Supplementation on Body Composition in the VITamin D and OmegA-3 TriaL (VITAL). *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2021**, *106*, 1377–1388. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1210/clinem/dgaa981) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33513226)
- <span id="page-18-13"></span>19. Pathak, K.; Soares, M.J.; Calton, E.K.; Zhao, Y.; Hallett, J. Vitamin D Supplementation and Body Weight Status: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Obes. Rev.* **2014**, *15*, 528–537. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12162)
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>20. Cannell, J.J.; Grant, W.B.; Holick, M.F. Vitamin D and Inflammation. *Derm. Endocrinol.* **2014**, *6*, e983401. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4161/19381980.2014.983401)
- <span id="page-18-15"></span>21. Li, X.; Liu, Y.; Zheng, Y.; Wang, P.; Zhang, Y. The Effect of Vitamin D Supplementation on Glycemic Control in Type 2 Diabetes Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Nutrients* **2018**, *10*, 375. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10030375) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29562681)
- <span id="page-18-16"></span>22. Blumberg, J.M.; Tzameli, I.; Astapova, I.; Lam, F.S.; Flier, J.S.; Hollenberg, A.N. Complex Role of the Vitamin D Receptor and Its Ligand in Adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 Cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2006**, *281*, 11205–11213. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M510343200) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16467308)
- <span id="page-18-17"></span>23. Marcotorchino, J.; Tourniaire, F.; Astier, J.; Karkeni, E.; Canault, M.; Amiot, M.J.; Bendahan, D.; Bernard, M.; Martin, J.C.; Giannesini, B.; et al. Vitamin D Protects Against Diet-Induced Obesity by Enhancing Fatty Acid Oxidation. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* **2014**, *25*, 1077–1083. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnutbio.2014.05.010)
- <span id="page-18-18"></span>24. Farhangi, M.A.; Mesgari-Abbasi, M.; Hajiluian, G.; Nameni, G.; Shahabi, P. Adipose Tissue Inflammation and Oxidative Stress: The Ameliorative Effects of Vitamin D. *Inflammation* **2017**, *40*, 1688–1697. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10753-017-0610-9) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28674792)
- <span id="page-18-19"></span>25. Chang, E. Effects of Vitamin D Supplementation on Adipose Tissue Inflammation and NF-κB/AMPK Activation in Obese Mice Fed a High-Fat Diet. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 10915. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms231810915) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36142842)
- <span id="page-18-20"></span>26. Imga, N.N.; Karci, A.C.; Oztas, D.; Berker, D.; Guler, S. Effects of Vitamin D Supplementation on Insulin Resistance and Dyslipidemia in Overweight and Obese Premenopausal Women. *Arch. Med. Sci.* **2019**, *15*, 598–606. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.5114/aoms.2018.75864)
- <span id="page-18-21"></span>27. Sukik, A.; Alalwani, J.; Ganji, V. Vitamin D, Gut Microbiota, and Cardiometabolic Diseases—A Possible Three-Way Axis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 940. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24020940)
- <span id="page-18-22"></span>28. Tangestani, H.; Boroujeni, H.K.; Djafarian, K.; Emamat, H.; Shab-Bidar, S. Vitamin D and The Gut Microbiota: A Narrative Literature Review. *Clin. Nutr. Res.* **2021**, *10*, 181–191. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.7762/cnr.2021.10.3.181) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34386438)
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>29. de Andrade, A.M.; Fernandes, M.D.C.; de Fraga, L.S.; Porawski, M.; Giovenardi, M.; Guedes, R.P. Omega-3 Fatty Acids Revert High-Fat Diet-Induced Neuroinflammation but Not Recognition Memory Impairment in Rats. *Metab. Brain Dis.* **2017**, *32*, 1871–1881. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11011-017-0080-7)
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>30. Wang, Y.X.; Lee, C.H.; Tiep, S.; Ruth, T.Y.; Ham, J.; Kang, H.; Evans, R.M. Peroxisome-Proliferator-Activated Receptor δ Activates Fat Metabolism to Prevent Obesity. *Cell* **2003**, *113*, 159–170. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00269-1)
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>31. Chen, C.; Yu, X.; Shao, S. Effects of Omega-3 Fatty Acid Supplementation on Glucose Control and Lipid Levels in Type 2 Diabetes: A Meta-Analysis. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0139565. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139565) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26431431)
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>32. Grygiel-Górniak, B. Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptors and Their Ligands: Nutritional and Clinical Implications—A Review. *Nutr. J.* **2014**, *13*, 17. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2891-13-17) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24524207)
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>33. Juárez-López, C.; Klünder-Klünder, M.; Madrigal-Azcárate, A.; Flores-Huerta, S. Omega-3 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids Reduce Insulin Resistance and Triglycerides in Obese Children and Adolescents. *Pediatr. Diabetes* **2013**, *14*, 377–383. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/pedi.12024)
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>34. Oh, D.Y.; Walenta, E. Omega-3 Fatty Acids and FFAR4. *Front. Endocrinol.* **2014**, *5*, 115. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/cen.12243)
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>35. Sokoła-Wysoczańska, E.; Czyż, K.; Wyrostek, A. Different Sources of Omega-3 Fatty Acid Supplementation vs. Blood Lipid Profiles—A Study on a Rat Model. *Foods* **2024**, *13*, 385. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13030385) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/38338520)
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>36. Zhao, Y.; Joshi-Barve, S.; Barve, S.; Chen, L.H. Eicosapentaenoic Acid Prevents LPS-Induced TNF-α Expression by Preventing NF-κB Activation. *J. Am. Coll. Nutr.* **2004**, *23*, 71–78. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/07315724.2004.10719345)
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>37. Albracht-Schulte, K.; Kalupahana, N.S.; Ramalingam, L.; Wang, S.; Rahman, S.M.; Robert-McComb, J.; Moustaid-Moussa, N. Omega-3 fatty acids in obesity and metabolic syndrome: A mechanistic update. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* **2018**, *1*, 1–16. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnutbio.2018.02.012) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29621669)
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>38. Costantini, L.; Molinari, R.; Farinon, B.; Merendino, N. Impact of Omega-3 Fatty Acids on the Gut Microbiota. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2017**, *18*, 2645. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18122645) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29215589)
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>39. Farsi, P.F.; Djazayery, A.; Eshraghian, M.R.; Koohdani, F.; Saboor-Yaraghi, A.A.; Derakhshanian, H.; Zarei, M.; Javanbakht, M.H.; Djalali, M. Effects of Supplementation with Omega-3 on Insulin Sensitivity and Non-Esterified Free Fatty Acid (NEFA) in Type 2 Diabetic Patients. *Arq. Bras. Endocrinol. Metabol.* **2014**, *58*, 335–340. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1590/0004-2730000002861)
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>40. Siroma, T.K.; Machate, D.J.; Zorgetto-Pinheiro, V.A.; Figueiredo, P.S.; Marcelino, G.; Hiane, P.A.; Bogo, D.; Pott, A.; Cury, E.R.J.; Guimarães, R.D.C.A.; et al. Polyphenols and ω-3 PUFAs: Beneficial Outcomes to Obesity and Its Related Metabolic Diseases. *Front. Nutr.* **2022**, *8*, 781622. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2021.781622) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35111795)
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>41. Matias, A.M.; Estevam, W.M.; Coelho, P.M.; Haese, D.; Kobi, J.B.B.S.; Lima-Leopoldo, A.P.; Leopoldo, A.S. Differential Effects of High Sugar, High Lard or a Combination of Both on Nutritional, Hormonal and Cardiovascular Metabolic Profiles of Rodents. *Nutrients* **2018**, *10*, 1071. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10081071) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30103515)
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>42. Jensen, T.L.; Kiersgaard, M.K.; Sørensen, D.B.; Mikkelsen, L.F. Fasting of mice: A review. *Lab. Anim.* **2013**, *47*, 225–240. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1177/0023677213501659) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24025567)
- 43. Benedé-Ubieto, R.; Estévez-Vázquez, O.; Ramadori, P.; Cubero, F.J.; Nevzorova, J.A. Guidelines and Considerations for Metabolic Tolerance Tests in Mice. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr. Obes.* **2020**, *13*, 439–450. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2147/DMSO.S234665)
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>44. Carper, D.; Coué, M.; Laurens, C.; Langin, D.; Moro, C. Reappraisal of the optimal fasting time for insulin tolerance tests in mice. *Mol. Metab.* **2020**, *42*, 101058. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2020.101058)
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>45. Gu, L.; Ding, X.; Wang, Y.; Gu, M.; Zhang, J.; Yan, S.; Li, N.; Song, Z.; Yin, J.; Lu, L.; et al. Spexin Alleviates Insulin Resistance and Inhibits Hepatic Gluconeogenesis via the FoxO1/PGC-1α Pathway in High-Fat-Diet-Induced Rats and Insulin Resistant Cells. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* **2019**, *15*, 2815–2829. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.31781) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31853220)
- <span id="page-19-16"></span>46. Nandhini, S. Association of Triglyceride–Glucose Index (TyG Index) with HbA1c and Insulin Resistance in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Maedica* **2021**, *16*, 375.
- <span id="page-19-17"></span>47. Klindworth, A.; Pruesse, E.; Schweer, T.; Peplies, J.; Quast, C.; Horn, M.; Glöckner, F.O. Evaluation of General 16S Ribosomal RNA Gene PCR Primers for Classical and Next-Generation Sequencing-Based Diversity Studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2013**, *41*, e1. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks808)
- <span id="page-19-18"></span>48. Ansorge, R.; Birolo, G.; James, S.A.; Telatin, A. Dadaist2: A Toolkit to Automate and Simplify Statistical Analysis and Plotting of Metabarcoding Experiments. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 5309. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22105309)
- <span id="page-19-19"></span>49. Kleiner, D.E.; Brunt, E.M.; Van Natta, M.; Behling, C.; Contos, M.J.; Cummings, O.W.; Ferrell, L.D.; Liu, Y.C.; Torbenson, M.S.; Unalp—Arida, A.; et al. Design and Validation of a Histological Scoring System for Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Hepatology* **2005**, *41*, 1313–1321. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.20701)
- <span id="page-19-20"></span>50. Brunt, E.M.; Janney, C.G.; Di Bisceglie, A.M.; Neuschwander-Tetri, B.A.; Bacon, B.R. Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis: A Proposal for Grading and Staging the Histological Lesions. *Am. J. Coll. Gastroenterol.* **1999**, *94*, 2467. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1572-0241.1999.01377.x)
- <span id="page-19-21"></span>51. Pinheiro, J.; Bates, D. *Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-PLUS*; Springer Science & Business Media: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2006; 538p.
- <span id="page-19-22"></span>52. Bretz, F.; Hothorn, T.; Westfall, P. *Multiple Comparisons Using R*; Chapman and Hall/CRC: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2010; 205p.
- <span id="page-19-23"></span>53. Hariri, N.; Thibault, L. High-Fat Diet-Induced Obesity in Animal Models. *Nutr. Res. Rev.* **2010**, *23*, 270–299. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954422410000168)
- <span id="page-19-24"></span>54. Suzuki, T. Regulation of the Intestinal Barrier by Nutrients: The Role of Tight Junctions. *Anim. Sci. J.* **2020**, *91*, e13357. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/asj.13357) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32219956)
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>55. Gomes Natal, D.I.; de Castro Moreira, M.E.; Soares Milião, M.; dos Anjos Benjamin, L.; de Souza Dantas, M.I.; Machado Rocha Ribeiro, S.; Duarte Martino, H.S. Ubá Mango Juices Intake Decreases Adiposity and Inflammation in High-Fat Diet-Induced Obese Wistar Rats. *Nutrition* **2016**, *32*, 1011–1018. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2016.02.008)
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>56. Woods, S.C.; Seeley, R.J.; Rushing, P.A.; D'Alessio, D.; Tso, P. A Controlled High-Fat Diet Induces an Obese Syndrome in Rats. *J. Nutr.* **2003**, *133*, 1081–1087. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/133.4.1081)
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>57. Wu, Z.; Ma, Q.; Cai, S.; Sun, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Yi, J. *Rhus chinensis* Mill. Fruits Ameliorate Hepatic Glycolipid Metabolism Disorder in Rats Induced by High Fat/High Sugar Diet. *Nutrients* **2021**, *13*, 4480. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13124480)
- 58. Ding, Q.; Zhang, B.; Zheng, W.; Chen, X.; Zhang, J.; Yan, R.; Zhang, T.; Yu, L.; Dong, Y.; Ma, B. Liupao Tea Extract Alleviates Diabetes Mellitus and Modulates Gut Microbiota in Rats Induced by Streptozotocin and High-Fat, High-Sugar Diet. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2019**, *118*, 109262. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2019.109262)
- 59. Alipour, R.; Aryaeian, N.; Hajiluian, G.; Soleimani, M.; Barati, M. The Effect of the Saffron Intervention on NAFLD Status and Related Gene Expression in a Rat Model. *Med. J. Islam. Repub. Iran* **2023**, *37*, 28. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.47176/mjiri.37.28)
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>60. Roberts, J.S.; Perets, R.A.; Sarfert, K.S.; Bowman, J.J.; Ozark, P.A.; Whitworth, G.B.; Blythe, S.N.; Toporikova, N. High-Fat High-Sugar Diet Induces Polycystic Ovary Syndrome in a Rodent Model. *Biol. Reprod.* **2017**, *96*, 551–562. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.116.142786)
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>61. Strable, M.S.; Ntambi, J.M. Genetic Control of *de Novo* Lipogenesis: Role in Diet-Induced Obesity. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **2010**, *45*, 199–214. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3109/10409231003667500)
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>62. Haczeyni, F.; Bell-Anderson, K.S.; Farrell, G.C. Causes and Mechanisms of Adipocyte Enlargement and Adipose Expansion. *Obes. Rev.* **2018**, *19*, 406–420. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12646) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29243339)
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>63. Frayn, K.N. Insulin Resistance and Lipid Metabolism. *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.* **1993**, *4*, 197–204. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1097/00041433-199306000-00004)
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>64. Ali, A.T.; Hochfeld, W.E.; Myburgh, R.; Pepper, M.S. Adipocyte and Adipogenesis. *Eur. J. Cell Biol.* **2013**, *92*, 229–236. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcb.2013.06.001)
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>65. Mitev, K.; Taleski, V. Association Between the Gut Microbiota and Obesity. *Open Access Maced. J. Med. Sci.* **2019**, *7*, 2050–2056. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2019.586)
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>66. Zhang, C.; Zhang, M.; Pang, X.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, L.; Zhao, L. Structural Resilience of the Gut Microbiota in Adult Mice Under High-Fat Dietary Perturbations. *ISME J.* **2012**, *6*, 1848–1857. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.27) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22495068)
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>67. López-Cepero, A.A.; Palacios, C. Association of the Intestinal Microbiota and Obesity. *Puerto Rico Health Sci. J.* **2015**, *34*, 60–64.
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>68. Turnbaugh, P.J.; Hamady, M.; Yatsunenko, T.; Cantarel, B.L.; Duncan, A.; Ley, R.E.; Sogin, M.L.; Jones, W.J.; Roe, B.A.; Affourtit, J.P.; et al. A Core Gut Microbiome in Obese and Lean Twins. *Nature* **2009**, *457*, 480–484. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07540) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19043404)
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>69. Ley, R.E.; Bäckhed, F.; Turnbaugh, P.; Lozupone, C.A.; Knight, R.D.; Gordon, J.I. Obesity Alters Gut Microbial Ecology. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2005**, *102*, 11070–11075. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0504978102)
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>70. Weiss, G.A.; Hennet, T. Mechanisms and consequences of intestinal dysbiosis. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **2017**, *74*, 2959–2977. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-017-2509-x)
- <span id="page-20-14"></span>71. Cani, P.D.; Amar, J.; Iglesias, M.A.; Poggi, M.; Knauf, C.; Bastelica, D.; Neyrinck, A.M.; Fava, F.; Tuohy, K.M.; Chabo, C.; et al. Metabolic Endotoxemia Initiates Obesity and Insulin Resistance. *Diabetes* **2007**, *56*, 1761–1772. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2337/db06-1491)
- <span id="page-20-15"></span>72. Cani, P.D.; Delzenne, N.M.; Amar, J.; Burcelin, R. Role of Gut Microflora in the Development of Obesity and Insulin Resistance Following High-Fat Diet Feeding. *Pathol. Biol.* **2008**, *56*, 305–309. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patbio.2007.09.008) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18178333)
- <span id="page-20-16"></span>73. Xiao, L.; Sonne, S.B.; Feng, Q.; Chen, N.; Xia, Z.; Li, X.; Fang, Z.; Zhang, D.; Fjære, E.; Midtbø, L.K.; et al. High-Fat Feeding Rather Than Obesity Drives Taxonomical and Functional Changes in the Gut Microbiota in Mice. *Microbiome* **2017**, *5*, 43. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0258-6) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28390422)
- <span id="page-20-17"></span>74. Bailén, M.; Bressa, C.; Martínez-López, S.; González-Soltero, R.; Montalvo Lominchar, M.G.; San Juan, C.; Larrosa, M. Microbiota Features Associated with a High-Fat/Low-Fiber Diet in Healthy Adults. *Front. Nutr.* **2020**, *7*, 583608. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2020.583608) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33392236)
- <span id="page-20-24"></span>75. Becker, S.L.; Chiang, E.; Plantinga, A.; Carey, H.V.; Suen, G.; Swoap, S.J. Effect of Stevia on the Gut Microbiota and Glucose Tolerance in a Murine Model of Diet-Induced Obesity. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **2020**, *96*, fiaa079. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiaa079) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32356872)
- <span id="page-20-18"></span>76. Hamilton, M.K.; Boudry, G.; Lemay, D.G.; Raybould, H.E. Changes in Intestinal Barrier Function and Gut Microbiota in High-Fat Diet-Fed Rats Are Dynamic and Region Dependent. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* **2015**, *308*, 840–851. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpgi.00029.2015) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25747351)
- <span id="page-20-19"></span>77. Shibata, M.; Ozato, N.; Tsuda, H.; Mori, K.; Kinoshita, K.; Katashima, M.; Katsuragi, Y.; Nakaji, S.; Maeda, H. Mouse Model of Anti-Obesity Effects of *Blautia hansenii* on Diet-Induced Obesity. *Curr. Issues Mol. Biol.* **2023**, *45*, 7147–7160. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/cimb45090452)
- <span id="page-20-20"></span>78. Shin, N.R.; Lee, J.C.; Lee, H.Y.; Kim, M.S.; Whon, T.W.; Lee, M.S.; Bae, J.W. An Increase in the *Akkermansia* spp. Population Induced by Metformin Treatment Improves Glucose Homeostasis in Diet-Induced Obese Mice. *Gut* **2014**, *63*, 727–735. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2012-303839)
- <span id="page-20-21"></span>79. Burakova, I.; Smirnova, Y.; Gryaznova, M.; Syromyatnikov, M.; Chizhkov, P.; Popov, E.; Popov, V. The Effect of Short-Term Consumption of Lactic Acid Bacteria on the Gut Microbiota in Obese People. *Nutrients* **2022**, *14*, 3384. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14163384)
- <span id="page-20-22"></span>80. Zeng, Q.; Li, D.; He, Y.; Li, Y.; Yang, Z.; Zhao, X.; Liu, Y.; Wang, Y.; Sun, J.; Feng, X.; et al. Discrepant Gut Microbiota Markers for the Classification of Obesity-Related Metabolic Abnormalities. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 13424. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-49462-w)
- 81. Thingholm, L.B.; Rühlemann, M.C.; Koch, M.; Fuqua, B.; Laucke, G.; Boehm, R.; Bang, C.; Franzosa, E.A.; Hübenthal, M.; Rahnavard, A.; et al. Obese Individuals with and without Type 2 Diabetes Show Different Gut Microbial Functional Capacity and Composition. *Cell Host Microbe* **2019**, *26*, 252–264. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2019.07.004) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31399369)
- <span id="page-20-23"></span>82. Takada, T.; Watanabe, K.; Makino, H.; Kushiro, A. Reclassification of Eubacterium desmolans as Butyricicoccus desmolans comb. nov., and description of Butyricicoccus faecihominis sp. nov., a butyrate-producing bacterium from human faeces. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **2016**, *66*, 4125–4131. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.001323) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27453394)
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>83. Duan, M.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Zou, R.; Guo, M.; Zheng, H. Characteristics of gut microbiota in people with obesity. *PLoS ONE* **2021**, *16*, e0255446. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255446)
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>84. Wang, H.; Wang, Q.; Liang, C.; Su, M.; Wang, X.; Li, H.; Hu, H.; Fang, H. Acupuncture Regulating Gut Microbiota in Abdominal Obese Rats Induced by High-Fat Diet. *Evid. Based Complement. Alternat. Med.* **2019**, *2019*, e4958294. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/4958294)
- <span id="page-21-2"></span>85. Ley, R.E. Prevotella in the gut: Choose carefully. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2016**, *13*, 69–70. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2016.4) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26828918)
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>86. Ibrahim, M.M. Subcutaneous and Visceral Adipose Tissue: Structural and Functional Differences. *Obes. Rev.* **2010**, *11*, 11–18. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-789X.2009.00623.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19656312)
- <span id="page-21-4"></span>87. Park, J.M.; Park, C.Y.; Han, S.N. High Fat Diet-Induced Obesity Alters Vitamin D Metabolizing Enzyme Expression in Mice. *Biofactors* **2015**, *41*, 175–182. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/biof.1211) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25904060)
- <span id="page-21-5"></span>88. Park, C.Y.; Shin, Y.; Kim, J.H.; Zhu, S.; Jung, Y.S.; Han, S.N. Effects of High Fat Diet-Induced Obesity on Vitamin D Metabolism and Tissue Distribution in Vitamin D Deficient or Supplemented Mice. *Nutr. Metab.* **2020**, *17*, 44. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12986-020-00463-x)
- <span id="page-21-6"></span>89. Holick, M.F. Vitamin D Deficiency. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2007**, *357*, 266–281. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra070553) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17634462)
- <span id="page-21-7"></span>90. Chang, E.; Kim, Y. Vitamin D Insufficiency Exacerbates Adipose Tissue Macrophage Infiltration and Decreases AMPK/SIRT1 Activity in Obese Rats. *Nutrients* **2017**, *9*, 338. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9040338)
- <span id="page-21-8"></span>91. Duque, G.; Macoritto, M.; Kremer, R. 1,25(OH)2D3 Inhibits Bone Marrow Adipogenesis in Senescence Accelerated Mice (SAM-P/6) by Decreasing the Expression of Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor Gamma 2 (PPARγ2). *Exp. Gerontol.* **2004**, *39*, 333–338. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2003.11.008) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15036392)
- <span id="page-21-9"></span>92. Zhang, Y.G.; Lu, R.; Xia, Y.; Zhou, D.; Petrof, E.; Claud, E.C.; Sun, J. Lack of Vitamin D Receptor Leads to Hyperfunction of Claudin-2 in Intestinal Inflammatory Responses. *Inflamm. Bowel. Dis.* **2019**, *25*, 97–110. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/ibd/izy292) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30289450)
- <span id="page-21-10"></span>93. Kolieb, E.; Maher, S.A.; Shalaby, M.N.; Alsuhaibani, A.M.; Alharthi, A.; Hassan, W.A.; El-Sayed, K. Vitamin D and Swimming Exercise Prevent Obesity in Rats under a High-Fat Diet via Targeting FATP4 and TLR4 in the Liver and Adipose Tissue. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*, 13740. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192113740) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36360622)
- 94. Dadrass, A.; Mohamadzadeh Salamat, K.; Hamidi, K.; Azizbeigi, K. Anti-inflammatory effects of vitamin D and resistance training in men with type 2 diabetes mellitus and vitamin D deficiency: A randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *J. Diabetes Metab. Disord.* **2019**, *18*, 323–331. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40200-019-00416-z)
- 95. Kono, K.; Fujii, H.; Nakai, K.; Goto, S.; Kitazawa, R.; Kitazawa, S.; Shinohara, M.; Hirata, M.; Fukagawa, M.; Nishi, S. Anti-Oxidative Effect of Vitamin D Analog on Incipient Vascular Lesion in Non-Obese Type 2 Diabetic Rats. *Am. J. Nephrol.* **2013**, *37*, 167–174. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1159/000346808) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23406697)
- <span id="page-21-11"></span>96. Labudzynskyi, D.O.; Zaitseva, O.V.; Latyshko, N.V.; Gudkova, O.O.; Veliky, M.M. Vitamin D(3) contribution to the regulation of oxidative metabolism in the liver of diabetic mice. *Ukr. Biochem. J.* **2015**, *87*, 75–90. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.15407/ubj87.03.075) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26502702)
- <span id="page-21-12"></span>97. Du, T.; Xiang, L.; Zhang, J.; Yang, C.; Zhao, W.; Li, J.; Zhou, Y.; Ma, L. Vitamin D Improves Hepatic Steatosis in NAFLD via Regulation of Fatty Acid Uptake and β-Oxidation. *Front. Endocrinol.* **2023**, *14*, 1138078. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2023.1138078)
- <span id="page-21-13"></span>98. Chang, E.; Kim, Y. Vitamin D Decreases Adipocyte Lipid Storage and Increases NAD-SIRT1 Pathway in 3T3-L1 Adipocytes. *Nutrition* **2016**, *32*, 702–708. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2015.12.032) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26899162)
- <span id="page-21-14"></span>99. Botta, M.; Audano, M.; Sahebkar, A.; Sirtori, C.R.; Mitro, N.; Ruscica, M. PPAR Agonists and Metabolic Syndrome: An Established Role? *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2018**, *19*, 1197. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19041197)
- <span id="page-21-15"></span>100. Jump, D.B.; Depner, C.M.; Tripathy, S. Omega-3 Fatty Acid Supplementation and Cardiovascular Disease: Thematic Review Series: New Lipid and Lipoprotein Targets for the Treatment of Cardiometabolic Diseases. *J. Lipid Res.* **2012**, *53*, 2525–2545. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.R027904)
- <span id="page-21-16"></span>101. Nandipati, K.C.; Subramanian, S.; Agrawal, D.K. Protein Kinases: Mechanisms and Downstream Targets in Inflammation-Mediated Obesity and Insulin Resistance. *Mol. Cell Biochem.* **2017**, *426*, 27–45. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11010-016-2878-8) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27868170)
- <span id="page-21-17"></span>102. Antraco, V.J.; Hirata, B.K.S.; de Jesus Simao, J.; Cruz, M.M.; da Silva, V.S.; da Cunha de Sa, R.D.C.; Abdala, F.M.; Armelin-Correa, L.; Alonso-Vale, M.I.C. Omega-3 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids Prevent Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis (NASH) and Stimulate Adipogenesis. *Nutrients* **2021**, *13*, 622. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13020622)
- <span id="page-21-18"></span>103. Sahoo, D.K.; Heilmann, R.M.; Paital, B.; Patel, A.; Yadav, V.K.; Wong, D.; Jergens, A.E. Oxidative stress, hormones, and effects of natural antioxidants on intestinal inflammation in inflammatory bowel disease. *Front. Endocrinol.* **2023**, *14*, 1217165. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2023.1217165) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37701897)
- <span id="page-21-19"></span>104. Valle, M.; Mitchell, P.L.; Pilon, G.; St-Pierre, P.; Varin, T.; Richard, D.; Vohl, M.C.; Jacques, H.; Delvin, E.; Levy, E.; et al. Cholecalciferol Supplementation Does Not Prevent the Development of Metabolic Syndrome or Enhance the Beneficial Effects of Omega-3 Fatty Acids in Obese Mice. *J. Nutr.* **2021**, *151*, 1175–1189. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/nxab002) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33851198)
- <span id="page-21-20"></span>105. Niramitmahapanya, S.; Harris, S.S.; Dawson-Hughes, B. Type of Dietary Fat Is Associated with the 25-Hydroxyvitamin D3 Increment in Response to Vitamin D Supplementation. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2011**, *96*, 3170–3174. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2011-1518) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21816779)
- <span id="page-21-21"></span>106. Goncalves, A.; Gleize, B.; Roi, S.; Nowicki, M.; Dhaussy, A.; Huertas, A.; Amiot, M.J.; Reboul, E. Fatty Acids Affect Micellar Properties and Modulate Vitamin D Uptake and Basolateral Efflux in Caco-2 Cells. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* **2013**, *24*, 1751–1757. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnutbio.2013.03.004)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.