Clinical Research

Effects of time-restricted eating with exercise on body composition in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis

H[a](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7725-2420)rry M. Hays^{[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7725-2420)}, Pouria Sefidmooye Azar¹, Minsoo Kang², Grant M. Tinsley $\boldsymbol{\mathbb{O}}^3$ $\boldsymbol{\mathbb{O}}^3$ and Nadeeja N. Wijayatunga $\boldsymbol{\mathbb{O}}^{1^{\boxtimes}}$

© The Author(s) 2025

BACKGROUND: The effects of time-restricted eating (TRE) with exercise on body composition in adults are not clear. OBJECTIVE: This meta-analysis aimed to assess the effects of TRE when followed in combination with various forms of exercise, including aerobic, resistance, and combined aerobic and resistance [concurrent] training on body composition.

METHODS: Studies published up to May 2023 were searched in EBSCOhost (MEDLINE, CINAHL, SPORTSDISCUS), PubMed, and SCOPUS databases. Fifteen studies, including 338 participants, that evaluated TRE vs. unrestricted eating in individuals performing exercise were analyzed. A random-effects model was used to calculate the weighted mean effect sizes (ES) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI's). RESULTS: According to the pooled results, TRE had a small but significant reduction of fat mass (FM) kg with an effect size of −0.20 (95% CI = -0.28 to -0.13 , $p < 0.001$) and on body fat percent (BF%) with an effect size of -0.23 (95% CI = -0.35 to -0.11 , $p < 0.001$). The prediction interval ranged from −0.48 to 0.08 for FM and from −0.64 to 0.18 for BF%, respectively. TRE did not significantly alter fat-free mass (FFM) kg compared to control ($p = 0.07$). Furthermore, age, body mass index (BMI), exercise type, study duration, and energy intake did not have a significant impact on the variation in effect sizes according to the subgroup analyses ($p > 0.05$). CONCLUSION: TRE with exercise may reduce fat mass compared to an unrestricted eating window exercise-matched control while

preserving FFM. However, more studies are needed.

International Journal of Obesity;<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41366-024-01704-2>

INTRODUCTION

Intermittent fasting (IF) is a term used to describe dietary interventions in which an individual completes periods of fasting alternating with feeding. Many variations of IF have been implemented in the literature, including alternate-day fasting, 5:2 fasting, Ramadan fasting, and time-restricted eating (TRE). According to past research, intermittent fasting may help to achieve weight loss and reduce cardiometabolic risk [[1](#page-8-0)].

TRE typically involves fasting for 12–20 h per day with a feeding window of 4-1[2](#page-8-0) h [2, [3](#page-8-0)]. TRE may also mitigate cardiometabolic risks [[4](#page-8-0)] and systemic inflammation [\[5\]](#page-8-0), and reduce fat mass (FM) [\[6\]](#page-8-0). Nevertheless, some studies reported no alterations in body weight or composition compared to control groups [\[7](#page-8-0)-[9](#page-9-0)], and others indicated reductions [[10,](#page-9-0) [11\]](#page-9-0) or no change [[4,](#page-8-0) [6,](#page-8-0) [12](#page-9-0)] in fatfree mass (FFM) between TRE group and the control.

The combination of diet and exercise is often considered to have augmented effects on body composition as compared to diet alone [\[13](#page-9-0)]. Thus, the addition of exercise to a TRE protocol may help minimize losses in FFM and enhance the reductions in FM. It is reasonable to hypothesize that exercise and TRE activate tissuespecific and pathway-specific mechanisms. Exercise may promote mitochondrial biogenesis. Both TRE and exercise may improve glucose metabolism by improving insulin sensitivity, with exercise promoting enhanced glucose uptake in muscles through GLUT-4

translocation [[14\]](#page-9-0) and TRE reducing overall systemic insulin levels [\[15](#page-9-0)]. Similarly, lipid metabolism is potentially improved by enhanced lipid oxidation by muscle with exercise [\[16\]](#page-9-0) and an increase in lipolysis via TRE [[17](#page-9-0)]. A meta-analysis assessing the effects of intermittent fasting on body composition among resistance-training individuals reported that intermittent fasting had a significant effect on reducing body mass, FM and body mass index (BMI) relative to the non-intermittent fasting group, without significant differences in FFM [[18\]](#page-9-0). However, this meta-analysis included different types of intermittent fasting protocols and did not study all forms of exercise. Thus, there is a lack of clarity regarding the impact of TRE with various exercise modalities on body composition.

The present systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to assess the effects of TRE on body composition outcomes, including FM, body fat percentage (BF%), and FFM in exercising adults. Pooled data from randomized controlled trials and randomized cross-over studies were analyzed.

METHODS

The Preferred Reporting Items of Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) statement guidelines were followed for reporting [\[19](#page-9-0)] and were registered in PROSPERO (ID number: CRD42022345123).

Check for updates

¹Department of Nutrition and Hospitality Management, University of Mississippi, Oxford, MS, USA. ²Department of Health, Exercise Science and Recreation Management, University of Mississippi, Oxford, MS, USA. ³Department of Kinesiology and Sport Management, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX, USA. [⊠]email: nadeejaw@olemiss.edu

Fig. 1 PRISMA flow diagram for the systematic review and meta-analysis. This figure illustrates the different phases of the systematic review and meta-analysis. It includes the number of records identified, screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the final analysis.

Data sources and search strategies

A comprehensive literature search was conducted using five databases, including CINAHL, MEDLINE, SPORTDISCUS, PubMed, and SCOPUS between the 18th of July 2022 and to the 8th of May 2023, and additional searching was performed using the reference lists of previously published articles. Details of the search criteria are in the Supplements. Studies published between October 2016 to February 2023 were included.

Study selection and eligibility criteria

Randomized controlled trials or randomized crossover studies in healthy adults reporting the effect of TRE with exercise on body composition outcomes, including FM, BF%, and FFM, compared to an exercise-matched control group with an unrestricted eating window were considered. Healthy adults were defined as individuals without cardiometabolic or other chronic health conditions other than overweight/obesity, and not on medications for a chronic health condition. Other inclusion criteria were ≥18 years of age, studies with pre- and post-intervention outcomes, and original research articles published in peerreviewed journals written in the English language. Short-term studies (<4 weeks) and those without a control group that was following an unrestricted eating window or was exercising were excluded.

All the articles were exported to Endnote (Version X9.3.3) and duplicates were removed. Articles were screened at the title, abstract, and full-text levels. Two investigators worked separately to perform the search and screening, and any disagreements were resolved by discussion or by a third investigator. The study selection process is summarized in Fig. 1.

Data extraction

The data extraction and coding were performed by two investigators independently and any disagreements were settled after discussion. The following data were extracted. (1) Study details including authors, publication year, and country; (2) Study sample characteristics including total sample size, sex, baseline BMI, and physical activity level; (3) Study design including study duration, and type of exercise; and (4) Body composition outcomes including pre- and post-intervention BMI, FM, BF%, and FFM. If multiple data collections were present, only the prepost intervention values were included. Thus, if a study had follow up data after the main study intervention period or if a study had mid-intervention data, such were not included.

Data synthesis

Three separate meta-analyses were conducted for each of the outcome variables including FM, BF%, and FFM [\[20](#page-9-0)]. The mean and standard deviations (SD) for pre- and post-intervention measurements were extracted for intervention and control groups. Next, mean change scores for each outcome variable (post-mean – pre-mean) were calculated. In instances where standard error (SE) was reported instead of SD, SD was calculated by multiplying the SE by the square root of the sample size [[20](#page-9-0)]. The effect size (ES) of each study was calculated using the Campbell Collaboration calculator [\[21\]](#page-9-0), which computed Cohen's d (standardized mean difference), 95% confidence interval (CI), and inverse variance weight for each study [\[22](#page-9-0)]. Before conducting the meta-analysis, the correlation coefficient (r-value) between pre- and post-measurements was determined using the intraclasscorrelation coefficient based on previous studies [\[23](#page-9-0)–[26\]](#page-9-0), because the r-value could not be calculated due to a lack of reported SD change scores for all the studies.

Meta-analysis was not conducted for BMI as only 3 out of the 15 studies provided sufficient data to calculate ES for BMI.

Statistical analysis

A random effects model was used to calculate the weighted mean difference ES and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for each of the outcomes [[20,](#page-9-0) [21](#page-9-0)]. As per Cohen's guidelines, ESs of 0.2 were considered small, 0.5 as medium, and 0.8 as large [\[22](#page-9-0)]. The results are reported as the overall ES (weighted mean difference), which are adjusted for sampling error by assigning more weight to studies with larger sample sizes [\[20](#page-9-0)].

Cochran's Q statistic was used to assess the heterogeneity of the overall ES [\[27](#page-9-0)]. A Q statistic p -value < 0.05 indicates significant heterogeneity among the studies included in the analyses [\[28\]](#page-9-0). However, a p -value < 0.10 will be considered as significant for the Q statistic in testing heterogeneity in this meta-analysis due to lower power when analyzing fewer studies. Tau-squared statistics (t^2) with a standard deviation of true ES, tau (t), and I^2 statistic were reported with I^2 statistic representing the percent of the variance in observed effects. The prediction intervals were also reported. The publication bias was assessed using Egger's regression asymmetry test and by visual inspection of a funnel plot. If publication bias was observed, a trim-and-fill technique [\[29](#page-9-0)] was performed.

Moderator analyses (subgroup analysis) were conducted for the moderator variables (BMI, exercise type, intervention duration, and energy intake). ES, 95% CI, and Q-statistics were reported for each moderator analysis. The following moderator variable categories were created. BMI categories were (1) BMI < 25 kg/m^2 and (2) BMI \geq 25 kg/m²; Exercise categories were (1) aerobic, (2) resistance, and (3) concurrent (both aerobic and resistance); Intervention duration categories were (1) \geq 8 weeks and (2) < 8 weeks; and Energy intake categories were (1) similar energy intake from preto-post between groups, and weight stable (eucaloric) (2) only the TRE group had significantly less ad-libitum energy intake from preto-post (TRE hypocaloric), and (3) both groups had significantly less energy intake from pre to post by design, but similar between groups (both hypocaloric). The moderator analysis was conducted using analog to ANOVA analysis. Also, a meta-regression was performed to understand the effects of age (years) on the overall ES.

To convert the ESs to raw scores for better interpretation, the ESs generated by the meta-analysis were multiplied by the pooled SD of FM, BF%, and FFM (kg) in the intervention group from the study with the largest number of subjects. Meta-analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS version 28.0.1.1 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY) software program.

Quality assessment of studies

The risk of bias was assessed independently by two investigators, using the Cochrane Collaboration tool [\[30\]](#page-9-0). Any disagreements were resolved by discussion. Briefly, seven items, that were categorized into six domains assessing the risk of bias, were scored as (1) low risk of bias; (2) unclear risk of bias; or (3) high risk of bias. (Table [2](#page-4-0)).

RESULTS

Study characteristics

The initial search resulted in 1005 articles and 368 duplicates were removed. Following initial screening at the title and abstract level, 571 articles were excluded. After a full-text review of the 65 articles, 50 were excluded. Thus, 15 articles were included in the meta-analysis (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). The final sample for meta-analysis included 39 effect sizes and 338 total participants from the 15 studies [\[8,](#page-8-0) [9](#page-9-0), [12](#page-9-0), [31](#page-9-0)–[42\]](#page-9-0).

Of the fifteen studies included, seven were conducted in the United States [[31,](#page-9-0) [34](#page-9-0), [38](#page-9-0)–[42\]](#page-9-0). Data of the completers were included in the analysis. All but two studies (which included healthy adults with overweight/obesity) [\[32,](#page-9-0) [34](#page-9-0)] included active, healthy adults with a BMI ≤ 25. Nine of the fifteen studies had all male participants [[8](#page-8-0), [9](#page-9-0), [12,](#page-9-0) [31](#page-9-0), [36,](#page-9-0) [38](#page-9-0)–[40](#page-9-0), [42](#page-9-0)], and three studies had all female participants [\[32,](#page-9-0) [35](#page-9-0), [41\]](#page-9-0). The mean age for all study participants was 28.7 ± 6.52 years. The mean BMI was in the normal to overweight BMI ranges (18.5 kg/m²-29.9 kg/m²). Of the fifteen studies included, four were aerobic [\[31](#page-9-0), [36,](#page-9-0) [38,](#page-9-0) [42\]](#page-9-0), six were resistance [\[8,](#page-8-0) [9,](#page-9-0) [12](#page-9-0), [39](#page-9-0)–[41](#page-9-0)], and five were concurrent training exercise protocols [[32](#page-9-0)–[35,](#page-9-0) [37\]](#page-9-0). Included in the aerobic training studies were three studies that were conducted in runners [\[31,](#page-9-0) [38,](#page-9-0) [42\]](#page-9-0) and one study was conducted in cyclists [\[36\]](#page-9-0). All the resistance training studies included both upper and lower body exercises performed at least three times per week [\[8](#page-8-0), [9,](#page-9-0) [12,](#page-9-0) [39](#page-9-0)–[41\]](#page-9-0), and this was generally under supervision. The concurrent training interventions included both resistance training and aerobic training weekly [[33,](#page-9-0) [34,](#page-9-0) [37\]](#page-9-0) or high-intensity interval training [\[32,](#page-9-0) [35](#page-9-0)]. Study duration was ≥8 weeks except for six studies [\[8,](#page-8-0) [9](#page-9-0), [32,](#page-9-0) [36,](#page-9-0) [39](#page-9-0), [42](#page-9-0)]. In five studies, all participants were in a mild (≤500 kcal) energy restricted state from beginning to end of intervention, [\[33,](#page-9-0) [34,](#page-9-0) [37](#page-9-0), [39,](#page-9-0) [41](#page-9-0)]. Of those, two of the studies imposed a 20–25% energy restriction for both groups [\[37](#page-9-0), [39](#page-9-0)] while in one study there was a 250 kcal energy reduction for all groups [[41\]](#page-9-0) and other had a 500 kcal reduction in the control group only [[33](#page-9-0)]. Furthermore, TRE led to a mild energy restricted state, pre-to-post intervention in three studies compared to control [[32](#page-9-0), [35,](#page-9-0) [40\]](#page-9-0). Most studies utilized a mid-day TRE protocol, where the feeding window falls between ~12 pm and 9 pm [\[8,](#page-8-0) [9,](#page-9-0) [12](#page-9-0), [31](#page-9-0), [33,](#page-9-0) [34](#page-9-0), [37](#page-9-0)–[39](#page-9-0), [41,](#page-9-0) [42](#page-9-0)], three studies utilized a selfselected window [[32](#page-9-0), [35,](#page-9-0) [42](#page-9-0)], and two studies where TRE window was between 10 am to 6 pm [\[36](#page-9-0)] and 4 pm to midnight [\[40](#page-9-0)]. In all the studies, the exercise sessions were performed during the feeding window. Adherence to TRE was determined through food logs/recalls or adherence questionnaires in all studies and also assessed by weekly conversations with a dietitian in two studies [\[12,](#page-9-0) [36\]](#page-9-0). Table [1](#page-3-0) summarizes the characteristics of the 15 studies included in the meta-analysis.

Quality of individual studies

Findings for the risk of bias assessment using the Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias [\[30\]](#page-9-0) are reported in Table [2.](#page-4-0) Of the 15 studies, 13 were classified as having a low risk of bias and 2 as unclear risk of bias for allocation concealment. The blinded participant's assessment criteria were rated as "high risk" for all studies since blinding of participants is not feasible due to the nature of interventions. Furthermore, 2 of the 15 studies rated as having a high risk of bias for selective reporting, and 12 of 15 were rated as unclear risk of bias for blinding of outcome assessment.

The overall changes in the body composition outcomes

The meta-analyses for FM, BF%, and FFM are shown as Forest plots in Figs. [2,](#page-5-0) [3](#page-6-0), and [4](#page-6-0), respectively. There was a small but significant mean reduction in FM following TRE with exercise compared to exercise only $(ES = -0.20, 95\% \text{ Cl} = -0.28 \text{ to } -0.13, \text{ and }$ p < 0.001). Since the prediction interval is -0.48 to 0.08, the true ES can be as low as −0.48 in certain populations and as high as 0.08 in others. The weighted mean difference ES observed for FM was multiplied by the pooled SD of FM (kg) of the intervention group with the largest number of subjects from the study that was included in this meta-analysis [\[32](#page-9-0)]. Thus, there may be an additional 1.3 kg reduction in FM in the TRE with the exercise group compared to the exercise-only control group. However, high heterogeneity was observed for changes in FM between the studies according to Q statistics ($Q = 57.8$, df = 14, p-value < 0.001), and I^2 statistic ($I^2 = 75\%$). The estimate of true between-

energy intake between groups pre to post; and *Hypocaloric,* Energy intake less from pre to post.
^aRandom cross-over design.
^BBioelectrical impedance analysis.
CDual-energy x-ray absorptiometry.
^d4-compartment model. energy intake between groups pre to post; and Hypocaloric, Energy intake less from pre to post.

^aRandom cross-over design.

bBioelectrical impedance analysis.

cDual-energy x-ray absorptiometry.

d4-compartment model.

SPRINGER NATURE

Estimated overall effect size

Confidence interval of effect size

T Estimated overall confidence irterval

Model: Random-effects model

Fig. 2 Forest plot for changes in fat mass. Abbreviations: CI Confidence interval.

study variance is τ^2 $=$ 0.016, and the estimated SD of effects across studies is $\tau = 0.13$. Furthermore, Egger's test of regression intercept revealed potential evidence of publication bias for FM $(t = -2.8, p-value = 0.01)$. However, no adjustments to ES were made since there was no change in results when trim and fill technique [[29\]](#page-9-0) was performed. Funnel plot for FM is shown in Fig. [5A](#page-7-0). According to the meta-regression, age did not explain to the variations in ES values for FM (-0.003 change in FM per 1-point age increase; 95% CI: -0.140 to 0.009; p = 0.645). There was no significant difference in effect between subgroup variables, including BMI, exercise type, study duration, and energy intake for FM (Table [3](#page-8-0)).

A small but significant mean reduction in BF% was observed after following TRE with exercise compared to the exercise-only control group $(ES = -0.23, 95\% \text{ Cl} = -0.35 \text{ to } -0.11 \text{ and }$ p < 0.001). Since the prediction interval is -0.64 to 0.18, the true ES can be as low as −0.64 and as high as 0.18. The overall ES may be equivalent to a 1.34% reduction in BF% which was determined by multiplying the ES for BF% by the pooled SD of BF% for the intervention group in the study with the largest number of subjects [[39\]](#page-9-0). However, there was high heterogeneity between the studies for BF% as observed with Q-statistic ($\overline{Q} = 49.9$, df = 8, and p-value < 0.001), I^2 statistic ($I^2 = 83$ %), and Tau (τ $^2 = 0.03$, and τ = 0.16). Egger's regression test revealed potential evidence of publication bias for BF% ($t = -5.4$, p-value < 0.001). Since there was no change in results when trim and fill [\[29\]](#page-9-0) technique was performed, no adjustments to ES was performed. The Funnel plot is shown in Fig. [5](#page-7-0)B. According to the meta-regression, age did not explain to the variations in ES values for BF% (0.004 change in BF% per 1-point age increase; 95% CI: -0.014 to 0.022; $p = 0.638$). The moderator analyses with BMI, exercise type, study duration, and energy intake did not explain the variation in ESs for BF% (Table [3\)](#page-8-0).

There was no significant change in FFM in TRE with exercise compared to the control group who did exercise only ($ES = -0.04$, 95% CI = -0.09 to 0.004 and $p = 0.07$). The prediction interval is −0.17 to 0.09. In terms of FFM change in kg, there was a reduction of 0.06 kg of FFM when calculated as previously described [[32](#page-9-0)]. Significant heterogeneity was also observed for FFM ESs between

studies according to Q-statistic ($Q = 23.8$, df = 14, and p-value = 0.048, I^2 statistic ($I^2 = 40.6$ %), and Tau ($\tau^2 = 0.003$ and $\tau = 0.05$). Egger's test of regression intercept result showed no evidence of publication bias for FFM ($t = -0.46$, $p = 0.65$) and the funnel plot also showed a symmetrical distribution (Fig. [5C](#page-7-0)). According to the meta-regression, age did not explain to the variations in ES values for FFM (−0.004 change in FFM per 1-point age increase; 95% CI: -0.010 to 0.003; $p = 0.316$). Any other study characteristics variables including BMI, exercise type, study duration, and energy intake did not result in a significant difference in effect on FFM according to the moderator analysis ($p > 0.05$) (Table [3](#page-8-0)).

DISCUSSION

While the impact of intermittent fasting with resistance training has been previously explored with a meta-analysis [\[18\]](#page-9-0), the effects of TRE when combined with multiple types of exercise were unclear. Therefore, the present meta-analysis aimed to evaluate the effects of TRE with exercise on body composition outcomes compared to exercise-matched controls who did not follow TRE, analyzing studies with an intervention period of at least 4 weeks.

According to this meta-analysis, a small but statistically significant reduction in FM and BF% may occur following TRE with exercise ≥4 weeks without significant changes to FFM when compared to exercise-matched, unrestricted mealtime controls. When the ES for FM and BF% is converted into a clinically relevant measurement, the estimated additional loss of FM and BF% may be close to 1.3 kg and 1.3%, respectively. Nevertheless, prediction intervals suggest inconsequential impacts on FM and BF% in some populations, with potential variability in fat gain or loss. Interestingly, TRE with exercise did not cause a significant change in FFM compared to the control. Similar to the present findings, the meta-analysis conducted by Ashtary-Larky, et al. [[18\]](#page-9-0) reported a reduction in FM without significant changes in FFM when IF is combined with resistance training.

Several potential mechanisms may underlie the favorable effects of TRE with exercise, with unintentional energy restriction [[11,](#page-9-0) [32](#page-9-0), [35,](#page-9-0) [40](#page-9-0), [43\]](#page-9-0) being the main one. TRE may cause a spontaneous reduction in energy intake due to restricted eating

Effect size of each study

Estimated overall effect size

T Estimated overall confidence interval

Confidence interval of effect size

Model: Random-effects model

Fig. 3 Forest plot for changes in body fat percentage. Abbreviations: CI Confidence interval.

Confidence interval of effect size Effect size of each study Estimated overall effect size T Estimated overall confidence interval

Model: Random-effects model

Fig. 4 Forest plot for changes in fat-free mass. Abbreviations: CI Confidence interval.

window, which may result in a reduction in body weight [\[40,](#page-9-0) [44](#page-9-0)–[46](#page-9-0)]. In the current analysis, the majority of the studies reported an energy deficit for the TRE group, either by design [\[34,](#page-9-0) [37](#page-9-0), [39,](#page-9-0) [41](#page-9-0)] or unintentionally [[32,](#page-9-0) [33,](#page-9-0) [35](#page-9-0), [40\]](#page-9-0). However, the moderator analysis did not indicate a significant impact on body composition by the energy intake levels. Similar to calorie restriction, TRE stimulates AMP-activated protein kinase/Acetyl-CoA-Carboxylase (AMPK/ACC) signaling pathway [\[47](#page-9-0)]. This pathway is activated under conditions of low cellular energy availability, promoting ATP synthesis by enhancing fatty acid oxidation and upregulating glycolytic flux. However, the current meta-analysis is not able to provide any evidence for these mechanisms.

In the current analysis, all but one $[40]$ $[40]$ of the 15 studies followed a TRE protocol with a feeding window during daylight hours [[8](#page-8-0), [9,](#page-9-0) [12,](#page-9-0) [31,](#page-9-0) [33,](#page-9-0) [34,](#page-9-0) [36](#page-9-0)–[39,](#page-9-0) [41\]](#page-9-0). Thus, the feeding time for the intervention group is aligned with the light-dark cycle, whereas the CON groups typically ate well into the evening. In humans, the functions of nearly all organs and systems are regulated by circadian rhythms and exhibit daily oscillations [\[48](#page-9-0)]. Significant variations from this circadian rhythm, such as eating the majority of calories at night, have been shown to alter metabolism and can lead to obesity and other chronic metabolic diseases [[49](#page-9-0)–[51\]](#page-9-0). Proposed mechanisms of TRE are alignment of nutrient intake with the circadian rhythm [\[52](#page-9-0)], and hormonal changes due to TRE such as increased adiponectin, noradrenaline, growth hormone,

Fig. 5 Funnel plots for assessing publication bias. Funnel plots for A Fat mass, B Body fat percent, and C Fat-free mass. Abbreviations: ES Effect size.

and a decrease in cortisol [[12,](#page-9-0) [36](#page-9-0), [37,](#page-9-0) [53](#page-9-0)]. Similarly, calorie restriction has been shown to increase adiponectin and noradrenaline [\[54](#page-9-0)], but yet to be confirmed in humans. Since growth hormone is highly regulated by circadian rhythms, [[55](#page-9-0)] alignment of feeding/fasting times with the light and dark cycle could be a mechanism for increased growth hormone observed in TRE. More research is needed, and studying the mechanisms is beyond the scope of this meta-analysis.

Moderator analyses based on BMI, type of exercise (aerobic, resistance, and concurrent), study duration, and energy intake did not find any significant impact on the variation in effect size for any of the main outcome variables. Heterogeneity observed in this meta-analysis suggests that variability in effect sizes is not fully explained by the study variables. While it would have been interesting to explore the impact of different timing of TRE protocols, studies on early TRE are limited. Thus, it was not possible to explore the effects of the timing of TRE in the moderator analysis at this time. Further investigation is necessary to fully understand the sources of heterogeneity in the observed effects.

The results of this study should be interpreted with caution due to certain limitations. Most studies included in the current meta-analysis are four weeks $[8, 9, 36, 38, 39, 42]$ $[8, 9, 36, 38, 39, 42]$ $[8, 9, 36, 38, 39, 42]$ $[8, 9, 36, 38, 39, 42]$ $[8, 9, 36, 38, 39, 42]$ $[8, 9, 36, 38, 39, 42]$ $[8, 9, 36, 38, 39, 42]$ $[8, 9, 36, 38, 39, 42]$ $[8, 9, 36, 38, 39, 42]$ $[8, 9, 36, 38, 39, 42]$ $[8, 9, 36, 38, 39, 42]$ $[8, 9, 36, 38, 39, 42]$ or eight weeks [[12,](#page-9-0) [31](#page-9-0), [34,](#page-9-0) [35](#page-9-0), [37](#page-9-0), [40,](#page-9-0) [41](#page-9-0)] in duration with only one [\[33\]](#page-9-0) exceeding twelve weeks in length. Furthermore, only one study utilizing a resistance training protocol and TRE has exceeded a year [[11](#page-9-0)]. This limitation prevents conclusions regarding any long-term body composition benefits of TRE against an

unrestricted diet in an exercising population. Thus, longerterm studies are needed to determine the long-term effects of TRE with exercise on body composition. All of the studies in this analysis used self-reporting methods to document dietary intake, which often underreports energy intake [[56](#page-9-0)]. Therefore, the true nature of energy intake in TRE participants is unknown, and further studies using more objective measures of energy intake are warranted. Since significantly high heterogeneity was observed for the body composition outcomes in the present meta-analysis, findings from this metaanalysis need to be interpreted carefully. Furthermore, dietary energy and protein intake may impact body composition changes in exercising individuals [[57\]](#page-9-0), but were not studied in the present meta-analysis. In addition, different body composition measuring devices were used in the studies that may have impacted outcomes. Of the 15 studies, five used bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) [[31](#page-9-0)–[33](#page-9-0), [36,](#page-9-0) [37](#page-9-0)], one used skin fold testing [[35\]](#page-9-0), seven used dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) [\[8](#page-8-0), [9,](#page-9-0) [12](#page-9-0), [34,](#page-9-0) [38](#page-9-0), [40,](#page-9-0) [42\]](#page-9-0), and two used a 4-compartment model [[39](#page-9-0), [41\]](#page-9-0). Although all these techniques have been validated, they do not always reflect similar changes in body composition. However, the random effects model and SMD's we used in the meta-analysis accounts for the variability introduced by the different body composition methods (DXA, BIA, etc.). The generalizability of the findings is limited since most of the participants were metabolically healthy and experienced exercisers, with only a few studies on participants with BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² [[32](#page-9-0), [34](#page-9-0), [37\]](#page-9-0).

 $\overline{8}$

Table 3. Moderator analysis for body composition variables.

Abbreviations: FFM Fat-free mass, FM Fat mass, BF% Body fat percent, BMI Body mass index, Stable, No difference in energy intake between groups pre to post; TRE hypocaloric, Intervention group has calorie deficit from pre to post; both hypocaloric, Both groups in calorie deficit from pre to post; CI Confidence interval, ES Effect size, n Number of studies, Q_b Cochran's Q statistic. ${}^{a}p$ < 0.10.

CONCLUSION

As per the findings of the current meta-analysis, TRE appears to induce a small decrease in FM and BF% while conserving FFM in adults adhering to a structured exercise regimen, as opposed to exercise-matched controls without temporal eating restrictions. Future investigations should focus on long-term studies utilizing various TRE protocols in diverse populations.

REFERENCES

- 1. Varady KA, Cienfuegos S, Ezpeleta M, Gabel K. Clinical application of intermittent fasting for weight loss: progress and future directions. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2022;18:309–21.
- 2. Tinsley GM, La Bounty PM. Effects of intermittent fasting on body composition and clinical health markers in humans. Nutr Rev. 2015;73:661–74.
- 3. Panda S. The arrival of circadian medicine. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2019;15:67–9.
- 4. Moon S, Kang J, Kim SH, Chung HS, Kim YJ, Yu JM, et al. Beneficial effects of timerestricted eating on metabolic diseases: a systemic review and meta-analysis. Nutrients. 2020;12:1267.
- 5. Turner L, Charrouf R, Martínez-Vizcaíno V, Hutchison A, Heilbronn LK, Fernández-Rodríguez R. The effects of time-restricted eating versus habitual diet on inflammatory cytokines and adipokines in the general adult population: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Am J Clin Nutr. 2024;119:206–20.
- 6. Pellegrini M, Cioffi I, Evangelista A, Ponzo V, Goitre I, Ciccone G, et al. Effects of time-restricted feeding on body weight and metabolism. A systematic review and meta-analysis. Rev Endocr Metab Disord. 2020;21:17–33.
- 7. Betts JA, Richardson JD, Chowdhury EA, Holman GD, Tsintzas K, Thompson D. The causal role of breakfast in energy balance and health: a randomized controlled trial in lean adults. Am J Clin Nutr. 2014;100:539–47.
- 8. Correia JM, Santos I, Pezarat-Correia P, Minderico C, Schoenfeld BJ, Mendonca GV. Effects of time-restricted feeding on supramaximal exercise performance and body composition: a randomized and counterbalanced crossover study in healthy men. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18:7227.

- 9. Correia JM, Santos PDG, Pezarat-Correia P, Minderico CS, Infante J, Mendonca GV. Effect of time-restricted eating and resistance training on high-speed strength and body composition. Nutrients. 2023;15:285.
- 10. Lowe DA, Wu N, Rohdin-Bibby L, Moore AH, Kelly N, Liu YE, et al. Effects of timerestricted eating on weight loss and other metabolic parameters in women and men with overweight and obesity: the TREAT randomized clinical trial. JAMA Intern Med. 2020;180:1491–9.
- 11. Moro T, Tinsley G, Pacelli FQ, Marcolin G, Bianco A, Paoli A. Twelve months of time-restricted eating and resistance training improves inflammatory markers and cardiometabolic risk factors. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2021;53:2577–85.
- 12. Moro T, Tinsley G, Bianco A, Marcolin G, Pacelli QF, Battaglia G, et al. Effects of eight weeks of time-restricted feeding (16/8) on basal metabolism, maximal strength, body composition, inflammation, and cardiovascular risk factors in resistance-trained males. J Transl Med. 2016;14:290.
- 13. Clark JE. Diet, exercise or diet with exercise: comparing the effectiveness of treatment options for weight-loss and changes in fitness for adults (18–65 years old) who are overfat, or obese; systematic review and meta-analysis. J Diabetes Metab Disord. 2015;14:31.
- 14. Berger M, Hagg S, Ruderman NB. Glucose metabolism in perfused skeletal muscle. Interaction of insulin and exercise on glucose uptake. Biochem J. 1975;146:231–8.
- 15. Chaix A, Manoogian ENC, Melkani GC, Panda S. Time-restricted eating to prevent and manage chronic metabolic diseases. Annu Rev Nutr. 2019;39:291–315.
- 16. Berggren JR, Boyle KE, Chapman WH, Houmard JA. Skeletal muscle lipid oxidation and obesity: influence of weight loss and exercise. Am J Physiol-Endocrinol Metab. 2008;294:E726–E32.
- 17. Adafer R, Messaadi W, Meddahi M, Patey A, Haderbache A, Bayen S, et al. Food timing, circadian rhythm and chrononutrition: a systematic review of timerestricted eating's effects on human health. Nutrients. 2020;12:3770.
- 18. Ashtary-Larky D, Bagheri R, Tinsley GM, Asbaghi O, Paoli A, Moro T. Effects of intermittent fasting combined with resistance training on body composition: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Physiol Behav. 2021;237:113453.
- 19. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. BMJ. 2009;339:b2535.
- 20. Lipsey MW, Wilson DB. Practical meta-analysis: SAGE publications, Inc; 2001.
- 21. Wilson DB, Practical meta-analysis effect size calculator [Available from: [https://](https://www.campbellcollaboration.org/research-resources/effect-size-calculator.html) www.campbellcollaboration.org/research-resources/effect-size-calculator.html.]
- 22. Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral. Sciences Hillsdale (NJ): Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. 1988:18–74.
- 23. McLester CN, Nickerson BS, Kliszczewicz BM, McLester JR. Reliability and agreement of various inbody body composition analyzers as compared to dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry in healthy men and women. J Clin Densitom. 2020;23:443–50.
- 24. Moreira OC, Oliveira CEP, De Paz JA. Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) reliability and intraobserver reproducibility for segmental body composition measuring. Nutr Hosp. 2018;35:340–5.
- 25. Vaquero-Cristóbal R, Albaladejo-Saura M, Luna-Badachi AE, Esparza-Ros F. Differences in fat mass estimation formulas in physically active adult population and relationship with sums of skinfolds. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17:7777.
- 26. Lee RC, Wang Z, Heo M, Ross R, Janssen I, Heymsfield SB. Total-body skeletal muscle mass: development and cross-validation of anthropometric prediction models. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000;72:796–803.
- 27. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA Editor(s). Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. Version 6.3.(updated February 2022). Cochrane, 2022.
- 28. Israel H, Richter RR. A guide to understanding meta-analysis. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2011;41:496–504.
- 29. Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: a simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. Biometrics. 2000;56:455–63.
- 30. Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2011;343:d5928.
- 31. Brady AJ, Langton HM, Mulligan M, Egan B. Effects of 8 wk of 16:8 time-restricted eating in male middle- and long-distance runners. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2021;53:633–42.
- 32. Haganes KL, Silva CP, Eyjólfsdóttir SK, Steen S, Grindberg M, Lydersen S, et al. Time-restricted eating and exercise training improve HbA1c and body composition in women with overweight/obesity: a randomized controlled trial. Cell Metab. 2022;34:1457-71.e4.
- 33. Isenmann E, Dissemond J, Geisler S. The effects of a macronutrient-based diet and time-restricted feeding (16:8) on body composition in physically active individuals-A 14-week randomised controlled trial. Nutrients. 2021;13:3122.
- 34. Kotarsky CJ, Johnson NR, Mahoney SJ, Mitchell SL, Schimek RL, Stastny SN, et al. Time‐restricted eating and concurrent exercise training reduces fat

mass and increases lean mass in overweight and obese adults. Physiol Rep. 2021;9:e14868.

- 35. Martínez-Rodríguez A, Rubio-Arias JA, García-De Frutos JM, Vicente-Martínez M, Gunnarsson TP. Effect of high-intensity interval training and intermittent fasting on body composition and physical performance in active women. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18:6431.
- 36. Moro T, Tinsley G, Longo G, Grigoletto D, Bianco A, Ferraris C, et al. Timerestricted eating effects on performance, immune function, and body composition in elite cyclists: a randomized controlled trial. J Int Soc Sports Nutr. 2020;17:1–11.
- 37. Ribeiro DE, Santiago AF, Abreu WCD. Continuous energy restriction (CER) plus 16/ 8 time-restricted feeding improve body composition and metabolic parameters in overweight and obese, but no more than CER alone. Nutr Healthy Aging. 2021;6:147–56.
- 38. Richardson CE, Tovar AP, Davis BA, Van Loan MD, Keim NL, Casazza GA. An intervention of four weeks of time-restricted eating (16/8) in male longdistance runners does not affect cardiometabolic risk factors. Nutrients. 2023;15:985.
- 39. Stratton MT, Tinsley GM, Alesi MG, Hester GM, Olmos AA, Serafini PR, et al. Four weeks of time-restricted feeding combined with resistance training does not differentially influence measures of body composition, muscle performance, resting energy expenditure, and blood biomarkers. Nutrients. 2020;12:1126.
- 40. Tinsley GM, Forsse JS, Butler NK, Paoli A, Bane AA, La Bounty PM, et al. Timerestricted feeding in young men performing resistance training: a randomized controlled trial. Eur J Sport Sci. 2017;17:200–7.
- 41. Tinsley GM, Moore ML, Graybeal AJ, Paoli A, Kim Y, Gonzales JU, et al. Timerestricted feeding plus resistance training in active females: a randomized trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2019;110:628–40.
- 42. Tovar AP, Richardson CE, Keim NL, Van Loan MD, Davis BA, Casazza GA. Four weeks of 16/8 time restrictive feeding in endurance trained male runners decreases fat mass, without affecting exercise performance. Nutrients. 2021;13:2941.
- 43. Rothschild J, Hoddy KK, Jambazian P, Varady KA. Time-restricted feeding and risk of metabolic disease: a review of human and animal studies. Nutr Rev. 2014;72:308–18.
- 44. Gabel K, Hoddy KK, Haggerty N, Song J, Kroeger CM, Trepanowski JF, et al. Effects of 8-hour time restricted feeding on body weight and metabolic disease risk factors in obese adults: a pilot study. Nutr Healthy Aging. 2018;4:345–53.
- 45. Gill S, Panda S. A smartphone app reveals erratic diurnal eating patterns in humans that can be modulated for health benefits. Cell Metab. 2015;22:789–98.
- 46. Kang J, Ratamess NA, Faigenbaum AD, Bush JA, Beller N, Vargas A, et al. Effect of time-restricted feeding on anthropometric, metabolic, and fitness parameters: a systematic review. J. Am. Nutr. Assoc. 2021;41:810–25.
- 47. Paoli A, Tinsley G, Bianco A, Moro T. The influence of meal frequency and timing on health in humans: the role of fasting. Nutrients. 2019;11:719.
- 48. Voigt RM, Forsyth CB, Keshavarzian A. Circadian rhythms: a regulator of gastrointestinal health and dysfunction. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2019;13:411–24.
- 49. Brum MCB, Filho FFD, Schnorr CC, Bottega GB, Rodrigues TC. Shift work and its association with metabolic disorders. Diabetol Metab Syndr. 2015;7:45.
- 50. Cheng W-J, Liu C-S, Hu K-C, Cheng Y-F, Karhula K, Härmä M. Night shift work and the risk of metabolic syndrome: findings from an 8-year hospital cohort. PLoS ONE. 2021;16:e0261349.
- 51. Sulli G, Manoogian ENC, Taub PR, Panda S. Training the circadian clock, clocking the drugs, and drugging the clock to prevent, manage, and treat chronic diseases. Trends Pharm Sci. 2018;39:812–27.
- 52. Yasumoto Y, Hashimoto C, Nakao R, Yamazaki H, Hiroyama H, Nemoto T, et al. Short-term feeding at the wrong time is sufficient to desynchronize peripheral clocks and induce obesity with hyperphagia, physical inactivity and metabolic disorders in mice. Metabolism. 2016;65:714–27.
- 53. Paoli A, Grimaldi K, D'Agostino D, Cenci L, Moro T, Bianco A, et al. Ketogenic diet does not affect strength performance in elite artistic gymnasts. J Int Soc Sports Nutr. 2012;9:34.
- 54. Ding Q, Ash C, Mracek T, Merry B, Bing C. Caloric restriction increases adiponectin expression by adipose tissue and prevents the inhibitory effect of insulin on circulating adiponectin in rats. J Nutr Biochem. 2012;23:867–74.
- 55. Gahete MD, Córdoba-Chacón J, Lin Q, Brüning JC, Kahn CR, Castaño JP, et al. Insulin and IGF-I Inhibit GH synthesis and release in vitro and in vivo by separate mechanisms. Endocrinology. 2013;154:2410–20.
- 56. Burrows TL, Ho YY, Rollo ME, Collins CE. Validity of dietary assessment methods when compared to the method of doubly labeled water: a systematic review in adults. Front Endocrinol. 2019;10:850.
- 57. Antonio J, Candow DG, Forbes SC, Ormsbee MJ, Saracino PG, Roberts J. Effects of dietary protein on body composition in exercising individuals. Nutrients. 2020;12:1890.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Dean's Office of the School of Applied Sciences at the University of Mississippi and Startup Funds from the University of Mississippi provided funding for this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

NNW, HMH, MK, and GMT conceptualized the study. NNW, HMH, and MK developed the methodology. HMH and PSA conducted the literature search and screening of articles. Data extraction and quality assessment were performed by HMH and PSA, with HMH conducting the statistical analysis under the supervision of MK. HMH and NNW drafted the original manuscript, and all authors contributed to reviewing and editing the manuscript. NNW provided overall supervision for the project. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript for submission.

COMPETING INTERESTS

GMT is an inventor on the international patent "Compositions and methods of use of beta-hydroxy beta-methylbutyrate (HMB) associated with intermittent fasting." The remaining authors do not report any conflicts of interest.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41366-024-01704-2.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41366-024-01704-2)

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Nadeeja N. Wijayatunga.

Reprints and permission information is available at [http://www.nature.com/](http://www.nature.com/reprints) [reprints](http://www.nature.com/reprints)

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons \odot Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

© The Author(s) 2025