



Article The Impact of Artificially Sweetened Drinks on Metformin Efficacy

Esraa Ismail^{1,2}, Xiaofei Chi³, Mallika Bhatta¹, Jennifer Hosford³ and Angelina Bernier^{1,2,*}

- ¹ College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32610, USA; israa88_isma3el@yahoo.com (E.I.); mbhatta@ufl.edu (M.B.)
- ² Division of Pediatric Endocrinology, UF Health, Department of Pediatrics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32608, USA
- ³ Division of Pediatrics Research Hub (PoRCH), UF Health Department of Pediatrics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32610, USA; xiaofei.chi@peds.ufl.edu (X.C.); jennifer.hosford@peds.ufl.edu (J.H.)
- * Correspondence: angelina@ufl.edu

Abstract: Background: Artificially sweetened beverages (ASBs) are commonly recommended as a substitute for sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs) in dietary counseling. Childhood obesity, associated with comorbidities like type 2 diabetes (T2D), has risen alongside increased consumption of both SSBs and ASBs. Metformin, a common treatment for pediatric T2D, affects GDF-15, a hormone involved in weight regulation. This pilot study examines the impact of ASBs on the therapeutic effects of metformin in pediatric patients with obesity and prediabetes, focusing on growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF-15) as a potential mediator. Methods: Forty-six children aged 10-21 years were randomized into two groups: one consuming non-sweetened beverages (USB) and the other consuming ASBs during a 12-week metformin intervention. Results: While the USB group showed a greater decrease in the point estimate for mean BMI $(-0.55 \pm 1.49 \text{ USB vs.} -0.23 \pm 1.60 \text{ ASB})$ and an increase in the point estimate for mean GDF-15 (33.40 \pm 58.34 in USB vs. 19.77 \pm 85.87 in ASB), these differences were not statistically significant (p = 0.6). As a hypothesis-generating exercise, change in insulin resistance was explored. While again lacking statistical power, we observed that more participants in the USB group showed improvements in insulin resistance. Conclusions: Additional studies are needed to fully elucidate the impact of non-nutritive sweeteners on metabolic health and treatment outcomes in pediatric obesity.

Keywords: metformin; non-nutritive sweeteners; prediabetes; obesity

1. Introduction

The global obesity epidemic is a significant public health concern, with childhood obesity affecting approximately one in five children in the United States. The prevalence of comorbidities, such as prediabetes and type 2 diabetes (T2D), is also rising in children [1,2]. Childhood obesity is particularly alarming due to the increased risk of adult obesity and associated non-communicable diseases. Even when excess weight is lost in adulthood, childhood obesity is linked to worse long-term morbidity and mortality outcomes [3,4].

The rise in obesity and its associated complications has paralleled the increased consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs), which typically utilize added sucrose, high-fructose corn syrup, or fruit juice concentrates [5]. While the causality remains unclear, the association between SSB consumption and obesity is well established [6]. Additionally, increased intake of SSBs has been linked to insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome, T2D, and cardiovascular morbidity, starting at early ages [7,8].



Academic Editor: Maria Luz Fernandez

Received: 15 January 2025 Revised: 18 February 2025 Accepted: 20 February 2025 Published: 26 February 2025

Citation: Ismail, E.; Chi, X.; Bhatta, M.; Hosford, J.; Bernier, A. The Impact of Artificially Sweetened Drinks on Metformin Efficacy. *Nutrients* **2025**, *17*, 797. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nu17050797

Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/). Non-nutritive sweeteners (NNS), marketed as healthier alternatives to SSBs due to their low or zero-calorie content, have seen a significant rise in consumption in recent years [9]. Initially believed to be metabolically inert, NNS are now associated with adverse effects, including impaired glucose tolerance, weight gain, and worsening cardiovascular outcomes. These negative effects may stem from alterations in the gut microbiome and long-term disruption of the neurohormonal control of satiety [10–12].

Metformin, a widely used biguanide drug, has been employed for nearly 30 years due to its safety and low cost. FDA-approved as a first-line treatment for pediatric T2D in children as young as 10, metformin improves the metabolic complications of obesity and contributes to a moderate weight loss, though its effects are not always consistent [13,14]. Metformin's mechanisms involve the activation of AMP-activated protein kinase, and, more recently, growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF-15) has been identified as a biomarker for metformin's therapeutic effects [15].

GDF-15 is a peptide hormone produced in several tissues, including the liver, kidneys, and intestines, in response to stress. It regulates food intake, energy expenditure, and body weight by interacting with the GDNF receptor alpha-like (GFRAL) in the brainstem, promoting weight loss [16]. Metformin has been shown to increase GDF-15 release, primarily from the gut. This increase in GDF-15 is associated with improved body weight regulation, appetite, and insulin sensitivity, although some studies suggest that glucose-lowering effects may occur even without a rise in GDF-15 [17–19].

Dietary composition, particularly sweeteners, can influence the therapeutic effects of medications [20]. A recent study in mice has shown that consuming non-nutritive sweetened beverages (e.g., saccharin) impairs the therapeutic effects of metformin on glucose control and weight loss, while also reducing the metformin-induced GDF-15 release compared to sugar-sweetened or water beverages [21]. This pilot study explores whether a similar effect occurs in pediatric clinical practice, hypothesizing that non-nutritive sweetened drinks may impair metformin-induced satiation, weight loss, and glucose tolerance, with GDF-15 mediating this effect.

2. Materials and Methods

Participants were recruited from the UF Pediatric Obesity & Metabolic Clinic in Gainesville, with the goal of recruiting 40 patients. Sample size estimation was based on guidelines for pilot studies, focusing on feasibility, acceptability, and variability to inform a future efficacy trial. Anticipating a 20% dropout, 20 participants were enrolled per group (N = 16 per group post-dropout).

Preclinical screening and chart reviews were performed to identify eligible participants, who were contacted for appointments and asked to fast. Inclusion criteria included children aged 10–21 years with a diagnosis of obesity (BMI \geq 95th percentile or \geq 30 kg/m²) and prediabetes (A1c 5.7–6.4%). Exclusion criteria included prior oral hypoglycemic treatment, hypertension (SBP > 180 mmHg or DBP > 100 mmHg), and self-reported pregnancy. After consent, participants were randomized into a two-arm, 12-week, open-label metformin (500 mg BID) intervention: one group was advised to avoid all sweetened drinks, while the other was allowed to consume artificially sweetened beverages (ASBs). All participants were instructed to consume at least three drinks per day, with handouts detailing the recommended options. Computer-generated block randomization was used to allocate participants to different treatment groups in a block design with increments of four. Participants were randomly assigned to different treatments within each block.

Upon recruitment, participants underwent comprehensive assessments of physical health, eating behaviors, and laboratory tests (fasting glucose, insulin, A1c, and GDF-15). Anthropometric measurements (height, weight, and BMI) were also recorded. Beverage

intake was assessed using a modified Beverage Frequency Questionnaire (BFQ) based on validated instruments for children and young adults (16–30 years). We combined items from two validated questionnaires and edited them in a limited fashion to make the distinction between unsweetened and artificially sweetened drinks while adding several examples to each category to help improve accurate selection (see Supplementary Materials S1). Biweekly assessments were collected electronically via email and stored in REDCap.

At the end of the 12-week intervention, participants returned for another fasting assessment and lab draws. Additional data on hunger, eating behavior, and metformin compliance were collected (see Supplementary Materials S2).

3. Statistical Analysis

Data were summarized as frequencies and percentages for categorical variables and as means with standard deviations (SD) for continuous variables. Fisher's exact test was used for categorical variables, and paired *t*-tests were applied to assess changes from baseline between the groups. Statistical significance was set at alpha \leq 0.05, with two-sided hypothesis tests. Analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.4 (Cary, NC, USA).

4. Results

Among the 46 enrolled participants, 25 (54.3%) were female, 25 (54.3%) were Black/African American, and 41 (89.1%) were non-Hispanic or non-Latino. At baseline, 14 participants (30.4%) reported occasionally feeling hungry, 21 (45.7%) sometimes asked for a second serving after meals, and 40 (87%) snacked between meals (Table 1).

Thirty-six participants (44% from the USB group) completed the study. Table 2 summarizes changes in BMI, BMI 95th percentile, BMI z-score, and GDF-15 from baseline to the 12-week follow-up. Although the USB group showed a greater decrease in BMI, %95th percentile, and BMI z-score, and a greater increase in GDF-15 levels (Figure 1) compared to the ASB group, none of these changes were statistically significant. There was no change in A1c or dietary intake.



Figure 1. Changes from baseline to the follow-up at 12 weeks for GDF-15 levels.

As an exploratory and post-hoc analysis, a binary categorization of the changes showed that nine out of 10 children in the USB group had a decrease in HOMA-IR, compared to seven out of 19 in the ASB group (p = 0.0084). No significant differences were observed for BMI, BMI 95th percentile, BMI z-score, HbA1c, or GDF-15 (Table 3).

| | All Participants | | | | Completers with Follow-Up Data at 12 Weeks | | | |
|---|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | Overall (N = 46) | USB (N = 23) | ASB (N = 23) | <i>p</i> -Value | Overall (N = 36) | USB (N = 16) | ASB (N = 20) | <i>p</i> -Value |
| Sex at birth (N,%) | | | | 1.0000 | | | | 0.7486 |
| Male | 21 (45.7%) | 11 (47.8%) | 10 (43.5%) | | 19 (52.8%) | 9 (56.3%) | 10 (50.0%) | |
| Female | 25 (54.3%) | 12 (52.2%) | 13 (56.5%) | | 17 (47.2%) | 7 (43.8%) | 10 (50.0%) | |
| Race (N,%) | | | | 0.2942 | | | | 0.2155 |
| White/Caucasian | 16 (34.8%) | 8 (34.8%) | 8 (34.8%) | | 11 (30.6%) | 5 (31.3%) | 6 (30.0%) | |
| Black/African American | 25 (54.3%) | 11 (47.8%) | 14 (60.9%) | | 22 (61.1%) | 8 (50.0%) | 14 (70.0%) | |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 1 (2.2%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (4.3%) | | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | |
| More than one race | 2 (4.3%) | 2 (8.7%) | 0 (0%) | | 1 (2.8%) | 1 (6.3%) | 0 (0%) | |
| Unknown | 2 (4.3%) | 2 (8.7%) | 0 (0%) | | 2 (5.6%) | 2 (12.5%) | 0 (0%) | |
| Ethnicity (N,%) | | | | 1.0000 | | | | 0.6371 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 5 (10.9%) | 3 (13%) | 2 (8.7%) | | 5 (13.9%) | 3 (18.8%) | 2 (10.0%) | |
| Non-Hispanic or Non-Latino | 41 (89.1%) | 20 (87%) | 21 (91.3%) | | 31 (86.1%) | 13 (81.3%) | 18 (90.0%) | |
| How often do you feel hungry? (N,%) | | | | 0.5218 | | | | 0.4045 |
| Never | 2 (4.3%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (8.7%) | | 1 (2.8%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (5.0%) | |
| Rarely | 5 (10.9%) | 3 (13%) | 2 (8.7%) | | 3 (8.3%) | 2 (12.5%) | 1 (5.0%) | |
| Sometimes | 14 (30.4%) | 6 (26.1%) | 8 (34.8%) | | 10 (27.8%) | 3 (18.8%) | 7 (35.0%) | |
| Most of the time | 18 (39.1%) | 9 (39.1%) | 9 (39.1%) | | 15 (41.7%) | 6 (37.5%) | 9 (45.0%) | |
| All the time | 7 (15.2%) | 5 (21.7%) | 2 (8.7%) | | 7 (19.4%) | 5 (31.3%) | 2 (10.0%) | |
| Do you ask for 'seconds' after meals? (N,%) | | | | 0.3514 | | | | 0.1325 |
| Never | 4 (8.7%) | 3 (13%) | 1 (4.3%) | | 2 (5.6%) | 2 (12.5%) | 0 (0%) | |
| Rarely | 7 (15.2%) | 5 (21.7%) | 2 (8.7%) | | 4 (11.1%) | 3 (18.8%) | 1 (5.0%) | |
| Sometimes | 21 (45.7%) | 10 (43.5%) | 11 (47.8%) | | 17 (47.2%) | 7 (43.8%) | 10 (50.0%) | |
| Most of the time | 11 (23.9%) | 3 (13%) | 8 (34.8%) | | 10 (27.8%) | 2 (12.5%) | 8 (40.0%) | |
| All the time | 3 (6.5%) | 2 (8.7%) | 1 (4.3%) | | 3 (8.3%) | 2 (12.5%) | 1 (5.0%) | |
| Do you get snacks in between meals or in the evening? (N,%) | | | | 0.6652 | | | | 0.6722 |
| No | 6 (13%) | 2 (8.7%) | 4 (17.4%) | | 6 (16.7%) | 2 (12.5%) | 4 (20.0%) | |
| Yes | 40 (87%) | 21 (91.3%) | 19 (82.6%) | | 30 (83.3%) | 14 (87.5%) | 16 (80.0%) | |
| Age (years) (mean \pm SD) | 13.5 ± 2.2 | 13.5 ± 2.4 | 13.6 ± 2.1 | 0.8969 | 13.2 ± 2.0 | 13.0 ± 1.9 | 13.4 ± 2.1 | 0.5645 |
| BMI (mean \pm SD) | 40.5 ± 8.5 | 39.8 ± 6.7 | 41.1 ± 10.1 | 0.6146 | 39.7 ± 7.8 | 39.4 ± 6.9 | 39.9 ± 8.6 | 0.8527 |
| % of 95th BMI percentile (mean \pm SD) | 152.5 ± 29.0 | 151.4 ± 24.5 | 153.7 ± 33.5 | 0.7910 | 151.6 ± 27.1 | 152.4 ± 24.1 | 150.9 ± 29.9 | 0.8686 |
| BMI z score (mean \pm SD) | 3.2 ± 1.0 | 3.2 ± 0.9 | 3.3 ± 1.2 | 0.7699 | 3.2 ± 1.0 | 3.2 ± 0.9 | 3.2 ± 1.0 | 0.8841 |
| Fasting glucose (mg/dL) | 98.5 ± 14.7 | 94.6 ± 12.9 | 102.4 ± 15.7 | 0.0726 | 97.9 ± 15.6 | 94.3 ± 14.9 | 100.9 ± 15.8 | 0.1708 |
| HbA1c % value (mean \pm SD) | 6.0 ± 0.3 | 5.8 ± 0.2 | 6.1 ± 0.2 | 0.0018 | 5.9 ± 0.3 | 5.8 ± 0.2 | 6.0 ± 0.2 | 0.0062 |
| GDF-15 (pg/mL) (mean \pm SD) | 360.6 ± 117.9 | 377.3 ± 143.2 | 344.6 ± 87.7 | 0.3583 | 359.4 ± 118.9 | 396.1 ± 154.8 | 330.0 ± 71.3 | 0.0978 |
| HOMA-IR | 9.8 ± 7.2 | 9.5 ± 7.0 | 10.0 ± 7.6 | 0.8463 | 9.3 ± 7.4 | 9.9 ± 8.2 | 8.9 ± 7.0 | 0.7097 |
| Total estimated carbs (g) (mean \pm SD) | 158.2 ± 68.4 | 153.4 ± 58.9 | 163 ± 77.7 | 0.6401 | 151.6 ± 58.6 | 144.3 ± 46.6 | 157.4 ± 67.2 | 0.5149 |
| Total estimated added sugars (g) (mean \pm SD) | 48.2 ± 48.1 | 48.2 ± 32.7 | 48.2 ± 60.5 | 0.9983 | 41.9 ± 30.9 | 46.5 ± 31.4 | 38.3 ± 30.9 | 0.4384 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Table 1. Baseline characteristics. Unsweetened beverage group (USB); artificially sweetened beverage group (ASB).

Note: *p*-value from Fisher's exact test for categorical variables and *t*-test for continuous variables.

| | Overall (N = 36) | USB (N = 16) | ASB (N = 20) | <i>p</i> -Value ⁽¹⁾ |
|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| Have you noticed any changes in hunger? (N,%) | | | | 0.6665 |
| Less hungry | 23 (63.9%) | 9 (56.3%) | 14 (70.0%) | |
| No change | 10 (27.8%) | 5 (31.3%) | 5 (25.0%) | |
| More hungry | 3 (8.3%) | 2 (12.5%) | 1 (5.0%) | |
| Have you noticed any changes in your portion sizes? (N,%) | | | | 0.3204 |
| Smaller | 15 (41.7%) | 5 (31.3%) | 10 (50.0%) | |
| Same | 21 (58.3%) | 11 (68.8%) | 10 (50.0%) | |
| Have you noticed any changes in snack intake? (N,%) | | | | 0.7343 |
| Less snacks | 22 (61.1%) | 9 (56.3%) | 13 (65.0%) | |
| No change | 14 (38.9%) | 7 (43.8%) | 7 (35.0%) | |
| Change in BMI (mean \pm SD) | -0.37 ± 1.54 | -0.55 ± 1.49 | -0.23 ± 1.60 | 0.5390 |
| Change in BMI 95% percentile (mean \pm SD) | -3.02 ± 5.83 | -3.94 ± 5.78 | -2.28 ± 5.91 | 0.4037 |
| Change in BMI z scores (mean \pm SD) | -0.10 ± 0.19 | -0.12 ± 0.19 | -0.09 ± 0.21 | 0.6273 |
| Change in fasting glucose (mg/dL) (mean \pm SD) | 1.14 ± 21.40 | -4.06 ± 18.83 | 5.30 ± 22.85 | 0.1962 |
| Change in HbA1c (mean \pm SD) | -0.12 ± 0.26 | -0.12 ± 0.25 | -0.13 ± 0.28 | 0.9445 |
| Change in GDF-15 (mean \pm SD) $^{(2)}$ | 25.38 ± 75.05 | 33.40 ± 58.34 | 19.77 ± 85.87 | 0.6099 |
| Change in HOMA-IR (mean \pm SD) ⁽³⁾ | -0.22 ± 10.16 | -4.13 ± 9.68 | 1.84 ± 10.04 | 0.1354 |
| Change in total estimated carbs (g) (mean \pm SD) | -23.60 ± 70.97 | -22.10 ± 68.10 | -24.80 ± 74.92 | 0.9092 |
| Change in total estimated added sugars (mean \pm SD) | -19.50 ± 33.94 | -22.20 ± 39.98 | -17.40 ± 29.16 | 0.6800 |
| Percentage of metformin intake (mean \pm SD) | 70.00 ± 31.05 | 70.00 ± 36.97 | 70.00 ± 26.12 | 1.0000 |

Table 2. Changes from baseline to the follow-up at 12 weeks among the participants with a follow-up at 12 weeks. Unsweetened beverage group (USB); artificially sweetened beverage group (ASB).

⁽¹⁾ *p*-value from Fisher's exact test for categorical variables and *t* test for continuous variables. ⁽²⁾ N in GDF-15: total = 34 (USB arm = 14, ASB arm = 20). ⁽³⁾ N in HOMA-IR: total = 29 (USB arm = 10, ASB arm = 19).

Table 3. Binary categorization of changes from baseline to the follow-up at 12 weeks among the participants with a follow-up at 12 weeks. Unsweetened beverage group (USB); artificially sweetened beverage group (ASB).

| | Overall | | USB | | ASB | | n-Value |
|--------------------------------|---------|------|-----|------|-----|------|---------|
| | Ν | % | Ν | % | Ν | % | |
| Decrease in BMI | 19 | 52.8 | 9 | 56.3 | 10 | 50.0 | 0 7486 |
| Yes | - 19 | | | | | | 0.7400 |
| No | 17 | 47.2 | 7 | 43.8 | 10 | 50.0 | |
| Decrease in BMI 95% percentile | | 63.9 | 10 | 62 5 | 13 | 65.0 | 1 0000 |
| Yes | - 25 | 00.7 | 10 | 02.0 | 10 | 00.0 | 1.0000 |
| No | 13 | 36.1 | 6 | 37.5 | 7 | 35.0 | |

| | Overall | | USB | | ASB | | <i>n</i> -Value |
|-------------------------|--------------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----------------|
| | Ν | % | Ν | % | Ν | % | |
| Decrease in BMI z-score | 24 | 66.7 | 10 | 62 5 | 14 | 70.0 | 0 7295 |
| Yes | — <u>2</u> т | 00.7 | 10 | 02.0 | 14 | 70.0 | 0.72)5 |
| No | 12 | 33.3 | 6 | 37.5 | 6 | 30.0 | |
| Decrease in HbA1c | 24 | 66.7 | 10 | 62 5 | 14 | 70.0 | 0 7295 |
| Yes | <u>∠4</u> | 00.7 | 10 | 02.0 | 14 | 70.0 | 0.72)5 |
| No | 12 | 33.3 | 6 | 37.5 | 6 | 30.0 | |
| Increase in GDF-15 | 2 | 5.6 | 2 | 12.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 1625 |
| Missing | <u>∠</u> | 5.0 | 2 | 12.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1020 |
| Yes | 16 | 44.4 | 9 | 56.3 | 7 | 35.0 | |
| No | 18 | 50.0 | 5 | 31.3 | 13 | 65.0 | |
| Decrease in HOMA-IR | 7 | 10 / | 6 | 37 5 | 1 | 5.0 | 0.0084 |
| Missing | / | 17.4 | 0 | 57.5 | T | 0.0 | 0.0004 |
| Yes | 16 | 44.4 | 9 | 56.3 | 7 | 35.0 | |
| No | 13 | 36.1 | 1 | 6.3 | 12 | 60.0 | |

Table 3. Cont.

NOTE: missing values were removed for the bivariate analysis. *p*-value from Fisher's exact test.

5. Discussion

Metformin has been shown to improve weight management and glucose control in children, and its effects are linked to changes in GDF-15. Metformin is also known to positively affect gut microbiome [22] and this could be altered or attenuated with the use of NNS. This pilot study investigates the impact of ASB consumption on the therapeutic effects of metformin in pediatric patients, with a focus on GDF-15 as a potential mediator. Point estimates for reduction in BMI and increase in GDF-15 were higher in the USB arm versus the ASB arm but did not reach statistical significance. No changes were observed in A1c or dietary intake, and metformin compliance was similar across groups. As a hypothesis-generating post-hoc analysis, we explored the binary relationship among differences in BMI, GDF-15, and HOMA-IR and found that a greater number of subjects in the USB arm versus the ASB arm experienced a decrease in HOMA-IR.

The long-term health effects of NNS, particularly in relation to addressing childhood obesity and its comorbidities, are unclear. Observational studies have provided conflicting results regarding the association between NNS consumption and obesity or T2D risk [21]. While the mechanism is not clear, they suggest that this could be related to alterations in the gut microbiome following dietary changes and metformin treatment. A recent mouse study indicates that NNS impairs metformin's therapeutic effects, including a decrease in GDF-15 release [23]. This suggests that NNS may alter the metabolic response to metformin, potentially through gut microbiome modulation, which has also been shown to influence GDF-15 release [24,25].

Notably, this was the first study to explore the effects of NNS on metformin-induced GDF-15 responses in obese pediatric patients. Given the growing evidence of the potential harmful effects of NNS and the need to address childhood obesity and its comorbidities, further detailed explorations of the pathophysiology and mechanistic impacts of NNS on metabolic health are needed. Despite the novelty of this work, we recognize the many limitations of this pilot study. First and foremost, the small sample size and the lack

of statistical significance limit our ability to make any conclusive statements about the potential interaction between metformin and NNS. That said, we also acknowledge that a larger, more definitive study, may, in fact, confirm the lack of statistical significance seen in this pilot (REF from the reviewer here). In addition, we note that self-reported diet and beverage consumption can be inaccurate or influenced by memory recall. In the case of this small pilot, we chose the short 24 h recall to address recall bias and, in order to improve accuracy, provided education to the participants about accurate food reporting. Additionally, we used a specific beverage frequency questionnaire for collecting details to enhance data accuracy.

That said, this was the first study to explore metformin-responsive GDF-15 secretion in a pediatric population with prediabetes and obesity. The intention-to-treat population for pediatric obesity included a higher percentage of African American participants, reflecting the increased risk of obesity within this demographic. Larger, longer-duration studies are necessary to confirm these findings and further investigate the underlying mechanistic pathways.

6. Conclusions

In this pilot study, we observed no statistical differences in BMI or GDF-15 levels in the USB group compared to the ASB group. The limited sample size and short intervention duration may have hindered our ability to detect significant differences. Further research with larger samples and extended follow-up periods is needed to clarify the effects of NNS on metformin's therapeutic outcomes in pediatric obesity.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/nu17050797/s1, Supplementary S1: Beverage Frequency Assessment Questionnaire; Supplementary S2: Study Design Graphic.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.B.; methodology, X.C.; validation, X.C.; formal analysis, X.C.; investigation, A.B., E.I., M.B. and J.H.; resources, A.B.; data curation, A.B., X.C. and J.H.; writing—original draft preparation, E.I. and A.B.; writing—review and editing, A.B., J.H., M.B. and X.C.; visualization, X.C.; supervision, A.B.; project administration, J.H.; funding acquisition, A.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board (or Ethics Committee) of the University of Florida (protocol code IRB202102435 6 February 2022). This trial was registered prior to the start of the interventions on ClinicalTrials.gov, identifier NCT0400863.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Acknowledgments: We acknowledge the Clive Wasserfall laboratory and C. Ramsey Grace for their assistance and materials used for completing laboratory studies. During the preparation of this manuscript, the authors used ChatGPT for the purposes of language improvement in the abstract alone. The authors have reviewed and edited the output and take full responsibility for the content of this publication.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

 Sanyaolu, A.; Okorie, C.; Qi, X.; Locke, J.; Rehman, S. Childhood and adolescent obesity in the United States: A public health concern. *Glob. Pediatr Health.* 2019, *6*, 2333794X19891305. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 2. Andes, L.J.; Cheng, Y.J.; Rolka, D.B.; Gregg, E.W.; Imperatore, G. Prevalence of prediabetes among adolescents and young adults in the United States, 2005–2016. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2020, 174, e194498. [CrossRef]
- 3. Ferraro, A.A.; Bechere Fernandes, M.T. Relationship between childhood growth and later outcomes. *Nestle Nutr. Inst. Workshop Ser.* 2013, *71*, 191–197. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Deckelbaum, R.J.; Williams, C.L. Childhood obesity: The health issue. *Obes. Res.* 2001, 9 (Suppl. 4), 239S–243S. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hu, F.B.; Malik, V.S. Sugar-sweetened beverages and risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes: Epidemiologic evidence. *Physiol. Behav.* 2010, 100, 47–54. [CrossRef] [PubMed] [PubMed Central]
- 6. Malik, V.S.; Pan, A.; Willett, W.C.; Hu, F.B. Sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain in children and adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2013**, *98*, 1084–1102. [CrossRef]
- 7. Malik, V.S.; Popkin, B.M.; Bray, G.A.; Despres, J.P.; Hu, F.B. Sugar-sweetened beverages, obesity, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease risk. *Circulation* **2010**, *121*, 1356–1364. [CrossRef]
- 8. Calcaterra, V.; Cena, H.; Magenes, V.C.; Vincenti, A.; Comola, G.; Beretta, A.; Di Napoli, I.; Zuccotti, G. Sugar-sweetened beverages and metabolic risk in children and adolescents with obesity: A narrative review. *Nutrients* **2023**, *15*, 702. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Sylvetsky, A.C.; Jin, Y.; Clark, E.J.; Welsh, J.A.; Rother, K.I.; Talegawkar, S.A. Consumption of low-calorie sweeteners among children and adults in the United States. *J. Acad. Nutr. Diet.* **2017**, 117, 441–448.e2. [CrossRef] [PubMed] [PubMed Central]
- Debras, C.; Chazelas, E.; Sellem, L.; Porcher, R.; Druesne-Pecollo, N.; Esseddik, Y.; de Edelenyi, F.S.; Agaësse, C.; De Sa, A.; Lutchia, R.; et al. Artificial sweeteners and risk of cardiovascular diseases: Results from the prospective NutriNet-Santé cohort. *BMJ* 2022, *378*, e071204. [CrossRef] [PubMed] [PubMed Central]
- Nettleton, J.A.; Lutsey, P.L.; Wang, Y.; Lima, J.A.; Michos, E.D.; Jacobs, D.R., Jr. Diet soda intake and risk of incident metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Diabetes Care* 2009, 32, 688–694. [CrossRef] [PubMed] [PubMed Central]
- 12. Christofides, E.A. POINT: Artificial sweeteners and obesity—Not the solution and potentially a problem. *Endocr. Pract.* 2021, 27, 1052–1055. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Soliman, A.; De Sanctis, V.; Alaaraj, N.; Hamed, N. The clinical application of metformin in children and adolescents: A short update. *Acta Biomed.* **2020**, *91*, e2020086. [CrossRef]
- 14. Lv, Z.; Guo, Y. Metformin and its benefits for various diseases. Front. Endocrinol. 2020, 11, 191. [CrossRef]
- 15. Gerstein, H.C.; Pare, G.; Hess, S.; Ford, R.J.; Sjaarda, J.; Raman, K.; McQueen, M.; Lee, S.; Haenel, H.; Steinberg, G.R. Growth differentiation factor 15 as a novel biomarker for metformin. *Diabetes Care* **2017**, *40*, 280–283. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Hsu, J.-Y.; Crawley, S.; Chen, M.; Ayupova, D.A.; Lindhout, D.A.; Higbee, J.; Kutach, A.; Joo, W.; Gao, Z.; Fu, D.; et al. Nonhomeostatic body weight regulation through a brainstem-restricted receptor for GDF15. *Nature* **2017**, *550*, 255–259. [CrossRef]
- 17. Coll, A.P.; Chen, M.; Taskar, P.; Rimmington, D.; Patel, S.; Tadross, J.A.; Cimino, I.; Yang, M.; Welsh, P.; Virtue, S.; et al. GDF15 mediates the effects of metformin on body weight and energy balance. *Nature* **2020**, *578*, 444–448. [CrossRef]
- 18. Ouyang, J.; Isnard, S.; Lin, J.; Fombuena, B.; Peng, X.; Chen, Y.; Routy, J.-P. GDF-15 as a weight watcher for diabetic and non-diabetic people treated with metformin. *Front. Endocrinol.* **2020**, *11*, 581839. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Day, E.A.; Ford, R.J.; Smith, B.K.; Mohammadi-Shemirani, P.; Morrow, M.R.; Gutgesell, R.M.; Lu, R.; Raphenya, A.R.; Kabiri, M.; McArthur, A.G.; et al. Metformin-induced increases in GDF15 are important for suppressing appetite and promoting weight loss. *Nat. Metab.* 2019, 1, 1202–1208. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Niederberger, E.; Parnham, M.J. The impact of diet and exercise on drug responses. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2021, 22, 7692. [CrossRef]
- Gardner, C.; Wylie-Rosett, J.; Gidding, S.S.; Steffen, L.M.; Johnson, R.K.; Reader, D.; Lichtenstein, A.H. Nonnutritive sweeteners: Current use and health perspectives: A scientific statement from the American heart association and the American diabetes association. *Diabetes Care* 2012, 35, 1798–1808. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Ezzamouri, B.; Rosario, D.; Bidkhori, G.; Lee, S.; Uhlen, M.; Shoaie, S. Metabolic modelling of the human gut microbiome in type 2 diabetes patients in response to metformin treatment. *NPJ Syst. Biol. Appl.* **2023**, *9*, 2. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Singh, A.; Rourk, K.; Bernier, A.; de Lartigue, G. Non-nutritive sweetened beverages impair therapeutic benefits of metformin in prediabetic diet-induced obese mice. *Nutrients* **2023**, *15*, 2472. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Shum, B.; Georgia, S. The effects of non-nutritive sweetener consumption in the pediatric populations: What we know, what we don't, and what we need to learn. *Front. Endocrinol.* **2021**, *12*, 625415. [CrossRef] [PubMed] [PubMed Central]
- 25. Kincaid, J.W.R.; Rimmington, D.; Tadross, J.A.; Cimino, I.; Zvetkova, I.; Kaser, A.; Richards, P.; Patel, S.; O'rahilly, S.; Coll, A.P. The gastrointestinal tract is a major source of the acute metformin-stimulated rise in GDF15. *Sci. Rep.* 2024, 14, 1899. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.